

# In Vitro Activity of Sulopenem and Comparative Agents against Bacterial Pathogens Isolated from Canadian Patients with Urinary Tract Infections: CANWARD Surveillance Study 2014-2021

**University** of Manitoba

Dr. George G. Zhanel MS673-820 Sherbrook Street Winnipeg, MB R3A 1R9 Email: ggzhanel@pcsinternet.ca

G.G. ZHANEL<sup>1</sup>, H.J. ADAM<sup>1</sup>, M.R. BAXTER<sup>1</sup>, A. GOLDEN<sup>1</sup>, P. LAGACÉ-WIENS<sup>1</sup>, A. WALKTY<sup>1</sup>, J.A. KARLOWSKY<sup>1</sup> and the CANADIAN ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE ALLIANCE (CARA)

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Max Rady College of Medicine, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada

% S % I % R

0.2

16.9

1.0

1.1

35.4

0.7

3.7

2.2

10.5

5.3

5.2

52.4

5.8

8.8

3.5

42.0

0

0

38.9

10.0

55.0

0

2.1

51.1

2.1

2.9

3.1

0

8.6

87.9

100

86.0

37.5

100

22.2

15.0

25.0

15.0

100

61.7

2.3

91.5

27.7

NA

88.6

91.4

97.1

82.9

97.1

1.2

80.5

5.3

25.6

47.4

5.3

5.3

12.0

6.0

14.0

12.5

20.5

3.0

100

38.9

85.0

65.0

30.0

20.0

38.3

97.7

8.5

6.4

21.3

2.1

6.3

8.6

2.9

8.6

#### Introduction

Sulopenem (SLP), is an investigational thiopenem (β-lactam) available in both oral (sulopenem etzadroxil + probenecid) and parenteral (sulopenem) dosage forms. It is currently in development for the treatment of uncomplicated and complicated urinary tract infections, including infections caused by extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL)-producing and multidrug-resistant (MDR) Gram-negative bacilli.1-3 Orally, sulopenemetzadroxil is combined with probenecid, and has a safety and efficacy profile similar to other penems and β-lactams.<sup>2</sup> Sulopenem is stable to renal dehydropeptidase I, unlike imipenem, and has been reported to be stable against hydrolytic attack by many β-lactamases, including ESBLs and AmpC enzymes which confer resistance to third-generation cephalosporins. The activity of sulopenem addresses several of the most urgent, serious, and concerning drug-resistant antimicrobial threats defined by the CDC, including ESBL-producing Enterobacterales.

The current study assessed the in vitro activities of sulopenem and comparator antibacterial agents against clinical isolates of Gram-negative and Gram-positive pathogens isolated from urine and submitted by Canadian hospital laboratories to the CANWARD surveillance study4 from 2014 to 2021.

## **Materials and Methods**

Bacterial Isolates: CANWARD is an ongoing, national, Health Canada partnered study assessing antimicrobial resistance patterns of pathogens causing infections in patients receiving care in hospitals across Canada.4 Tertiary-care medical centres submitted pathogens from patients attending hospital clinics, emergency rooms, medical and surgical wards, and intensive care units.4 From January 2014 through October 2021, each study site was asked to submit "clinically significant" isolates (consecutive, one per patient, per infection site) from inpatients and outpatients with respiratory, urine, wound, and bloodstream infections. Isolates were shipped to the coordinating laboratory (Health Sciences Centre, Winnipeg Canada) where isolate identification was confirmed and minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) testing was carried out. Escherichia coli isolates were from the CANWARD surveillance study from the years 2014 through 2021 All other isolates were from 2016-2021 only. Putative AmpC phenotypes in E. coli were defined as an isolate where the ceftriaxone and/or ceftazidime MIC was ≥1 mg/L, the cefoxitin MIC was ≥32 mg/L, and the isolate tested ESBL-negative by the CLSI phenotypic confirmatory disk test.<sup>5</sup>

Antimicrobial Susceptibilities: Following two subcultures from frozen stock, the in vitro activity of sulopenem and selected antimicrobials was determined by broth microdilution in accordance with the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI)<sup>6</sup> and MICs were interpreted using CLSI M100 breakpoints.<sup>5</sup>. Antimicrobial agents were obtained as laboratory grade powders from their respective manufacturers. The MICs were determined using 96-well custom designed microtitre plates.4 These plates contained doubling antimicrobial dilutions in 100µl/well of cation adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth and inoculated to achieve a final concentration of approximately 5 x 10<sup>5</sup> CFU/mL then incubated in ambient air for 24 hours prior to reading. Colony counts were performed periodically to confirm inocula. Quality control was performed using ATCC QC organisms including: Streptococcus pneumoniae 49619, Staphylococcus aureus 29213, Enterococcus faecalis 29212, E. coli 25922, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa 27853.

