



2019 ANNUAL REPORT

April 28, 2020

Dear Fellow MaxLinear Stockholders:

I am pleased to note that in 2019, we made significant progress towards establishing MaxLinear as a broad-based leader in RF and high-performance analog/mixed signal networking technology products. We realized \$317.2 million in net revenue and \$167.7 million in gross profit driven by an increasingly diverse product portfolio spanning Infrastructure, Connected Home, and Industrial and Multi-Market segments. In 2019, Infrastructure, Connected Home, and Industrial and Multi-market segments represented 27%, 48%, and 25%, respectively, of overall revenue.

Our infrastructure products, particularly wireless network backhaul solutions and high performance analog products, offset revenue weakness in our Connected Home products. In Connected Home, our broadband cable data gateway revenues were adversely impacted by continued delays in the qualification of a large customer's data gateway platform by the end customer. Also, like many of our peers, shifts in several of our end customers' manufacturing supply chains in response to U.S. import tariffs on Chinese-made products temporarily reduced demand. There were additional challenges due to regulatory impacts driven by the trade war in China and its implications at one of our large infrastructure customers. Despite these short-term impediments, we are continuing to execute on our growth plans for our infrastructure business with new product introductions addressing both cloud data center and 5G wireless infrastructure market opportunities.

As a company, our long-term strategy is tied to the secular and unprecedented growth in demand for fatter and faster data pipes inside the home, into the home, and throughout the cloud communications wired and wireless network infrastructure. Increasingly, industrial, enterprise, and home devices are being connected over the internet, collectively referred to as the Internet of Things (IoT). The sheer amount of information generated and made available for further processing in an IoT world necessitates the continuous upgrade of wireless, cloud computing, and storage network data bandwidth capacity and associated equipment. Our unique broadband, high frequency, mixed-signal circuit design and digital signal processing capabilities are ideally suited to eliminate these emerging data capacity and high-speed bottlenecks inside networks.

We continue to make progress addressing the high speed 400Gbps fiber interconnects for hyperscale cloud datacenter applications with our industry leading Telluride family of 400G PAM-4 silicon solutions. We see traction with this Telluride family of datacenter fiber-interconnect products with our first design win at the world's largest data center operator. This should provide meaningful revenue growth in the short term and we believe will represent the beginning of significant future growth driven by a rapid increase in data traffic and the demand for data bandwidth inside enterprise and cloud datacenters.

We are also making excellent progress with our wireless infrastructure portfolio adding our 5G Wireless Access single-chip massive MIMO radio-transceiver solution addressing Remote Radio Units (RRU) and Active Antenna Systems (AAS). We delivered our first design wins in this new product area and expect to see production revenues begin in late 2020. We believe this early success should be the beginning of a substantial growth opportunity in a very large, high growth market as worldwide adoption of 5G firmly takes hold.

Organizationally, we continued to expand the scale, scope, and capability of our research and development at our design centers in the U.S. and around the world. We are increasingly optimistic about the success of our strategic research and development investments in the areas of wireless and wireline network infrastructure, broadband access, and high performance analog products. Although in the near term, the health and economic crises presented by the COVID-19 pandemic will certainly affect the semiconductor industry, we believe that our disciplined investments will in the long-term deliver on our growth aspirations.

In closing, I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere gratitude to the entire MaxLinear family – our customers, our suppliers, our employees and their families, and our stockholders.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Kishore Seendripu". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

Kishore Seendripu, Ph.D.,
Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

☒ **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2019

OR

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Transition Period From _____ to _____

Commission file number: 001-34666

MaxLinear, Inc.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

5966 La Place Court, Suite 100, Carlsbad, California

(Address of principal executive offices)

14-1896129

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

92008

(Zip Code)

(760) 692-0711

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| <u>Title of each class</u> | <u>Trading Symbol(s)</u> | <u>Name of the exchange on which registered</u> |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Common Stock | MXL | New York Stock Exchange |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer ☒

Non-accelerated Filer ☐

Accelerated Filer ☐

Smaller Reporting Company ☐

Emerging Growth Company ☐

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act. ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

The aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock, \$0.0001 par value per share, held by non-affiliates of the registrant on June 30, 2019, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, was \$1.5 billion (based on the closing sales price of the registrant's common stock on that date). Shares of the registrant's common stock held by each officer and director and each person known to the registrant to own 10% or more of the outstanding voting power of the registrant have been excluded in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. This determination of affiliate status with respect to the foregoing calculation is not a determination for other purposes.

As of January 29, 2020, the registrant has 71,947,085 shares of common stock, par value \$0.0001, outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Information required by Part III of this Form 10-K is incorporated by reference to the registrant's proxy statement (the "Proxy Statement") for the 2020 annual meeting of stockholders, which proxy statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K.

MAXLINEAR, INC.
TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Part I | <u>Page</u> |
|----------|--|-------------|
| Item 1. | Business | 4 |
| Item 1A. | Risk Factors | 16 |
| Item 1B. | Unresolved Staff Comments | 38 |
| Item 2. | Properties | 38 |
| Item 3. | Legal Proceedings | 38 |
| Item 4. | Mine Safety Disclosures | 39 |
| | Part II | |
| Item 5. | Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities | 40 |
| Item 6. | Selected Financial Data | 42 |
| Item 7. | Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 43 |
| Item 7A. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 56 |
| Item 8. | Financial Statements and Supplementary Data | 57 |
| Item 9. | Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | 57 |
| Item 9A. | Controls and Procedures | 57 |
| Item 9B. | Other Information | 59 |
| | Part III | |
| Item 10. | Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance | 60 |
| Item 11. | Executive Compensation | 60 |
| Item 12. | Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters | 60 |
| Item 13. | Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence | 60 |
| Item 14. | Principal Accounting Fees and Services | 60 |
| | Part IV | |
| Item 15. | Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules | 61 |

MAXLINEAR, INC.

