

William Rice²⁷, Rafael Bejar²⁷

INTRODUCTION

Tuspetinib (TUS) is a once daily, oral, multi-kinase inhibitor designed to sele target SYK, RSK, FLT3^{WT/MUT}, JAK1/2^{WT/MUT}, KIT^{MUT} that drive AML cell prolife Tuspetinib is being developed for frontline AML therapy as part TUS+VEN+AZA triplet (tuspetinib + venetoclax + azacitidine) for diagnosed AML patients ineligible for intensive chemotherapy. In a Pha trial of relapsed/refractory (R/R) AML patients (NCT03850574), TUS single and the TUS+VEN doublet demonstrated excellent safety and broad e across AML genetic subgroups, supporting advancement of the TUS+VEI triplet in frontline therapy. TUS targets known VEN resistance mechanisms, combination with VEN, could prevent emergence of resistance to both agent EHA ePoster# P1756). Ongoing clinical investigation with tuspetinib is conducted as the TUS+VEN+AZA triplet in newly diagnosed AML patien clinical findings from the front-line triplet study expected during 2H'2024.



Tuspetinib Maintains Orphan D Designation and Fast Track Status



STUDY DESIGN Data Cut 26 April 2024

TUS Single Agent Dose Escalation and Exploration	Total n=93	n= 79 do	TUS+VEN Doublet S (TUS 80mg or 40mg + VEN sed n=65 in 80 mg/400 mg r	Study 400mg) n=14 in 40 mg/400 mg
Cohort 1: 20 mg QD	2	Cycle 1 Treatment Plan:		Cycle 1 extended if needed to
Cohort 2: 40 mg QD	17	TUS	80 mg or 40 mg daily	
Cohort 3: 80 mg QD	22	VEN 400 mg c	daily VEN held	
Cohort 4: 120 mg QD	32	Day 1	l Day 15 (BM blasts <5% or aplastic)	Day 28 (up to Day 42)
Cohort 5: 160 mg QD	16			needed to allow for count recovery
Cohort 6: 200 mg QD	4	TUS VEN 400 m	80 mg or 40 mg daily	VEN held if BM blasts < or aplastic
Doses with CR & no DLT		Day 1	Day 15 (BM blasts ≥ 5%)	Day 28 (up to Day 56)





We thank our principal investigators, clinical si and their families for their participation in this clinical trial. EHA2024 Abstract# P557

Safety and Efficacy of Tuspetinib (TUS) and Tuspetinib+Venetoclax (TUS+VEN) in a Phase 1/2 Trial of R/R Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) Patients Support TUS+VEN+AZA Triplet Strategy for Front Line AML

Naval Daver¹, Kyoo-Hyun Lee², Yunsuk Choi², Pau Montesinos³, Brian A. Jonas⁴, Martha Arellano⁵, Uma Borate⁶, Justin M Watts⁷, Paul B. Koller⁸, Chul-Won Jung⁹, Sang Kyun Sohn¹⁰, Amir T. Fathi¹¹, Pankit Vachhani¹², Nikolai A. Podoltsev¹³, Olga Salamero¹⁴, Sung-Soo Yoon¹⁵, Jeong-Ok Lee¹⁶, Gabriel Mannis¹⁷, Shuhying Tan¹⁸, Sudipto Mukherjee¹⁹, Harry P. Erba²⁰, Ho-Jin Shin²¹, Uwe Platzbecker ²², Mar Tormo Diaz²³, Eric Tam²⁴, Leanne Berkahm²⁵, Teresa Bernal²⁶, Donna Nguyen Haney²⁷, Jia Hu²⁷, Ranjeet Kumar Sinha²⁷, Nawazish Khan²⁷,