#### Results

Table 1. In vitro activities of sulopenem and comparators versus Gram-negative bacilli (Continued)

| Organism (no. tested)       |        | MIC (µg |             |      |      |      |
|-----------------------------|--------|---------|-------------|------|------|------|
| / antimicrobial agent       | 50%    | 90%     | Range       | % S  | % I  | % R  |
| Proteus mirabilis (88)      |        |         |             |      |      |      |
| Sulopenem                   | 0.25   | 0.5     | 0.015-1     | NA   | NA   | NA   |
| Meropenem                   | 0.06   | 0.12    | ≤ 0.03-0.25 | 100  | 0    | 0    |
| Ceftriaxone                 | ≤ 0.25 | ≤ 0.25  | ≤ 0.25-1    | 100  | 0    | 0    |
| Amoxicillin/clavulanate     | 1      | 4       | 0.5-> 32    | 93.8 | 2.5  | 3.8  |
| TMP/SMX                     | ≤ 0.12 | > 8     | ≤ 0.12-> 8  | 73.9 |      | 26.1 |
| Ciprofloxacin               | ≤ 0.06 | 4       | ≤ 0.06-> 16 | 80.7 | 0    | 19.3 |
| Nitrofurantoin              | 128    | 256     | 64-256      | 0    | 19.3 | 80.7 |
| Gentamicin                  | 1      | 8       | ≤ 0.5-> 32  | 89.8 | 1.1  | 9.1  |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa (75) |        |         | = 0.0 7 02  | 00.0 | 1    | 0.1  |
| Sulopenem                   | > 8    | > 8     | 8-> 8       | NA   | NA   | NA   |
| Meropenem                   | 1      | 8       | ≤ 0.03-> 32 | 84.0 | 5.3  | 10.7 |
| Ceftriaxone                 | 64     | > 64    | 4-> 64      | NA   | NA   | NA   |
| Amoxicillin/clavulanate     | > 32   | > 32    | > 32-> 32   | NA   | NA   | NA   |
| TMP/SMX                     | 8      | > 8     | 1-> 8       | NA   | NA   | NA   |
| Ciprofloxacin               | 0.25   | 4       | ≤ 0.06-> 16 | 82.7 | 2.7  | 14.7 |
| Nitrofurantoin              | > 512  | > 512   | > 512-> 512 | NA   | NA   | NA   |
| Gentamicin                  | 1      | 4       | ≤ 0.5-> 32  | 93.3 | 5.3  | 1.3  |

**Table 2**. In vitro activities of sulopenem and comparators versus Gram-positive cocci

| Organism (no. tested)        |        | MIC (µg | /mL)       |        |      |      |
|------------------------------|--------|---------|------------|--------|------|------|
| / antimicrobial agent        | 50%    | 90%     | Range      | % S    | % I  | % R  |
| Staphylococcus aureus - MSSA | (29)   |         |            |        |      |      |
| Sulopenem                    | 0.06   | 0.25    | 0.03-0.25  | $NA^a$ | NA   | NA   |
| Meropenem                    | 0.12   | 0.25    | 0.06-0.5   | NA     | NA   | NA   |
| Ceftriaxone                  | 4      | 4       | 1-8        | NA     | NA   | NA   |
| Amoxicillin/clavulanate      | 0.5    | 1       | 0.12-2     | NA     | NA   | NA   |
| TMP/SMX                      | ≤ 0.12 | ≤ 0.12  | ≤ 0.12-0.5 | 100    | _    | 0    |
| Ciprofloxacin                | 0.5    | 16      | 0.12-> 16  | 86.2   | 0    | 13.8 |
| Nitrofurantoin               | 16     | 16      | 4-16       | 100    | 0    | 0    |
| Gentamicin                   | ≤ 0.5  | ≤ 0.5   | ≤ 0.5-2    | 100    | 0    | 0    |
| Enterococcus faecalis (158)  |        |         | ⊒ 0.0-2    | 100    | U    | U    |
| Sulopenem                    | 4      | 8       | 1-> 8      | NA     | NA   | NA   |
| Meropenem                    | 4      | 8       | 1-> 32     | NA     | NA   | NA   |
| Ceftriaxone                  | > 64   | > 64    | 2-> 64     | NA     | NA   | NA   |
| Amoxicillin/clavulanate      | 0.5    | 1       | 0.25-> 32  | NA     | NA   | NA   |
| TMP/SMX                      | ≤ 0.12 | > 8     | ≤ 0.12-> 8 | NA     | NA   | NA   |
| Ciprofloxacin                | 1      | > 16    | 0.12-> 16  | 62.0   | 13.9 | 24.1 |
| Nitrofurantoin               | 8      | 16      | 2-128      | 98.7   | 0.6  | 0.6  |
| Gentamicin                   | 16     | > 32    | 1-> 32     | ŇÄ     | ŇĂ   | NA   |