PART I

Forward-Looking Statements

The information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2019, or this Form 10-K, contains forward-looking statements and information within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which are subject to the “safe harbor” created by those sections. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements concerning our strategy, future operations, future financial position, future revenues, projected costs, prospects and plans and objectives of management. The words “anticipates,” “believes,” “estimates,” “expects,” “intends,” “may,” “plans,” “projects,” “will,” “would” and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements, although not all forward-looking statements contain these identifying words. We may not actually achieve the plans, intentions or expectations disclosed in our forward-looking statements and you should not place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements. Actual results or events could differ materially from the plans, intentions and expectations disclosed in the forward-looking statements that we make. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements, including, without limitation, the risks set forth in Part I, Item 1A, “Risk Factors” in this Form 10-K. We do not assume any obligation to update any forward-looking statements except as required by law.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Corporate Information

We incorporated in the State of Delaware in September 2003. Our executive offices are located at 5966 La Place Court, Suite 100, Carlsbad, California 92008, and our telephone number is (760) 692-0711. In this Form 10-K, unless the context otherwise requires, the “Company,” “we,” “us” and “our” refer to MaxLinear, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries. Our website address is www.maxlinear.com. The contents of our website are not incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K. We provide free of charge through a link on our website access to our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K, as well as amendments to those reports, as soon as reasonably practical after the reports are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC. Refer to *Intellectual Property Rights* section below for a list of our trademarks and trade names. All other trademarks and trade names appearing in this Form 10-K are the property of their respective owners.

Overview

We are a provider of radio frequency, or RF, high-performance analog, and mixed-signal communications systems-on-chip solutions for the connected home, wired and wireless infrastructure, and industrial and multi-market applications. We are a fabless integrated circuit design company whose products integrate all or substantial portions of a broadband communication system. In most cases, these products are designed on a single silicon-die, using standard digital CMOS processes and conventional packaging technologies. We believe this enables our solutions to achieve superior power, performance, and cost advantages relative to our industry competition. Our customers include electronics distributors, module makers, original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, and original design manufacturers, or ODMs, who incorporate our products in a wide range of electronic devices. Examples of such end market electronic devices incorporating our products include cable DOCSIS broadband modems and gateways; wireline connectivity devices for in-home networking applications; RF transceivers and modems for wireless carrier access and backhaul infrastructure; fiber-optic modules for data center, metro, and long-haul transport networks; video set-top boxes and gateways; hybrid analog and digital televisions, direct broadcast satellite outdoor and indoor units; and power management and interface products used in these and a range of other markets.

We combine our high-performance RF and mixed-signal semiconductor design skills with our expertise in digital communications systems, software, high-performance analog, and embedded systems to provide highly integrated semiconductor devices and platform-level solutions that are manufactured using a range of semiconductor manufacturing processes, including low-cost complementary metal oxide semiconductor, or CMOS, process technology, Silicon Germanium, Gallium Arsenide, BiCMOS and Indium Phosphide process technologies. Our ability to design analog and mixed-signal circuits in CMOS allows us to efficiently combine analog and digital signal processing functionality in the same integrated circuit. As a result, our solutions have high levels of functional integration and performance, small silicon die size, and low power consumption. We are uniquely positioned to offer customers a combination of proprietary CMOS-based radio system architectures that provide the benefits of superior RF system performance, along with high-performance analog interface and

power management solutions that enable shorter design cycles, significant design flexibility and low system cost across a wide range of broadband communications, wired and wireless infrastructure, and industrial and multi-market applications.

Industry Background

Over the last two decades, the availability of ubiquitous internet connectivity has resulted in an exponential growth in data content consumption, delivery, and distribution. The rapid rise of social media, the increasing popularity of broadcast video delivery services such as Netflix, Amazon Prime, and Hulu, new high definition multimedia content, and expanding 4G/5G wireless data access have led to an explosion in data traffic and created an insatiable demand for data bandwidth. Increasingly, industrial, enterprise, and home devices, such as, high-efficiency environmentally friendly or "green" lighting, smart appliances in the connected home, commercial air-conditioning, video surveillance equipment, manufacturing machinery, and point-of-sale asset tracking systems are being connected over the internet, collectively referred to as the Internet of Things, or IoT. Society's drive towards increased energy efficiency and productivity gains is inextricably linked to an expanding interconnected world of devices and people. The sheer amount of information generated and made available for further processing in an IoT world necessitates the continuous upgrade of network bandwidth capacity and associated equipment. Cloud based computing services for storage, big data analytics, and internet data traffic management require massive high-speed data processing and data sharing between servers, switches, and routers within large hyperscale and enterprise data centers. As a result, the growth in demand for fatter and faster data pipes (higher data capacity and lower data latency networks) inside the home, into the home, and throughout the cloud and wired and wireless communications network infrastructure is unprecedented. The elimination of data capacity and speed bottlenecks inside networks is heavily reliant on wide spectrum or broadband, high frequency circuits, and digital signal processing algorithms that can improve spectrum utilization efficiency, and can be integrated into cost effective, low power, mixed-signal system-on-chip semiconductor solutions. We believe that several of these trends are key drivers across multiple of our target markets. These trends include the following:

- *Connected Home:* Competing cable, satellite, and other broadband video and data service providers differentiate their services by offering consumers bundled video, voice, and broadband data access. Many of today's service provider home gateway set-top boxes enable consumers to simultaneously access and manage multimedia content from multiple locations and screens in the home. These home gateway or set-top box devices are required to simultaneously receive, demodulate, and decode multiple signals spread across several channels of frequency bandwidth over a wide frequency range. In traditional set-top box architectures, each simultaneously accessed spectrum of signal is processed by a dedicated RF receiver and transmitter. In the emerging cable and satellite home gateway or media servers, content is delivered from the gateway/server to "thin" or remote IP clients that do not have traditional TV tuners. Each of these gateways and clients necessarily includes a broadband RF transceiver SoC based on MoCA, G.hn, or WiFi home data connectivity standards. As a result, the number of RF transceivers required in each gateway or client is greatly increased. For example, in order to deliver the increasing data bandwidth requirements of the home, cable MSOs have begun initial deployments of DOCSIS 3.1 equipment and services, which enable channel bonding or the concurrent reception of multiple channels of frequency bands, resulting in a higher aggregate "sum of the channels" bandwidth available to cable subscribers. With the increasing popularity of accessing multimedia content over-the-top, or OTT, via broadband-enabled streaming services, consumers are augmenting OTT multimedia content services with free over-the-air (OTA) terrestrial TV broadcast programming (also referred to as "Cord Cutting"). Therefore, cord-cutting OTT streaming media platforms also require one or more terrestrial TV RF receiver and demodulator SoC solutions.
- *Infrastructure:* The demand for "faster and fatter" data pipes and equipment in enterprise and telecommunications infrastructure markets is being primarily driven by the explosion of data traffic generated by mobile devices, OTT streaming video services, and cloud computing and data analytics.

