

INTRODUCTION

- REL-1017 (esmethadone hydrochloride) is a safe and well tolerated¹ novel uncompetitive NMDAR channel blocker with a preference for pathologically hyperactive GluN1-GluN2D NMDAR channels²
- REL-1017 has twenty-fold lower affinity at the mu-opioid receptor than levo-methadone³ and lacks clinically meaningful opioid agonist actions⁴⁻⁷
- REL-1017 does not cause dissociative effects^{1,4,5,6,7,8} and does not cause potentially neurotoxic Olney’s brain lesions⁹, unlike higher potency NMDAR blockers
- REL-1017 showed rapid, robust and sustained antidepressant effects as adjunctive treatment in patients with MDD⁸
- REL-1017 is currently in Phase 3 clinical trials for the treatment of Major Depressive Disorder (MDD)¹⁰
- Preclinical experimental models indicate that REL-1017 does not have abuse potential^{11,12,13}
- Due to its mechanism of action as a novel uncompetitive NMDAR channel blocker, we further evaluated REL-1017 in a human abuse potential (HAP) study in experienced recreational ketamine users

OBJECTIVE

We aimed to assess the human abuse potential (HAP) of REL-1017 in a single-dose, randomized, double-blind, triple-dummy, active- and placebo-controlled, 6-way crossover study in experienced recreational ketamine users. The dextromethorphan arm was included as an exploratory endpoint.

METHODS

Study Design:

- Single-dose, randomized, double-blind, triple-dummy, active- and placebo-controlled, 6-way crossover HAP study of REL-1017 in experienced recreational ketamine users.
- Each subject received the following oral treatments with >=11 days of washout between treatments: REL-1017 25 mg po (therapeutic daily dose), REL-1017 75 mg po (3X therapeutic and loading dose), REL-1017 150 mg po (6x therapeutic daily dose and the maximum tolerated dose), dextromethorphan 300 mg po, ketamine 0.5 mg/ kg IV administered for 40 minutes (active control), and placebo.

Endpoint Measurements:

- The primary endpoint of the study was the maximum effect (E_{max}) for Drug Liking (“at this moment”), assessed with a bipolar (0 to 49 = dislike; 50 = neutral; 51-100 = like) visual analog scale (VAS).
- Key secondary endpoints were “Overall Drug Liking” and “Take Drug Again”, assessed with a bipolar (0 to 49 = dislike; 50 = neutral; 51-100 = like) VAS.

Data Analysis:

Data for the primary endpoint were analyzed using a one-sided paired Student’s t-test (if data were not skewed) or Sign Test (if data were skewed).

For primary endpoint analysis (Table 2), comparisons were made (at α=0.05):

- Between IV ketamine .5 mg/kg and placebo (null hypothesis that the difference between ketamine and placebo was ≤ 15 points)
- Between IV ketamine .5 mg/kg and each dose of REL-1017 (null hypothesis that the difference between ketamine and REL-1017 was ≤ 0 points)
- Between each dose of REL-1017 and placebo (null hypothesis that the difference between REL-1017 and placebo was ≥ 11 points).

The methods and hypotheses for the secondary endpoints were similar to that of the primary endpoint, except margins of 0 were used for all test hypotheses.

Statistical analyses were performed on the “Completer Population”, defined as subjects completing all 6 treatments.

RESULTS

Table 1. Baseline demographic characteristics, Completer Population N=51						
Demographics	Overall (N=51) N (%)					
Age, mean, years	34.4					
Gender						
Male	35 (68.63%)					
Female	16 (31.37%)					
Race						
Black / African American	32 (62.7%)					
White	14 (27.5%)					
Other	5 (9.8%)					
Ethnicity						
Hispanic or Latino	4 (7.8%)					
Not Hispanic or Latino	47 (92.2%)					

Table 2. Drug Liking (E _{max}) “at this moment” bipolar Visual Analog Scale (VAS): Primary endpoint						
Drug Liking (E _{max}) “at this moment”(VAS)**	Placebo N=51	REL-1017 25 mg N=51	REL-1017 75 mg N=51	REL-1017 150 mg N=51	Dextromethorp han 300 mg N= 51	Ketamine 0.5 mg/kg N=51
Mean (SD)	50.9 (2.2)	51.4 (3.3)	54.9 (9.6)	59.2 (14.4)	68.4 (18.4)	90 (14.5)
Median	50	50	50	51	60	100
Ketamine vs REL-1017 (difference), p-value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001		--
REL-1017 vs placebo (equivalence), p-value#	--	<0.001	<0.001	0.003		--