¹The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Seoul, SK, ³Hospital University, OH, ⁷Miller School of Medicine, University, OH, ⁷Miller School of Medicine, University, Atlanta, GA, ⁶The James Cancer Center, Seoul, SK, ¹⁰Kyungpook National University of Miami, FL, ⁸Department of Hematology/HCT, City of Hope, Duarte, CA, ⁹Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, SK, ¹⁰Kyungpook National University of Miami, FL, ⁸Department of Hematology/HCT, City of Hope, Duarte, CA, ⁹Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, SK, ¹⁰Kyungpook National University of Miami, FL, ⁸Department of Hematology/HCT, City of Hope, Duarte, CA, ⁹Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, SK, ¹⁰Kyungpook National University of Miami, FL, ⁸Department of Hematology/HCT, City of Hope, Duarte, CA, ⁹Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, SK, ¹⁰Kyungpook National University of Miami, FL, ⁸Department of Hematology/HCT, City of Hope, Duarte, CA, ⁹Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, SK, ¹⁰Kyungpook National University of Miami, FL, ⁸Department of Hematology/HCT, City of Hope, Duarte, CA, ⁹Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, SK, ¹⁰Kyungpook National University of Miami, FL, ⁸Department of Hematology/HCT, City of Hope, Duarte, CA, ⁹Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, SK, ¹⁰Kyungpook National University of Miami, FL, ⁸Department of Hematology/HCT, City of Hope, Duarte, CA, ⁹Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, SK, ¹⁰Kyungpook National University of Miami, FL, ⁸Department of Hematology/HCT, City of Hope, Duarte, CA, ⁹Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, SK, ¹⁰Kyungpook National University of Miami, FL, ⁸Department of Hematology/HCT, City of Hope, Duarte, CA, ⁹Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, SK, ¹⁰Kyungpook National University of Miami, FL, ⁸Department of Hematology/HCT, City of Hope, Duarte, CA, ⁹Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, SK, ¹⁰Kyungpook National University of Miami, FL, ⁸Department of Hematology/HCT, City of Hope, Duarte, CA, ⁹Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, SK, ¹⁰Kyungpook National University of Miami, FL, ⁹Samsung Medical Center Hospital Daegu, SK, ¹¹Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, ¹²University of Alabama at Birmingham, AL, ¹³ Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, ¹⁴Department of Hematology, University Hospital, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul National University of Alabama at Birmingham, AL, ¹³ Yale School of Medical Oncology, Cleveland Clinic, OH, ²⁰Duke Cancer Institute, Durham, NC, ²¹Pusan National University of Leipzig, Germany, ²³University Clinical Hospital, Busan, SK, ²²Hematology, The Auckland City Hospital, Auckland, New Zealand, ²⁶Hospital University of Leipzig, Germany, ²³University Clinical Hospital, Busan, SK, ²²Hematology, The Auckland City Hospital, Auckland, New Zealand, ²⁶Hospital Universitario Central Asturias, Oviedo Spain, ²⁷Aptose Biosciences Inc, San Diego, CA

ectively	BASELINE	
eration.	TUS Single	A
of the newly ase 1/2	 93 patients dosed as of April 26, 2024 Median age > 63 years: Older population Over 35% failed Prior-transplant 49% of FLT3^{MUT} failed Prior-FLT3i 	
e agent efficacy N+AZA	 Population included FLT3^{WT} and FLT3^{MUT} Population included 13% TP53^{MUT}/CK and 14% Over 58% failed Prior-VEN : Correlates with period Patient Characteristics (n=93) 	6 N/ oor
and in ts (See	Patient number n (%) ¹ Median Age Years (Range) Female n (%)	
ts with	Lines prior therapy Mean (Range) Prior-VEN	
rug	Prior Cytotoxic chemotherapy Prior HMAs	
—		

•	79 patients dosed as of Apr
•	Median age > 69 years: Old
•	Over 24% failed Prior-trans
•	Over 84% of FLT3 ^{MUT} failed
•	Population included FLT3 ^{w1}
•	Population included 29% T
•	Over 75% failed Prior-VEN
	Patient Characteristic
	a 1 1 1 1 2
	Patient number n (%)
	Patient number in (%) Median Age Years (Range)
	Patient number in (%) Median Age Years (Range) Female in (%)
	Patient number in (%) Median Age Years (Range) Female in (%) Prior lines of therapy Mean
	Patient number in (%) Median Age Years (Range) Female in (%) Prior lines of therapy Mean Prior-VEN
	Patient number in (%) Median Age Years (Range) Female in (%) Prior lines of therapy Mean Prior-VEN Prior FLT3 Inhibitor

