

# A Phase 1a/b Dose Escalation Study of the Mutation Agnostic FLT3/BTK Inhibitor Luxeptinib (CG-806) in Patients with Relapsed or Refractory Acute Myeloid Leukemia

Aaron D. Goldberg<sup>1</sup>, Maro Ohanian<sup>2</sup>, Paul B. Koller<sup>3</sup>, Jessica Altman<sup>4</sup>, Mohamad Cherry<sup>5</sup>, Benjamin Tomlinson<sup>6</sup>, Namrata Chandhok<sup>7</sup>, Hongying Zhang<sup>8</sup>, Stephen Howell<sup>9</sup>, William Rice<sup>8</sup>, Rafael Bejar<sup>8,9</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine, Leukemia Service, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY; <sup>2</sup>Department of Hematology and HCT, City of Hope National Medical Center, Duarte, CA; <sup>4</sup>Robert H. Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center, Morristown, NJ; <sup>6</sup>University, Chicago, IL; <sup>5</sup>Morristown, NJ; <sup>6</sup>University, Chicago, IL; <sup>5</sup>Morristown, Medical Center, Morristown, NJ; <sup>6</sup>University, Chicago, IL; <sup>5</sup>Morristown, Medical Center, Morristown, NJ; <sup>6</sup>University, Chicago, IL; <sup>5</sup>Morristown, NJ; <sup>6</sup>University, Chicago, IL; <sup>5</sup>Morristown, Medical Center, Morristown, NJ; <sup>6</sup>University, Chicago, IL; <sup>5</sup>Morristown, Medical Center, Morristown, NJ; <sup>6</sup>University, Chicago, IL; <sup>5</sup>Morristown, NJ; <sup>6</sup>Morristown, NJ; <sup>6</sup>Morristow

Completed 📿

Completed 📿

Ongoing

Planned

450 mg BID

600 mg BID

900 mg BID

1200 mg BID\* Planned

1050 mg BID

# INTRODUCTION

Luxeptinib (CG-806) is a potent oral small molecule inhibitor of the wild type and all mutant forms of the FLT3 kinase, including ITD, D835Y, and F691L. Luxeptinib simultaneously suppresses additional signaling pathways in AML cells (CSF1R, PDGFRα, TRK, SYK, BTK, LYN, AKT, ERK, MAPK), kills primary AML cells insensitive to other FLT3 inhibitors at pM and low nM concentrations, and shows enhanced activity in combination with venetoclax. Patient-derived AML cells retain sensitivity to luxeptinib even when harboring mutations of NPM1, IDH1, ASXL1, or TP53 Robust Preclinical Efficacy



NU	bust Freelin	cal Lineary		
Covered Ke	y Mutational	Subgroups	in	ΑΜΙ

FLT3	Lux potently inhibits both the wild type and all mutant forms of FLT3
NPM1	Lux inhibits <b>SYK</b> phosphorylation and efficiently suppresses its downstream pathways
DH1	AML patient samples with IDH1 mutations are more sensitive to Lux than IDH WT
ГР53	AML patient samples with wild type and mutant TP53 remain sensitive to Lux
NRAS	AML patient samples with wild type and mutant NRAS remain sensitive to Lux
ASXL1	AML patient samples with wild type and mutant ASXL1 are equally sensitive to Lux
кіт	Lux potently inhibits both the wild type and certain mutant forms of KIT

(Ongoi clinica who fa transp

1 (6.3%)