++ The primary endpoint of the study was the maximum effect (E_{max}) for Drug Liking (“at this moment”), assessed with a bipolar (0 to 49 = dislike; 50 = neutral; 51-100 = like) visual analog scale (VAS).
Interpretation of p-value: p-values ≤0.05 indicate that REL-1017 is statistically equivalent to placebo (i.e., within 11 points)

- The E_{max} for ketamine was significantly greater than placebo, confirming study validity.
- The E_{max} for ketamine was greater than all 3 doses of REL-1017 (p<0.001).
- Comparison of REL-1017 to placebo, using the FDA suggested equivalence analysis, indicated that REL-1017 is statistically equivalent to placebo with p<0.05 at all tested doses.
- Comparison of REL-1017 to dextromethorphan indicated that REL-1017 is statistically different from dextromethorphan with p<0.05 at all tested doses. Dextromethorphan was statistically different from placebo and from ketamine p<0.05.

CONCLUSIONS

- In this study, all REL-1017 tested doses exhibited at least a 30-point difference in mean and median Drug Liking E_{max} compared to ketamine (p<0.001) among experienced recreational ketamine users (primary endpoint).**
- All REL-1017 were statistically equivalent to placebo for Drug Liking E_{max} (“at this moment”) (p<0.05).**
- Comparable results of REL-1017 vs ketamine were observed for the two key secondary endpoints (“Overall Drug Liking” and “Take Drug Again”).**
- This study showed no meaningful ketamine-like abuse potential for REL-1017. This HAP study design is considered the most predictive for determining abuse potential.**

Table 3. “Overall Drug Liking” bipolar Visual Analog Scale (VAS): Key secondary endpoint						
Overall Drug Liking VAS	Placebo N=51	REL-1017 25 mg N=51	REL-1017 75 mg N=51	REL-1017 150 mg N=51	Dextrometh orphan 300 mg N= 51	Ketamine 0.5 mg/kg N=51
Mean (SD)	47.7 (9.7)	51.3 (8)	50.8 (13.7)	52.9 (20.1)	57.7 (30.8)	87.4 (19.3)
Median	50	50	50	50	59	100
Ketamine vs REL-1017 (difference), p-value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001		--

Table 4. “Take Drug Again” Visual Analog Scale (VAS): Key secondary endpoint						
Take Drug Again VAS	Placebo N=51	REL-1017 25 mg N=51	REL-1017 75 mg N=51	REL-1017 150 mg N=51	Dextrometh orphan 300 mg N= 51	Ketamine 0.5 mg/kg N=51
Mean (SD)	48.8 (1.9)	50.5 (10.9)	50 (2.6)	53.5 (24.4)	55.2 (33.4)	88.2 (21.9)
Median	50	50	50	50	51	100
Ketamine vs REL-1017 (difference), p-value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001		--

- Statistically significant differences between all tested doses of REL-1017 and ketamine were seen for the two key secondary endpoints (see Tables 3 and 4).

REFERENCES

- Bernstein, G., et al. (2019). J Clin Psychopharmacol 39(3): 226-237.
- Bettini, E., et al., (2021) Biological Psychiatry, 89(9), S198-S199.
- Codd, E. E., et al. (1995). J Pharmacol Exp Ther 274(3): 1263-1270.
- Lemberg, K. et al (2006). Anesth Analg. 102(6):1768-74.
- Isbell H, Eisenman AJ (1948). J Pharmacol Exp Ther 93(3):305–1.
- Drug Enforcement Administration. Diversion Control Division. December 2019.
- Fraser & Isbell (1962). Bulletin on Narcotics, 14: 25-35.
- Fava, M., et al. (2022). American Journal of Psychiatry 179(2):122-131.
- Pappagallo, M. et al (2021) The FASEB Journal, 35.
- ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT04855747; NCT04688164.
- Henningfield, J., et al. (2021) Poster Presented at NEI 2021.
- Henningfield J., et al. (2021) Poster Presented at CPDD 2021.
- Gauvin, D., et al. (2021) Poster Presented at CPDD 2021.

DISCLOSURES

Shram and Henningfield contributed equally. Inturrisi, Manfredi and Pappagallo contributed equally. This research was sponsored by Relmada Therapeutics. Drs. Apseloff and Huston are employees at Ohio Clinical Trials. Drs. Henningfield, Gorodetzky, Shram, Buchhalter, Ashworth, Lanier, Vocci, Sapienza, Kosten, Folli, Pappagallo, Inturrisi, and Manfredi are paid consultants for Relmada Therapeutics. Dr. Traversa is a current employee of Relmada Therapeutics. Dr. De Martin is employed or has received fees from companies or Universities that have received payments or grants from Relmada. Drs. Inturrisi and Manfredi are inventors on esmethadone patents and other patents and patent applications.