Inside hyper-scale data centers, high speed optical interconnect products provide the interconnect function between the servers to the top-of-rack switch, which is also connected to the core-router. Through a hierarchy of switches and routers, servers not only connect to each other horizontally inside the data center, but are also connected to the external transport network. High speed interconnect products are also present inside the service provider's metro and long-haul high-speed fiber-optic connections of the transport network. Data center links and equipment are also consistently being upgraded for performance and speed. Currently, while server connections are transitioning from 1Gbps to 10Gbps links, routers and switches are moving from 10/40Gbps to 100Gbps, and to 400Gbps interconnect speeds. Likewise, as data traffic demand continues to grow, the number of servers and a server's capacity to process massive amounts of data is poised to increase dramatically. Cloud services and machine learning are dependent upon the ability to interconnect vast numbers of servers and storage inside a data center as efficiently as possible, enabling the entire data center to act as a single computing or data processing

unit. For this reason, improving the bandwidth of data center networking technology within and between data centers is critical to the data center technology roadmap. At the same time, the cooling of these large scale and densely configured data centers requires extremely low power and highly integrated large bandwidth interconnect solutions.

Within wireless access and backhaul infrastructure, the increasing data traffic from 3G/4G/5G wireless access enabled smartphones and IoT devices requires extremely high bandwidth capacity wireless backhaul and fronthaul point-to-point transport links. As a result, microwave wireless backhaul and fronthaul transport links are migrating to millimeter wave operating frequencies, where a large increase in available spectrum improves data capacity more than tenfold. The finite, and fractured wireless spectrum designated for 5G access is being used more efficiently by increasing the complexity of radio transceivers to be able to aggregate multiple non-contiguous channels of spectrum. At the same time, these complex radio transceivers are feeding antenna arrays that can direct wireless signals more efficiently to the target user, vastly improving coverage (range) and maximum data rates. 5G Wireless technology improves data rates and spectral efficiency by deploying antenna arrays consisting of multiple antenna elements and an equivalent large number of radio transceivers per each base-station. Increasing the density of 5G wireless cells geographically, for capacity and coverage, not only requires more base-stations per unit cell, but it also entails the upgrade of the wireless and optical backhaul transport network connecting the cells. The rollout of 5G functionality within base-stations presents unprecedented technical challenges in radio transceiver design. It involves larger bandwidth spectrum handling capability; extremely high operating radio frequency range; support of antenna array functionality; and the ability to transport high speed data to and from the base-station, all the while consuming extremely low power.

In cable infrastructure, in order to deliver extremely high data bandwidth to homes and businesses, conventional copper and analog broadband networks are being upgraded to deploy digital fiber deeper or closer to the subscriber premises. Currently, the deep optical fiber is being locally terminated at fiber nodes, which “passively” convert the optical signals to electrical signals to be transported over the coaxial cable network that connects to the end subscriber premises. Coaxial cable networks are not only ubiquitous, but are also extremely expensive to replace with fiber which extends all the way to the subscriber premises. As a result, service providers are striving to extract greater data bandwidth capacity from their existing coaxial cable networks. In the cable DOCSIS3.1 network configuration, new fiber node installations are required to support proactive “network monitoring” (“active fiber nodes”), continually monitoring and optimizing spectrum usage in the coaxial cable, to deliver up to 10Gbps of available data capacity to any subscriber. Innovation in radio frequency broadband circuits and signal processing is necessary to meet the cost, power consumption, and size constraints of existing and new fiber node installations.

Increasing bandwidth severely stresses the limits of current semiconductor device technology, and drives up power consumption. The costly thermal constraints imposed by the additional power dissipation has made it impractical to push more data bandwidth or throughput inside network switches and other interconnects using existing communication signaling techniques. To surmount these challenges, design innovation in broadband analog circuits, and digital signal processing are required for network systems to reach higher data rates at much lower power consumption per unit bandwidth of data capacity.

- *Industrial & Multi-Market:* We have proven technical competency serving the connected world. Increasingly, in the industrial world, manufacturing equipment and appliances are connected to each other and to the cloud to better optimize utilization, improve power consumption, and plant management. Legacy equipment and new installations need to communicate with each other via newer and older connectivity protocol standards. This in turn creates opportunities for the growth of interface products, and interface bridge products supporting multiple protocols. We believe our interface product portfolio, which consists of serial interface, universal serial bus (USB), universal asynchronous receiver transmitter (UARTS), peripheral interconnect express (PCIe) devices, data converters, power management integrated chips (PMICs), and force touch sensing modules, creates new growth vectors across communications, industrial and multiple other end markets.

The development of broadband, low power, integrated communication systems-on-chip solutions is at the heart of competitiveness across a range of different businesses spanning broadband wireline access, mobile data services, hyperscale cloud data centers providing search, social networking and OTT streaming services, and cloud computation and storage markets. The proliferation of high frequency, high bandwidth, low power applications with advanced features has led to a rapid increase in the demand for systems that require multiple radio-frequency, mixed-signal, and high-performance analog and digital signal processing transceiver SoCs.

Challenges Faced by Providers of Systems and RF Transceivers and Optical Interconnects

The performance requirements of high bandwidth spectrum-efficient applications consisting of broadband data access and connectivity at home, 4G/5G wireless access and backhaul communications network infrastructure, and high-speed optical interconnect applications in data center, metro, and long-haul telecom transport are extremely stringent. In particular, designing and implementing high-frequency, high-bandwidth RF transceiver systems is extremely challenging owing to the high operating frequency ranges and the spectrally scattered wide frequency bands across which the communication signal is transmitted. As a result, system designers encounter significantly more sources of interference than in the case of traditional narrow band, low-frequency communication systems. Traditional implementation of narrow band single-channel RF transceivers entails conventional radio system architectures that requires expensive discrete components, and are fabricated in costly special purpose semiconductor technologies, such as silicon germanium, gallium arsenide, and RF enhanced CMOS process technologies.