BASELIN		ENT C	HARA		RIST	ICS	TUS & TU BLAST DEDI
 • 93 patients dosed as of April 26, 2024 • Median age > 63 years: Older population • Over 25% failed Prior transplant 	e Agent		 79 patients dosed as Median age > 69 yea Over 24% failed Prior 	of April 26, 2024 rs: Older than TUS single	oublet		DLASINLU TUS Single Agent Reductions Demonstrate Activity Across 4 Do Activity in Patients Who Failed Prior-VEN and F
 Over 35% failed Prior-transplant 49% of FLT3^{MUT} failed Prior-FLT3i Population included FLT2WT and FLT2MUT 			 Over 84% of FLT3^{MUT} Population included I 	failed Prior-FLT3i FLT3 ^{WT} and FLT3 ^{MUT}			
 Population included PLTS and PLTS Population included 13% TP53^{MUT}/CK and Over 52% failed Prior V/5Ne Complete with 	14% N/KRAS ^{MUT}		 Population included 2 Over 75% failed Prior 	29% TP53^{MUT}/ CK and 159 r-VEN : Correlates with p	% N/KRAS^{MUT}		60 -
• Over 58% failed Prior-VEN : Correlates wit Patient Characteristics (n=93)	FLT3 ^{MUT} F	-LT3 ^{WT}	Patient Charact	eristics (n=79)	FLT3 ^{MUT}	FLT3 ^{WT}	 Sequence Sequence
Patient number n (%) ¹	35	57	Patient number n (%)	2	19	58	
Median Age Years (Range)	61 (21-84) 66 (1 14 (40 0%) 25 (4	13 9%)	Median Age Years (Ra	nge)	65.4 (39-84)	66.6 (31-86)	-20- transformer to
Lines prior therapy Mean (Range)	3.2 (1-11) 2.3 (1-11)	1-6)	Prior lines of therapy	Mean (Range)	2.8 (1-5)	2.2 (1-7)	2 -40 - 9 - 0 - -60 -
Prior-VEN	19 (54.2%) 34 (5	59.6%)	Prior-VEN		15 (78.9%)	42 (72.4%)	-80 -
Prior FLI3 Inhibitor Prior Cytotoxic chemotherapy	17 (48.6%) 3 (5. 27 (77.1%) 37 (6	3%) 54.9%)	Prior FLT3 Inhibitor Prior Cytotoxic chemo	otherapy	16 (84.3%)	7 (12.0%)	-100 -
Prior HMAs	22 (62.9%) 38 (6	56.7%)	Prior HMAs		14 (73.7%)	46 (79.3%)	Initial Dose Leve
Prior HSCT	14 (40%) 19 (3	33.3%)	Prior HSCT		7 (36.8%)	12 (20.7%)	Note: Blast percent change was calculated as 100 X (the lowest post-baseline bone marrow blast - 100%. Only patients who reported both baseline and any post-baseline bone marrow blast results a Black triangle indicates patients who received prior Ven before starting HM43239. Red triangle indicates patients who received prior Ven before starting HM43239.
TUS & TUS Structure Structure Structure Structure Structure Structure Structure	States Single Agent in remission ation or CPK elevations or deaths SAEs	SAFE	No new or unexpected No treatment related of No differentiation synd No drug-related death	OLER TUS+VEN Doub d safety signals with T CPK elevations drome observed s TUS+VEN Doub	let TUS+VEN	LITY	 Extensive dose exploration with Tensive dose exploration with Tensive appreciation of the experienced R/R AML patients (printed and the second seco
Adverse Events			F	Related to TUS/VEN, n((%) (n=79)		TUS+VEN Doublet
	Treatment Emergent AFs	Freatment Related AFs	Treatment Emergent AFs	Treatment Emerge	ent AEs Treatme	ent Emergent AEs	 Remains safe and well tolerated (
Any	89 (95.7%)	29 (31.2%)	77 (97.5%)	Related to TU 41 (51.9%)	US Rela	ated to VEN 38 (48.1%)	 Achieves bone marrow blast redu
Most Frequent AEs ≥10% Pneumonia Nausea	31 (33.3%)	0 (0%) 9 (9 7%)	16 (20.3%) 21 (26 6%)	2 (2.5%) 14 (17 7%)		3 (3.8%) 10 (12 7%)	adverse mutations and prior failur
Diarrhea Pyrexia	18 (19.4%) 18 (19.4%)	9 (9.7%) 0 (0%)	14 (17.7%) 11 (13.9%)	5 (6.3%) 1 (1.3%)		4 (5.1%) 1 (1.3%)	 TUS targets known VEN resistance
Alanine aminotransferase increased Hypokalaemia	13 (14.0%) 13 (14.0%)	2 (2.2%) 0 (0%)	12 (15.2%) 10 (12.7%)	3 (3.8%) 2 (2.5%)		3 (3.8%) 1 (1.3%)	FLT3 ^{WT} R/R AML populations