2 (12.5%)

patients. No DLTs occurred

in the other 5 patients and

Patient Demographics				
Patient Demographics	Cohorts 1 to 3 (N=16)*			
Median Age (Range), Years	73.5 (36, 81)			
Sex, N (%)				
Male	11 (68.8%)			
Female	5 (31.3%)			
Ethnicity, N (%)				
Not Hispanic or Latino	12 (75.0%)			
Hispanic or Latino	1 (6.3%) 2 (18.8%)			
Not Reported	3 (18.8%)			
Race, N (%)				
White	12 (75.0%) 2 (12 5%)			
Asian Nativo Hawaijan or Othor Pacific Islandor	2 (12.5%) 1 (6 2%)			
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Other	1 (6.3%) 1 (6.3%)			
Other FCOG Score N (%)	1 (6.3%)			
ECOG Score, N (%) 0 -Normal activity	2 (12.5%)			
0 -Normal activity 1 -Symptoms, but ambulatory	2 (12.5%) 13 (81.3%)			
2 -In bed <50% of the time	1 (6.3%)			
FLT3 Mutation Status, N (%)	- (/0)			
WT	3 (18.8%)			
ITD	11 (68.8%)			
TKD or gatekeeper mutations	4 (25.0%)			
AML Type, N (%)	ד (23.070)			
De novo	11 (68.8%)			
Secondary AML	4 (25.0%)			
Therapy-related AML	1 (6.3%)			
Relapsed or Refractory, N (%)				
Relapsed	3 (18.8%)			
Refractory	5 (31.3%)			
, Both Relapsed and Refractory	8 (50.0%)			
RBC Transfusion Dependent, N (%)				
Yes	12 (75.0%)			
Platelet Transfusion Dependent, N (%)				
Yes	11 (68.8%)			
Median Number of Lines of Prior Therapy (Range)	3 (1, 8)			
Chemotherapy, N(%)	10 (62.5%)			
Transplant, N (%)	3 (18.8%)			
Radiation, N(%)	1 (6.3%)			
Targeted and Immunotherapy, N (%)				
Hypomethylating Agent	16 (100%)			
Anti-BCL2 (venetoclax)	15 (93.8%)			
FLT3 Inhibitor**	10 (62.5%)			
Antibody drug conjugate	2 (12.5%)			
IDH1-Inhibitor (ivosidenib)	1 (6.3%)			
JAK Inhibitor (ruxolitinib)	1 (6.3%)			
	- <b>- -</b>			

\*Data-cut date: Oct 06, 2021 \*\*9 patients recieved gilteritinib, 5 of them also received other FLT3i crenolanib, quizartinib, or midostaurin. 1 patient received sorafenib.

Checkpoint Inhibitor (ipilimumab)

Other Experimental Agent

<sup>9</sup>Moores Cancer Center, University of California San Diego Health, San Diego, CA

# **OBJECTIVES & STUDY DESIGN**

ing Phase 1 a/b, open-label, single arm, multicenter, 3+3 dose-escalation
l study (NCT04477291) in Relapsed or refractory AML and higher-risk MDS
ailed or are ineligible for / intolerant of intensive chemotherapy or
lantation

- Patients administered oral capsules, twice daily on a 28-day cycle • Planned expansion cohorts after dose escalation
- Additional patients may be enrolled (**back filling**) at dose levels previously declared safe
- Intra-patient dose escalation is allowed if higher dose is safe in 3 or more patients

### **Primary objectives:**

- Assess safety and tolerability of luxeptinib (CG-806)
- Determine maximum tolerated dose (MTD) and / or recommended Phase 2 dose (RP2D)
- **Key secondary objectives:**
- Assess PK profile and PD activity
- Obtain preliminary evidence of antitumor activity

Safety	and	Toler	ahility	Profile
Jaiety	anu	IUIEI	ability	PIUIIE

Luxeptinib was generally	Events	Cohorts 1 to 3 (N=16)*
well tolerated across 450 – 750mg BID over multiple	Any Treatment Emergent Adverse Events (TEAEs)	15 (93.8%)
cycles.	Any TEAEs ≥ Grade 3	14 (87.5%)
	Any Luxeptinib <b>Related</b> TEAEs ≥ Grade 3	4 (25.0%)
Currently, dose level 900mg BID (Cohort 4) is ongoing.	TEAE Leading to Treatment Discontinuation	3 (18.8%)
	TEAE Leading to Death	3 (18.8%)
	Any Luxeptinib Related TEAEs Leading to Death	0 (0%)
One DLT occurred in each	Any Serious TEAEs (SAEs)	13 (81.3%)
dose level of 450mg and 750mg (scored as "possibly related" to study drug), requiring expansion to 6	Any Luxeptinib <b>Related</b> SAEs	2 (12.5%)†
	Dose Limiting Toxicity	2 (12.5%)++
	* Data-cut date: Oct 06, 2021	