The key challenges of capturing and processing high quality broadband communications signals include:

- *Receiving single or multiple RF/digital communications signals spanning multiple frequency bands over a wide spectrum.* Many of the advanced high data rate applications require the simultaneous RF reception of multiple channels or frequency bands in order to first aggregate, and then subsequently demodulate the data signal, which is spread over discrete disparate frequency bands. Likewise, high data rate transmission is achieved by disaggregating the user's data signal and transmitting it over multiple available frequency bands spanning a wide frequency spectrum. For example, in the cable set-top box and broadband gateway markets, it is necessary to support the simultaneous reception of multiple channels of high definition video, voice, and data applications in many system designs. OEMs meet these stringent requirements via multiple narrow or wideband RF receivers, each of which is dedicated to the reception of a single frequency band. An alternate, but highly challenging approach involves Full Spectrum Capture (FSCTM) receiver SoCs which can receive and digitize the entire available RF frequency spectrum in the transmission medium. They can then select and aggregate the relevant frequency bands over which the data is spread using analog and mixed-signal digital co-processing techniques. In conventional architectures, use of discrete multiple RF receivers is costly or unviable due to increased design complexity, overall cost, circuit board space, power consumption and heat dissipation. In addition, such implementations suffer from signal integrity issues, reliability, signal interference, and thermal challenges owing to the proximity of sensitive multiple RF receivers and discrete components in a limited PCB footprint.
- *Signal Clarity Performance Requirements.* In communications systems, performance is limited by the quality of the received/transmitted signal, which is referred to as the signal-to-noise ratio or dynamic range of the transceiver. The signal-to-noise ratio measures the strength of the desired signal relative to the total noise and undesired signal energy in the same channel. High definition satellite and terrestrial digital video, high capacity 5G wireless cellular data networks operating across non-contiguous wireless spectrum bands, and wired coaxial cable and power-line networks require broadband RF transceivers with large dynamic range. These transceiver systems are required to isolate the desired signals from the undesired signals, which are invariably present in the wide operating frequency range. The undesired signals not only include the noise generated by extraneous radio waves, but also interference produced by home appliances, enterprise communications equipment, and other wireless networking systems. For example, in broadband television reception, traditional RF transceiver implementations utilize expensive discrete components, such as band-pass filters, resonance elements, and varactor diodes to separate the desired signals from the interfering signals. In high speed mobile environments, diversity combining of radio signals and multi-user MIMO, in which the desired signal is spread over multiple frequency bands, is achieved using multiple RF transceivers. Analog and digital signal processing is employed to reconstruct the original signal and to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. While diversity combining of radio signals significantly improves signal-to-noise ratio, it requires sophisticated RF, analog and digital signal co-processing, and software expertise. Broadband reception and diversity combining of RF signals in mobile environments are extremely difficult to implement due to the stringent size, cost, and power consumption constraints. Also, higher order modulation of communication signals, which enables maximization of data capacity in a finite spectrum, requires extremely high signal-to-noise or dynamic range, which greatly increases the difficulty of implementing broadband systems.
- *Power Consumption.* Power consumption is an important consideration in consumer, broadband operator, and wired and wireless infrastructure applications. For example, in battery-operated devices such as notebooks, and voice-enabled cable modems with backup battery requirements to support E911 services, long battery life is a differentiating device attribute. In wireless infrastructure applications, the cost of provisioning power to base-

station antenna towers and the operating cost attributable to energy consumption is extremely high. In wired optical infrastructure, such as data centers, the cost and technical challenges of cooling larger scale, densely configured data centers are quite significant. In many multiple transceiver system designs, a majority of the system's overall power consumption can be ascribed to RF transceiver and related components. Providers of RF transceivers and RF transceiver digital signal processing SoCs are confronted with the design challenge of lowering power consumption while improving the device performance.

- *Size.* The size of electronic components, such as RF transceivers and digital signal processing SoCs, is a key consideration for system designers and the service providers that deploy them. Given the proliferation of the number of RF transceivers in broadband applications such as service provider video and data gateway markets, size is a determining factor in the selection of a silicon vendor's component. In wired optical infrastructure applications inside data centers, rapidly increasing network server and switch face-plate density trends are aggressively driving reduction of the size of interconnects. In wireless infrastructure, space on the base-station radio towers, where the radios and modems are mounted, is highly constrained and is extremely expensive to procure. In 5G wireless access, the deployment of massive MIMO and antenna arrays and cell densification for coverage and capacity greatly increase the number of radio transceivers required in each base-station radio tower, as well as the number of base-stations in a cell.

There are also challenges that are specific to the processing of high-speed optical interconnect signals in our target data center, metro and long-haul telecommunications transport markets.

- *Optical Fiber Channel Impairments.* The optical properties of the fiber material result in impairments to the optical signal as it propagates along the fiber. These impairments include not only the loss of light intensity, but also the adverse modal, chromatic, and polarization dispersion effects on light during its propagation in the fiber. These impairments degrade signal integrity, which not only reduces the maximum data throughput, but also limits the distance over which data can propagate over passive fiber. Further, electrical signal impairments are introduced in the process of conversion of optical signals to electrical signals. Therefore, RF transceivers and PHY SoCs present inside optical modules are required to correct both electrical and optical signal impairments at both ends of the fiber termination.
- *Optical Device Technology.* The state of the art in optical device technology today lags the rapidly increasing speed requirements of data traffic within cloud data centers and optical transport links between telecom data centers. So, there are severe physical limits to the conversion of electrical signals to optical signals and vice versa at extremely high speeds. These limitations arise from bandwidth, nonlinearities, and noise properties in lasers, modulators, and photo detectors used in optical modules.
- *Form Factor.* The form factor of the face plates in server, storage, switch, and networking racks in data centers limits the amount of heat that can be dissipated within an enclosure. The power consumption of electrical and optical devices inside the transceivers, to which optical fibers are connected, has been dramatically increasing. This imposes severe and costly thermal design challenges in the development of systems. As data rates have increased dramatically, the physical form of the face plates and connectors incorporating optical devices have not scaled rapidly enough to accommodate the corresponding increase in power density.

Our RF and Mixed-Signal Platform Solutions

We are a provider of radio-frequency, or RF, high performance analog, and mixed-signal communications systems-on-chip solutions for the connected home, wired and wireless infrastructure, and industrial and multi-market applications. We are a fabless integrated circuit design company whose products integrate all or substantial portions of a broadband communication system. In most cases, these products are designed on a single silicon-die, using standard digital CMOS processes and conventional packaging technologies. This enables our solutions to achieve superior power, performance, and cost advantages relative to our industry competition. Our customers include electronics distributors, module makers, original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), and original design manufacturers (ODMs), who incorporate the Company's products in a wide range of electronic devices. Examples of such end market electronic devices incorporating our products include cable DOCSIS broadband modems and gateways; wireline connectivity devices for in-home networking applications; RF transceivers and modems for wireless carrier access and backhaul infrastructure; fiber-optic modules for data center, metro, and long-haul transport networks; video set-top boxes and gateways; hybrid analog and digital televisions, direct broadcast satellite outdoor and indoor units; and power management and interface products used in these and a range of other markets.