Epistaxis Decreased appetite	12 (12.9%) 11 (11.8%)	0 (0%) 2 (2.2%)	4 (5.1%) 11 (13.9%)	0 (0%) 4 (5.1%)		0 (0%) 4 (5.1%)	
Febrile neutropenia Fatigue	11 (11.8%) 11 (11.8%) 10 (10.8%)	0 (0%) 1 (1.1%) 2 (2.2%)	4 (5.1%) 20 (25.3%) 15 (19.0%)	1 (1.3%) 3 (3.8%) 6 (7.6%)		1 (1.3%) 4 (5.1%) 5 (6.3%)	AML 1L UNMET NE
Abdominal pain Constipation	10 (10.8%) 10 (10.8%)	0 (0%) 2 (2.2%)	4 (5.1%) 6 (7.6%)	1 (1.3%) 0 (0%)		1 (1.3%) 0 (0%)	
Dyspnoea Headache Cough	10 (10.8%) 10 (10.8%) 8 (8.6%)	0 (0%) 1 (1.1%) 0 (0%)	9 (11.4%) 6 (7.6%) 10 (12.7%)	0 (0%) 0 (0%) 0 (0%)		0 (0%) 0 (0%) 0 (0%)	<u>Cycle 1 Treatment Plan</u> :
Anaemia Neutrophil count decreased	6 (6.5%) 5 (5.4%)	0 (0%) 2 (2.2%)	11 (13.9%) 8 (10.1%)	4 (5.1%) 6 (7.6%)		4 (5.1%) 5 (6.3%)	TUS TUS once daily
Platelet count decreased White blood cell count decreased	5 (5.4%) 4 (4.3%)	1 (1.1%) 2 (2.2%) 1 (1.1%)	10 (12.7%) 10 (12.7%) 11 (12.0%)	4 (5.1%) 6 (7.6%) 2 (2.5%)		3 (3.8%) 7 (8.9%)	VEN 400 mg once daily
Grade ≥ 3 AEs (≥10%) Pneumonia	66 (71.0%) 26 (28.0%)	9 (9.7%) 0 (0%)	67 (84.8%) 14 (17.7%)	2 (2.5%) 23 (29.1%) 2 (2.5%)	2	2 (2.5%) 23 (29.1%) 3 (3.8%)	AZA 75mg/m ² once daily
Febrile neutropenia Anaemia	10 (10.8%) 5 (5.4%)	1 (1.1%) 0 (0%)	19 (24.1%) 11 (13.9%)	2 (2.5%) 3 (3.8%)		3 (3.8%) 3 (3.8%)	Day 1 Day 7
SAEs Leading to treatment termination	4 (4.3%)	1 (1.1%)	11 (13.9%)	4 (5.1%) 1 (1.3%)		3 (3.8%) 3 (3.8%)	Significant Unmet Medical Need i
Leading to death TUS & Includes 40, 80, 120, and 160 mg TUS do Includes those who failed prior therapy of Includes those with mutated or unmutated	TUS+V Single Agent ose as a single agent with venetoclax ted FLT3, those who failed	prior-HSCT, prior-	 TUS +VEN Achie Mutations in VE Blast reductions inhibitors and V 	U(0%) USER STUSER Structure Structur	Doublet ng Diverse R/R AM LT3WT, FLT3MUT who failed prior t	(00%) S ML with Adverse T, Prior-FLT3i therapies with FLT3	 Progress made with VEN+HM months with <25% alive at 3-y Response rates and OS ne Emergence of VEN resista other mechanisms, compro A 3rd agent is needed to boost
42% CRc (CR, CRp, CRh, or CRi) & 50% O	RR (CRc or PR) in FLT3^{MUT} :	and Ven Naïve patients	 40% ORR was of patients. Among (3/6) had failed 	bserved at 80 mg TUS g these 83% (5/6) had both Prior VEN and E	5 + 400 mg VEN in I failed prior-VEN	FLT3 mutated treatment and 50%	TUS is Ideal 3rd Agent for Addition
A/R AML Patient Population CRc All Comers 17% (11/65) 239 ELT3-Mutated 20% (5/25) 329 20%	Ingle Agent (40, 80, 120) rs N ORR CRc % (15/65) 30% (9/30) % (8/25) 42% (5/12) % (7/40) 22% (4/19)	D, 160 mg) /en Naive ORR 33% (10/30) 50% (6/12) 22% (4/18)	40 mg TUS + CRc 7% (1/14) 25% (1/4) 0% (0/9)	+ 400 mg VEN ORR 7% (1/14) 25% (1/4) 0% (0/9)	80 mg TUS CRc 19% (12/65) 27% (4/15) 16% (8/49)	+ 400 mg VEN ORR 28% (18/65) 40% (6/15) 25% (12/49)	 TUS has excellent safety alo TUS has broad activity acros TUS mechanism may minimized a characteristic activity acros
Image: TS (0/40) TS (0/40) TP53MUT/ CK 29% (2/7)	% (2/7) 22% (4/18) 67% (2/3)	67% (2/3)	0% (0/6)	0% (0/6)	18% (3/17)	18% (3/17)	administration with \/EN
N/KRASmut20% (2/10)300Prior VEN6% (2/35)140	% (3/10) 67% (2/3) % (5/35) 0% (0/0)	67% (2/3) 0% (0/0)	0% (0/1) 9% (1/11)	0% (0/1) 9% (1/11)	9% (1/11) 19% (9/48)	27% (3/11) 27% (13/48)	
Prior FLT3i 13% (2/16) 199	% (3/16) 67% (2/3)	67% (2/3)	25% (1/4)	25% (1/4)	26% (5/19)	32% (6/19)	TUS+VEN+AZA is Being Develope
te staff, and r	nost imp	ortantly	, our pa	tients			Disclosures: This clinical study is spo