<sup>+</sup> One patient had Grade 3 encephalopathy; another patient had Grade 3 pericardial effusion and Grade 2 pleural effusion. All were assessed as **possibly** related to Lux. supported dose escalation. ++ The above mentioned two Grade 3 SAEs in two patients were assessed as DLTs.

### Luxeptinib Related Treatment Emergent Adverse Events

Drofownad Tawas	Cohorts 1 to 3 (N=16)*			
Preferred Term	Any Grade, N (%)	Grade 3, N (%)	Grade 4, N (%)	
Any Related TEAE	9 (56.3%)	2 (12.5%)	2 (12.5%)	
Fatigue	3 (18.8%)	0	0	
Nausea	3 (18.8%)	0	0	
Anaemia	2 (12.5%)	2 (12.5%)	0	
Blood alkaline phosphatase increased	2 (12.5%)	0	0	
Platelet count decreased	2 (12.5%)	0	2 (12.5%)	
Abdominal distension	1 (6.3%)	0	0	
Abdominal pain	1 (6.3%)	0	0	
Activated partial thromboplastin time prolonged	1 (6.3%)	0	0	
Constipation	1 (6.3%)	0	0	
Decreased appetite	1 (6.3%)	0	0	
Diarrhoea	1 (6.3%)	0	0	
Encephalopathy	1 (6.3%)	1 (6.3%)	0	
Headache	1 (6.3%)	0	0	
Hyperphosphataemia	1 (6.3%)	0	0	
Insomnia	1 (6.3%)	0	0	
Lymphocyte count decreased	1 (6.3%)	1 (6.3%)	0	
Neutrophil count decreased	1 (6.3%)	0	1 (6.3%)	
Pericardial effusion	1 (6.3%)	1 (6.3%)	0	
Photophobia	1 (6.3%)	0	0	
Pleural effusion	1 (6.3%)	0	0	
Weight decreased	1 (6.3%)	0	0	
White blood cell count decreased	1 (6.3%)	1 (6.3%)	0	

\*No luxeptinib related TEAEs = Grade 5 as of Oct 06, 2021

# **ASH2021 Abstract# 1272**



11 FLT3-ITD (69%), 4 FLT3-TKD/gatekeeper mutant (25%) including 2 ITD+F691L, 1 D835Y, 1 D698H, 3 FLT3 WT

• 10 (62.5%) patients had prior FLT3i therapy.

• 4 patients in DDI study co-administered with a CYP3A4/5 inhibiting azole anti-fungal. • 4 patients continue treatment on study





We thank our principal investigators, clinical site staff, and most importantly, our patients and their families for their participation in this clinical trial.

relapsed FLT3-ITD AML patients as evidenced by significant reduction of FLT3-ITD VAF and blasts in bone marrow and / or peripheral blood. One FLT3-ITD AML patient has had confirmed MRD-negative CR and continues treatment in Cycle 12.

**Currently treating patients with R/R AML and higher-risk MDS at 900** mg BID in Cohort 4

Currently also treating patients with R/R B-cell malignancies in a Ph 1 a/b study (NCT03893682, the latest update presented at ASH2021 abstract/poster#1355)

Disclosures: Current clinical study is sponsored by Aptose Biosciences Inc. The following authors are employees of Aptose Biosciences Inc.: H Zhang, N Rastgoo, K Benbatoul, G Su, D Haney, Y Jin, J Marango, W Rice and R Bejar