Our products exemplify our core integrated circuit design and communications systems engineering capabilities:

- *Proprietary broadband/RF, analog and mixed-signal transceiver front ends.* Our analog and mixed-signal IC designers implement complex broadband radio transceiver front ends in standard silicon CMOS processes. Our ability to integrate complex RF/Analog and mixed-signal circuits in standard CMOS processes enables single-die integration of a complete digital signal processing communication system. This results in state-of-the-art performance, highest energy efficiency or lowest power, smallest form factor, and the lowest manufacturing cost of a target function. Our high performance mixed-signal design capability, which involves the high-speed conversion of signals precisely and efficiently between analog and digital domains, is core to all our products and market applications. Our mixed-signal capabilities have allowed us to design Full Spectrum Capture™ (FSC) receivers which digitize wide swaths of frequency spectrum. For example, in cable DOCSIS3.1 data gateways, our single-chip FSC receivers digitize the entire cable spectrum and aggregate multiple frequency bands or channels using analog and digital signal co-processing to enable multi-gigabit data services. Our architectural and circuit innovations have resulted in a 100-fold reduction in power per unit bandwidth in broadband DOCSIS cable modems, while increasing the total data throughput by an even greater factor. In our latest products, which address the emerging 400Gbps high speed optical interconnect applications inside the data center, our transceivers digitize and aggregate 4 lanes of 100Gbps of high-speed data signals coming across the fiber, delivering 400Gbps of data throughput. Our microwave backhaul RF transceiver constitutes the industry's first single-chip CMOS implementation. It not only has the capability to receive signals spanning an extremely wide 5GHz to 45GHz frequency range, but it is also able to aggregate signals spread over multiple disparate frequency bands to support multi-gigabit-per-second data speeds. As a result, wireless backhaul outdoor units incorporating our solution have the lowest power consumption, smallest form factor, superior performance, and the lowest system cost of any wireless backhaul outdoor unit of which we are aware.
- *Advanced digital signal processing ASIC design and algorithms.* Our signal processing algorithm and digital ASIC design expertise is at the core of our ability to employ digital signal processing to enable breakthroughs in CMOS analog RF front-end design and vice-versa. For example, impairments introduced by analog systems such as power amplifiers and photonics devices are canceled using sophisticated digital signal processing algorithms to achieve superior signal quality, reduce power consumption, and improve the speed of operation. Communication systems across a range of our current and future target markets share common signal processing functions, such as efficient error control coding, compensation for transmission medium or channel induced impairments, and digital processing of wideband signals. So, algorithmic breakthroughs in one application are directly applicable to other product areas.
- *Architecture and system design for highly-integrated end-to-end communication systems on a single-chip.* Our novel design techniques tradeoff individual signal path circuit level performance to optimize the overall system performance. Our holistic system level design approach eliminates costly, and power-hungry overdesign of individual circuit elements in the signal path. As a result, we are able to address more complex customer problems that require a deeper understanding of the customer's end product. Many of our products not only integrate the entire physical layer (PHY), but also implement complete protocol stacks. Examples of these products include our fully integrated single-chip solutions for in-home wireline connectivity, namely G.hn and MoCA solutions. The integration of entire systems on a single-chip reduces the number of external board-level components, decreases board space, improves performance, simplifies customers' product design, and significantly reduces power consumption.
- *Low-power design methodology.* Reduced power consumption is extremely important to our end products and markets. The superior energy efficiency of our products reflects our years of cumulative experience and R&D investment in system architecture, semiconductor device modeling, and integrated circuit design expertise. At extremely high data rates, when electrical signals transit on and off the chip, there is a severe penalty in speed and power consumption. Therefore, significant reduction in power consumption of a device requires minimization of signal transitions between multiple chips. Our ability to achieve the highest levels of integration of all analog/RF and digital signal processing functionality on the same chip minimizes power consumption by eliminating such signal transitions. Our solutions disproportionately impact our end-customer's product power dissipation, such as in cable modems, cable FDX fiber nodes, 400Gbps optical transceiver modules, and large 5G antenna radio transceiver arrays. Low power dissipation not only simplifies costly thermal design, but also eliminates the need for bulky fans and other cooling aids. This in turn improves end customer product reliability, increases the density of product features that can be supported in a compact footprint, and reduces overall system cost.

- *Embedded systems architecture.* Our products contain complex integrated CPU subsystems. These subsystems typically include multiple low-power microprocessor cores, bus and peripherals, memory controllers, and interrupt processing. In addition to signal processing and supervisory activity functions, we also implement multiple layers of real-time embedded firmware and protocol stacks on a single-chip. We believe our expertise and track record of successfully developing widely deployed, reliable embedded protocols for networking applications are essential to the evolution of connected home products of the future. Our firmware design capability is critical to the ease of use of our products in end customer platforms.
- *Scalable Platform.* Our products share a highly modular, core radio system and digital signal processing architecture, which enables us to offer fully integrated broadband RF transceiver based digital communication SoC solutions. They meet the stringent performance requirements of a wide variety of end market applications and standards. This contrasts to legacy solutions that require significant customization to conform to the various regional standards, technical performance and product feature requirements. As a result, our customers can minimize their design resources required to develop applications for multiple target markets using our “platform” solutions. In addition, we can deploy our engineering resources more efficiently to both diversify and address larger communications end markets.

Our Strategy

Our objective is to be the leading provider of highly integrated mixed-signal RF transceivers and SoCs for the connected home, wired and wireless infrastructure, and industrial and multi-market applications. In the future, we aim to leverage our core analog and digital signal co-processing competency to expand into other communications markets with similar performance requirements. The key elements of our strategy are:

- *Extend Technology Leadership in RF Transceivers and RF Transceiver + Digital Signal Processing SoCs.* We believe that our success thus far is largely attributable to our RF and mixed-signal design capability, as well as advanced digital design expertise. We have leveraged this core competency to develop high-performance, low-cost semiconductor solutions for broadband communications applications spanning the connected home, wireless access and backhaul network infrastructure, and high-speed fiber-optic modules for data center, metro, and long-haul infrastructure markets. The broadband market presents significant opportunities for innovation through the further integration of RF and mixed-signal functionality with digital signal processing capability in CMOS process technology. By doing so, we believe we will be able to deliver products with lower power consumption, superior performance, and increased cost benefits to system designers and service providers. We believe that our core competencies and design expertise in this market will enable us to acquire more customers and design wins over time. We will continue to invest in this capability and strive to be an innovation leader in this market.
- *Leverage and Expand our Existing Customer Base.* We target customers who are leaders in their respective markets. We intend to continue to focus on sales to customers who are leaders in our current target markets, and to build on our relationships with these leading customers to define and enhance our product roadmap. By solving the specific problems faced by our customers, we can minimize the risks associated with our customers’ adoption of our new integrated circuit products, and reduce the length of time from the start of product design to customer revenue. Further, engaging with market leaders will enable us to participate in emerging technology trends and new industry standards.
- *Target Additional High-Growth Markets.* Our core competency is in RF analog and mixed-signal integrated circuit design in CMOS process technology. Several of the technological challenges involved in developing RF solutions for video broadcasting and broadband reception are common to a majority of broader communications markets. We intend to leverage our core competency in developing highly integrated RF transceiver and RF transceiver SoCs in standard CMOS process technology to address additional markets within broadband communications, communications infrastructure, and connectivity markets that we believe offer high growth potential.
- *Expand Global Presence.* Due to the global nature of our supply chain and customer locations, we intend to continue to expand our sales, design and technical support organization both in the United States and overseas. In particular, we expect to align our regional support to our customer base. We believe that our customers will increasingly expect this kind of local capability and support.
- *Attract and Retain Top Talent.* We are committed to recruiting and retaining highly talented personnel with proven expertise in the design, development, marketing and sales of communications integrated circuits. We believe that we have assembled a high-quality team in all the areas of expertise required at an integrated circuit design

company. We provide an attractive work environment for all of our employees. We believe that our ability to attract the best engineers is a critical component of our future growth and success in our chosen markets.

Products

Our products are integrated into a wide range of electronic devices, including cable, terrestrial, and satellite video set-top boxes and gateways, cable DOCSIS data and voice gateways, hybrid analog and digital televisions, direct broadcast satellite outdoor units, optical modules for data center, metro, and long-haul transport network applications, RF transceivers and modem solutions for wireless carrier infrastructure applications, wireline connectivity devices for in-home networking applications and last-mile broadband access, and power management and interface products for enterprise networking, infrastructure, industrial, and multi-market applications.

We provide our customers with guidelines, known as reference designs, so that they can efficiently use our products in their product designs. We currently provide the following types of semiconductors:

- *RF Receivers.* These semiconductor products combine RF receiver technology that traditionally required multiple external discrete components, such as very high frequency, or VHF, and ultra-high frequency, or UHF, tracking filters, surface acoustic wave, or SAW, filters, intermediate-frequency, or IF, amplifiers, low noise amplifiers and transformers. All of these external components have been either eliminated or integrated into a single semiconductor produced entirely in standard CMOS process technology.
- *RF Receiver SoCs.* These semiconductor products combine the functionality of RF receivers, and demodulators in a single chip. In some configurations, these products may incorporate multiple RF receivers and single or multiple demodulators in a single chip to provide application or market specific solutions to customers.
- *Wireless Infrastructure Backhaul RF Receivers and Modem SoC's.* These semiconductor products reside in wireless operator system deployments to enable communication between various metro network rings. The RF receiver is capable of receiving and transmitting signals spanning 5-45 GHz and passes the signal to the back-end modem device, which modulates one or more carrier wave signals to encode digital information for transmission and demodulate signals to decode the transmitted information. The increasing amounts of data and video content being consumed on mobile devices are creating new opportunities for innovative and efficient modem and RF receiver SoCs.
- *Laser Modulator Drivers.* These semiconductor products reside in optical modules and provide a constant current source that delivers exactly the current to the laser diode that it needs to operate for a particular application
- *Transimpedance Amplifiers.* These semiconductor products reside in optical modules and provide current-to-voltage conversion, converting the low-level current of a sensor to a voltage.
- *Clock and Data Recovery Circuits.* These semiconductor products generate a clock from an approximate frequency reference, and then phase-aligns to the transitions in the data stream with a phase-locked loop, or PLL.
- *Interface solutions.* These differentiated bridging connectivity products include USB, ethernet, PCIe, as well as UARTs, and serial transceiver devices which serve data and telecommunications, networking and storage, industrial control and embedded applications and facilitate and optimize the interface between systems and across networks.
- *Power Management.* These DC/DC voltage conversion and supervision products are designed to support the needs of various infrastructure, broadband, industrial and embedded system applications, including traditional linear, switching power management solutions and universal PMICs. Our proprietary and patented programmable power technology enables customers to reduce product development cycles, provides a flexible and configurable solution for control of critical attributes of the power management system and enables the system architect to design products that significantly reduce wasted energy.
- *Data encryption and compression.* These products offer some of the industry's lowest noise and distortion amplifiers and lowest power consumption high speed analog-to-digital converters, or ADCs. They include instrumentation, low noise, high speed and hybrid amplifiers, as well as high speed ADCs and digital-to-analog converters. Our amplifier and data converter products are designed to meet the needs of various industrial, medical, and video applications.

Customers

We sell our products, directly and indirectly, to original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, module makers and original design manufacturers, or ODMs, and we refer to these as our end customers. By providing a highly integrated reference design solution that our customers can incorporate in their products with minimal modifications, we enable our customers to design cost-effective high-performance SoC-based solutions rapidly. A significant portion of our sales are through distributors based in Asia, who then resell our product.

A significant portion of our net revenue has historically been generated by a limited number of customers. In the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, ten customers accounted for approximately 63%, 61% and 58% of our net revenue, respectively. In the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, one of our direct customers, CommScope Holding Company, Inc., or Commscope, represented 14%, 18% and 25%, respectively, of our net revenue.

Products shipped to Asia accounted for 84%, 81% and 89% of our net revenue in the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, respectively. Products shipped to China accounted for 60%, 63% and 71% of our net revenue in the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, respectively. Although a large percentage of our products are shipped to Asia, we believe that a significant number of the systems designed by these customers and incorporating our semiconductor products are then sold outside Asia. For example, revenue generated from sales of our cable modem products during the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017 related principally to sales to Asian ODM's and contract manufacturers delivering products into European and North American markets. To date, all of our sales have been denominated in United States dollars. See Note 14 to our consolidated financial statements, included in Part IV, Item 15 of this Report for a discussion of total revenue by geographical region for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017.

Sales and Marketing

We sell our products worldwide through multiple channels, using our direct sales force, third party sales representatives, and a network of domestic and international distributors. We have direct sales personnel covering the United States, Europe and Asia, and operate customer engineering support offices in Carlsbad, Irvine, and San Jose in California; Tokyo in Japan; Shanghai and Shenzhen in China; Taipei and Hsinchu in Taiwan; Bangalore in India; Burnaby in Canada; Paterna in Spain; and Singapore. We also employ a staff of field applications engineers to provide direct engineering support locally to some of our customers.