a NA - not available

Table 3. Distribution of sulopenem MICs versus Gram-negative organisms

|                     | Number of isolates for which the sulopenem MIC (μg/ml) was: |      |      |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |
|---------------------|---|------|------|------|------|-----|---|---|---|---|----|
| Organism agent      | ≤0.015  | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | >8 |
| E. coli ALL         | 284   | 812  | 129  | 16   | 6    |     |   |   | 1 |   |    |
| E. coli ESBL        | 15  | 66   | 44   | 5    | 3    |     |   |   | • |   |    |
| <i>E. coli</i> AmpC | 4   | 4    | 4    | 5    | 1    |     |   |   | 1 |   |    |
| <i>E. coli</i> MDR  | 29  | 99   | 50   | 8    | 3    |     |   |   | 1 |   |    |
| K. pneumoniae ALL   | 6   | 81   | 90   | 21   | 0    |     | 2 |   | • |   |    |
| K. pneumoniae ESBL  | O   | 4    | 11   | 4    |      |     | 1 |   |   |   |    |
| E. cloacae          |   | 10   | 9    | 11   | 9    | 7   | ı |   | 1 |   |    |
| K. oxytoca          |   | 15   | 18   | 1    | 1    | ,   |   |   | ' |   |    |
| P. mirabilis        | 1   | 3    | 7    | 10   | 33   | 30  | 4 |   |   |   |    |
| P. aeruginosa       | I   | 3    | 1    | 10   | 33   | 30  | 4 |   |   | 3 | 72 |

| Organism agent   | Number of isolates for which the sulopenem MIC (µg/ml) was: |      |      |      |      |     |   |   |    |    |    |
|------------------|---|------|------|------|------|-----|---|---|----|----|----|
|                  | ≤0.015  | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4  | 8  | >8 |
| S. aureus (MSSA) |   | 2    | 17   | 7    | 3    |     |   |   |    |    |    |
| E. faecalis      |   |      |      |      |      |     | 2 | 8 | 71 | 64 | 13 |

b MDR was defined as nonsusceptible to 3 agents from different antimicrobial classes (ceftriaxone, amoxicillin-clavulanate, TMP/SMX