R/R AML Patient	TUS Single Agent (40, 80, 120, 160 mg)						
Population	All Co	mers	Ven Naive				
	CRc	ORR	CRc	ORR			
All Comers	17% (11/65)	23% (15/65)	30% (9/30)	33% (10/30)			
FLT3-Mutated	20% (5/25)	32% (8/25)	42% (5/12)	50% (6/12)			
FLT3-Wildtype	15% (6/40)	18% (7/40)	22% (4/18)	22% (4/18)			
TP53MUT/ CK	29% (2/7)	29% (2/7)	67% (2/3)	67% (2/3)			
N/KRASmut	20% (2/10)	30% (3/10)	67% (2/3)	67% (2/3)			
Prior VEN	6% (2/35)	14% (5/35)	0% (0/0)	0% (0/0)			
Prior FLT3i	13% (2/16)	19% (3/16)	67% (2/3)	67% (2/3)			

S+VEN BONE MARROW **JCTIONS AND RESPONSES**



baseline bone marrow blast)/baseline bone marrow blast. Patients with blast percent change >=100% are shown as re included in the figure

CONCLUSIONS

US (93 patients) and **TUS+VEN** (79 patients) in **highly treatment** ior VEN, FLT3i, HMA, chemo, HSCT)

40, 80, 120, and 160 mg with no DLT

served in VEN naïve and FLT3-mutation harboring patients. harboring highly adverse genetics (TP53^{MUT}, RAS^{MUT}, other)

(40mg TUS + 400mg VEN | 80mg TUS + 400mg VEN) uctions and responses among diverse R/R AML patients with re of VEN

e mechanisms in vitro and is clinically active in both FLT3^{MUT} &

EED AND TUS+VEN+HMA TRIPLET



in Frontline Newly Diagnosed AML

MA in 1L therapy but 1/3 do not respond and median OS <15 vears.

eed improvement, especially in adverse genetic subgroups

- ance via RAS/MAPK, TP53, and FLT3 clonal expansion, among omises salvage therapies in R/R setting
- st responses with VEN+HMA standard of care therapy

ion to VEN+AZA to Treat Newly Diagrosed AML

one and in combination with **VEN** when co-administered ss genetic subgroups including TP53, RAS/MAPK, & FLT3 mutants ize drug resistance to VEN via inhibition of key AML kinases nge to the TUS administered with or without food allowing co-

ed to Address the Needs of Newly Diagnosed AML Patients

onsored by Aptose Biosciences. The following authors are employees of Aptose Biosciences: R Sinha, J Hu, N Khan, W Rice, and R Bejar