Our distributors are independent entities that assist us in identifying and servicing customers in a particular territory, usually on a non-exclusive basis. Sales to distributors accounted for approximately 52%, 42% and 34% of our net revenue in the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Our sales cycles typically require a significant amount of time and a substantial expenditure of resources before we can realize revenue from the sale of products, if any. Our typical sales cycle consists of a multi-month sales and development process involving our customers' system designers and management. The typical time from early engagement by our sales force to actual product introduction ranges from nine to twelve months for the consumer market, to as much as 18 to 24 months for the cable and satellite markets, and 36 months or longer for industrial, wired and wireless infrastructure markets. If successful, this process culminates in a customer's decision to use our products in its system, which we refer to as a design-win. Volume production may begin within three to twelve months after a design-win, depending on the complexity of our customer's product and other factors upon which we may have little or no influence. Once our products have been incorporated into a customer's design, they are likely to be used for the life cycle of the customer's product. Thus, a design-win may result in an extended period of revenue generation. Conversely, a design-loss to our competitors may adversely impact our financial results for an extended period of time.

We generally receive purchase orders from our customers approximately six to twenty-six weeks prior to the scheduled product delivery date. Because of the scheduling requirements of our foundries and assembly and test contractors, we generally provide our contractors production forecasts six to twelve months in advance and place firm orders for products with our suppliers up to twenty-six weeks prior to the anticipated delivery date, in some cases without a purchase order from our own customers. Our standard warranty provides that products containing defects in materials, workmanship or product performance may be returned for a refund of the purchase price or for replacement, at our discretion.

Manufacturing

We use third-party foundries and assembly and test contractors to manufacture, assemble and test our products. This outsourced manufacturing approach allows us to focus our resources on the design, sale and marketing of our products. Our

engineers work closely with our foundries and other contractors to increase yield, lower manufacturing costs and improve product quality while maintaining a socially responsible supply chain.

Wafer Fabrication. We utilize an increasing range of process technologies to manufacture our products, from standard CMOS to more exotic processes including SiGe and GaAs. Within this range of processes, we use a variety of process technology nodes ranging from 0.18 μ down to 14 nanometer. We depend on independent silicon foundry manufacturers to support our wafer fabrication requirements. Our key foundry partners include Global Foundries Inc. in Singapore, Semiconductor Manufacturing International Corporation or SMIC in China, Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Corporation or TSMC in Taiwan, and United Microelectronics Corporation or UMC in Taiwan and Singapore. We generally do not depend on a single source for the supply of our materials.

Assembly/packaging and Test. Upon completion of the silicon processing at the foundry, we forward the finished silicon wafers to independent assembly/packaging and test service subcontractors. The majority of our assembly/packaging and test requirements are supported by the following independent subcontractors: Advanced Semiconductor Engineering or ASE in Taiwan, Malaysia, and Singapore (assembly/packaging and test), Amkor Technology in Korea and China (assembly/packaging and test), Giga Solution Technology Co. Ltd. in Taiwan (test only), SIGURD Microelectronics Corp. in Taiwan (test only), Unisem (M) Berhad in China (assembly/packaging only) and United Test and Assembly Center or UTAC Holdings Ltd. in Singapore, Thailand, and China (assembly/packaging and test).

Quality Assurance. We have implemented significant quality assurance procedures to assure high levels of product quality for our customers. Our operations are certified under ISO 9001:2015 standards. We closely monitor the work-in-progress information and production records maintained by our suppliers, and communicate with our third-party contractors to assure high levels of product quality and an efficient manufacturing time cycle. Upon successful completion of the quality assurance procedures, all of our products are stored and shipped to our customers or distributors directly from our third-party contractors in accordance with our shipping instructions.

Corporate Social Responsibility. We are also committed to the use of a socially responsible supply chain to reduce the risk of human rights violations and the use of conflict minerals (tin, tungsten, tantalum and gold, or 3TG) from the Democratic Republic of Congo and certain adjoining countries. Our efforts include maintaining a supplier policy which bars the use of forced or child labor and slavery and a conflict minerals policy governing the use and distribution of 3TG minerals, as well as conducting due diligence before allowing a potential supplier to become a preferred supplier. We request the return of reporting forms related to conflict minerals from our suppliers under the Responsible Minerals Initiative, or RMI, Conflict Minerals Survey. Further, we seek to remove any suppliers that continue to fail to meet our supplier and conflict minerals policies after being provided the opportunity to remedy non-compliance via implementation of a corrective action plan. We also conduct recurring internal trainings for all employees and certain select contractors on export compliance, anti-corruption and anti-slavery, and insider trading.

Research and Development

We believe that our future success depends on our ability to both improve our existing products and to develop new products for both existing and new markets. We direct our research and development efforts largely to the development of new high-performance, mixed-signal RF transceivers and SOCs for the connected home, wired and wireless infrastructure, and industrial and multi-market applications. We target applications that require stringent overall system performance and low power consumption. As new and challenging communication applications proliferate, we believe that many of these applications may benefit from our SoC solutions combining analog and mixed-signal processing with digital signal processing functions. We have assembled a team of highly skilled semiconductor and embedded software design engineers with expertise in broadband RF, mixed-signal and high-performance analog integrated circuit design, digital signal processing, communications systems and SoC design. As of December 31, 2019, we had approximately 472 employees in our research and development group. Our engineering design teams are located in Carlsbad, Irvine, and San Jose in California; Shenzhen in China; Hsinchu in Taiwan; Bangalore in India; Burnaby in Canada; Paterna in Spain; and Singapore.