**Table 1.** In vitro activities of sulopenem and comparators versus Gram-negative bacilli

≤ 0.03

> 8

> 32

> 64

≤ 0.03

≤ 0.25

≤ 0.12

16

≤ 0.5

≤ 0.5

0.06

≤ 0.03

≤ 0.25

≤ 0.12

≤ 0.06

64

≤ 0.5

> 64

> 8

≤ 0.5

0.12

0.06

≤ 0.25

> 32

≤ 0.12

≤ 0.06

≤ 0.5

0.06

≤ 0.03

≤ 0.25

≤ 0.12

≤ 0.06

32

≤ 0.5

> 64

> 8

128

0.12

> 64

> 8

> 32

> 64

128

≤ 0.008-4

≤ 0.03-1

≤ 0.25-> 64

0.5 -> 32

≤ 0.12-> 8

≤ 0.06-> 16

≤ 0.5-> 512

≤ 0.5-> 32

 $\leq 0.03-0.25$ 

≤ 0.12-> 8

≤ 0.06-> 16

≤ 0.5-> 32

≤ 0.03-1

≤0.25-> 64

≤ 0.12-> 8

≤ 0.06-> 16

8-256

≤ 0.5-> 32

≤ 0.03-1

≤ 0.12-> 8

≤1-512

≤ 0.5-> 32

≤ 0.03-0.5

≤ 0.25-> 64

1-> 32

≤ 0.12-> 8

≤ 0.06-> 16

2-> 512

≤ 0.5-> 32

≤ 0.03-0.5

16-> 64

8-> 32

≤ 0.12-> 8

≤ 0.06-> 16

32-512

≤ 0.5-> 32

0.03-4

≤ 0.03-1

≤ 0.25-> 64

8-> 32

≤ 0.12-> 8

≤ 0.06-> 16

4-256

≤ 0.5-32

0.03-0.25

≤ 0.03-0.12

≤ 0.25-32

1-> 32

≤ 0.12-> 8

≤ 0.06-1

4-256

/ antimicrobial agent

Meropenem

Ceftriaxone

TMP/SMX

Ciprofloxacin

Nitrofurantoin

Gentamicin

Sulopenem Meropenem

Ceftriaxone

TMP/SMX

Ciprofloxacin

Nitrofurantoin

Gentamicin

Sulopenem

Meropenem

Ceftriaxone

TMP/SMX

Ciprofloxacin

Gentamicin

Sulopenem Meropenem

Ceftriaxone

TMP/SMX

Ciprofloxacin

Nitrofurantoin

Gentamicin

Sulopenem

Meropenem

Ceftriaxone

TMP/SMX

Ciprofloxacin

Nitrofurantoin

Gentamicin

Sulopenem

Meropenem

Ceftriaxone

TMP/SMX

Ciprofloxacin

Nitrofurantoin

Gentamicin

Sulopenem

Meropenem

Ceftriaxone

TMP/SMX

Gentamicin

Sulopenem

Meropenem

Ceftriaxone

TMP/SMX

Ciprofloxacin

Nitrofurantoin

Gentamicin

Ciprofloxacin

Nitrofurantoin

Klebsiella oxytoca (35)

Amoxicillin/clavulanate

Nitrofurantoin

Escherichia coli ALL (1248)

Amoxicillin/clavulanate

Escherichia coli ESBL (133)

Amoxicillin/clavulanate

Escherichia coli AmpC (19)

Amoxicillin/clavulanate

Escherichia coli MDRb (190)

Amoxicillin/clavulanate

Amoxicillin/clavulanate

Klebsiella pneumoniae ALL (200)

Klebsiella pneumoniae ESBL (20)

Amoxicillin/clavulanate

Enterobacter cloacae (47)

Amoxicillin/clavulanate

## **Conclusions**

- 1. Sulopenem demonstrated potent in vitro activity against Enterobacterales with MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub> values ranging from 0.03-0.5 µg/ml for individual species.
- 2. Enterobacterales known to be ESBL-positive, AmpC-positive, as well as MDR demonstrated sulopenem MIC<sub>90</sub> values of ≤0.25 μg/
- 3. Sulopenem demonstrated potent in vitro activity against MSSA with MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub> values of 0.06 and 0.25 μg/ml, respectively.
- 4. Sulopenem was less active or inactive in vitro versus E. faecalis and *P. aeruginosa* (MIC<sub>90</sub>, ≥8 µg/ml).
- 5. Sulopenem is active versus Gram-negative and Gram-positive pathogens causing urinary tract infections.

#### **Bacterial Isolates Collected**

1880 clinical urinary isolates were tested against sulopenem.

- 525 (27.9%) isolates were collected from male patients; 1355 (72.1%) were from female patients.
- 213 (11.3%) isolates were from patients ≤17 years of age; 675 (35.9%) were from patients aged 18-64 years; and 992 (52.8%) were from patients aged ≥65 years.
- 649 (34.5%) isolates were from patients in emergency rooms; 616 (32.8%) from patients in hospital clinics; 486 (25.9%) from patients on medical wards; 87 (4.6%) from patients on surgical wards; and 42 (2.2%) from patients in intensive care units

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the CANWARD participating centres, investigators and laboratory site staff for their support. Financial support for the CANWARD study was provided in part by the University of Manitoba, National Microbiology Laboratory and Iterum Therapeutics.

#### References

- 1. https://www.iterumtx.com.
- 2. Zhanel GG, Pozdirca M, Golden AR et al. Sulopenem: an intravenous and oral penem for the treatment of urinary tract infections due to multidrug-resistant bacteria. Drugs, 2022;82(5): 533-557
- 3. Karlowsky JA, Adam HJ, Baxter MR et al. In-vitro activity of sulopenem, an oral penem against urinary isolates of Escherichia coli. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 2019;63(1):1-7.
- 4. Zhanel GG, Adam H, Baxter M et al. 42,936 pathogens from Canadian hospitals: 10 years of results (2007-2016) from the CANWARD surveillance study. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 2019;74(Suppl 4):5-21.
- 5. CLSI M100, 31st Edition, 2021.
- 6. CLSI M07, 11th Edition, 2018.

nitrofurantoin, ciprofloxacin, and gentamicin).