Competition

We compete with both established and development-stage semiconductor companies that design, manufacture and market analog and mixed-signal broadband RF receivers, optical interconnects, high-performance interface, data and video compression and encryption, and power management products. Our competitors include companies with much longer operating histories, greater name recognition, access to larger customer bases and substantially greater financial, technical and operational resources, as well as smaller companies specializing in narrow markets, to internal or vertically integrated engineering groups within certain of our customers. In addition, our industry is experiencing substantial consolidation. As a result, our competitors

are increasingly large multi-national semi-conductor companies with substantial market influence. Our competitors may develop products that are similar or superior to ours. We consider our primary competitors to be companies with a proven track record of supporting market leaders and the technical capability to develop and bring to market competing broadband RF receiver and RF receiver SoC, modem, and optical interconnect products. Our primary merchant semiconductor competitors include Silicon Labs, NXP Semiconductors N.V, MediaTek, Inc., Broadcom Ltd, Rafael Microelectronics, Inc., Inphi Corporation, M/A-COM Technology Solutions Holdings, Inc., Semtech Corporation, Qorvo Inc., Texas Instruments, HiSilicon Technologies Co., Ltd., Analog Devices, Renesas Electronics Corporation, Maxim Integrated Products, Inc., Monolithic Power Systems, Microchip Technology, Inc., Ambarella, Inc., and Infineon Technologies AG. Because our products often are building block semiconductors which provide functions that in some cases can be integrated into more complex integrated circuits, we also face competition from manufacturers of integrated circuits, some of which may be existing customers or platform partners that develop their own integrated circuit products. If we cannot offer an attractive solution for applications where our competitors offer more fully integrated products, we may lose significant market share to our competitors. Certain of our competitors have fully-integrated tuner/demodulator/video processing solutions targeting high-performance cable, satellite, or DTV applications, and thereby potentially provide customers with smaller and cheaper solutions. Some of our targeted customers for our optical interconnect solutions are module makers who are vertically integrated, where we compete with internally supplied components, and we compete with much larger analog and mixed-signal catalog competitors in the multi-market high-performance analog markets.

The market for RF, mixed-signal and high-performance analog semiconductor products is highly competitive, and we believe that it will grow more competitive as a result of continued technological advances. We believe that the principal competitive factors in our markets include the following:

- product performance;
- features and functionality;
- energy efficiency;
- size;
- ease of system design;
- customer support;
- product roadmap;
- reputation;
- reliability; and
- price.

We believe that we compete favorably as measured against each of these criteria. However, our ability to compete in the future will depend upon the successful design, development and marketing of compelling RF, mixed-signal and high-performance analog semiconductor integrated solutions for high growth communications markets. In addition, our competitive position will depend on our ability to continue to attract and retain talent while protecting our intellectual property.

Intellectual Property Rights

Our success and ability to compete depend, in part, upon our ability to establish and adequately protect our proprietary technology and confidential information. To protect our technology and confidential information, we rely on a combination of intellectual property rights, including patents, trade secrets, copyrights and trademarks. We also protect our proprietary technology and confidential information through the use of internal and external controls, including contractual protections with employees, contractors, business partners, consultants and advisors. Protecting mask works, or the “topography” or semiconductor material designs, of our integrated circuit products is of particular importance to our business and we seek to prevent or limit the ability of others to copy, reproduce or distribute our mask works.

We have 1,205 issued patents and 209 patent applications pending in the United States. We also have 60 issued foreign patents and 12 other pending foreign patent applications, based on our issued patents and pending patent applications in the United States.

We are the owner of approximately 19 trademarks that have been registered and/or allowed for registration in the United States. We own foreign counterparts (including approximately 49 foreign registrations) of certain of these registered trademarks in Australia, Canada, Chile, China, the EU, Hong Kong, India, Israel, Japan, Korea, Singapore and Taiwan. We also claim common law rights in certain other trademarks that are not registered.

We may not gain any competitive advantages from our patents and other intellectual property rights. Our existing and future patents may be circumvented, designed around, blocked or challenged as to inventorship, ownership, scope, validity or enforceability. It is possible that we may be provided with information in the future that could negatively affect the scope or enforceability of either our present or future patents. Furthermore, our pending and future patent applications may or may not be granted under the scope of the claims originally submitted in our patent applications. The scope of the claims submitted or granted may or may not be sufficiently broad to protect our proprietary technologies. Moreover, we have adopted a strategy of seeking limited patent protection with respect to the technologies used in or relating to our products.

We are a party to a number of license agreements for various technologies, such as a license agreement with Intel Corporation relating to demodulator technologies that are licensed specifically for use in our products for cable set-top boxes. The agreement was originally entered into with Texas Instruments but was subsequently assigned to Intel Corporation as part of Intel Corporation's acquisition of Texas Instruments' cable modem product line in 2010. The license agreement with Intel Corporation has a perpetual term, but Intel Corporation may terminate the agreement for any uncured material breach or in the event of bankruptcy. If the agreement is terminated, we would not be able to manufacture or sell products that contain the demodulator technology licensed from Intel Corporation, and there would be a delay in the shipment of our products containing the technology until we found a replacement for the demodulator technology in the marketplace on commercially reasonable terms or we developed the demodulator technology itself. We believe we could find a substitute for the currently licensed demodulator technology in the marketplace on commercially reasonable terms or develop the demodulator technology ourselves. In either case, obtaining new licenses or replacing existing technology could have a material adverse effect on our business, as described in "Risk Factors — Risks Related to Our Business — *We utilize a significant amount of intellectual property in our business. If we are unable to protect our intellectual property, our business could be adversely affected.*"

The semiconductor industry is characterized by frequent litigation and other vigorous offensive and protective enforcement actions over rights to intellectual property. Moreover, there are numerous patents in the semiconductor industry, and new patents are being granted rapidly worldwide. Our competitors may obtain patents that block or limit our ability to develop new technology and/or improve our existing products. If our products were found to infringe any patents or other intellectual property rights held by third parties, we could be prevented from selling our products or be subject to litigation fees, statutory fines and/or other significant expenses. We may be required to initiate litigation in order to enforce any patents issued to us, or to determine the scope or validity of a third-party's patent or other proprietary rights. We may in the future be contacted by third parties suggesting that we seek a license to intellectual property rights that they may believe we are infringing. In addition, in the future, we may be subject to lawsuits by third parties seeking to enforce their own intellectual property rights, as described in "Risk Factors — Risks Related to Our Business — *We have settled in the past intellectual property litigation and may face additional claims of intellectual property infringement. Current litigation and any future litigation could be time-consuming, costly to defend or settle and result in the loss of significant rights*" and in "Item 3 — Legal Proceedings."

Employees

As of December 31, 2019, we had approximately 697 employees, including 472 in research and development, 95 in sales and marketing, 35 in operations and semiconductor technology and 95 in administration. None of our employees is represented by a labor organization or under any collective bargaining arrangement, and we have never had a work stoppage. We consider our employee relations to be good.

Backlog

Our sales are made primarily pursuant to standard purchase orders. Because industry practice allows customers to reschedule, or in some cases, cancel orders on relatively short notice, we do not believe that backlog is a good indicator of our future sales.

Seasonality

The semiconductor industry is highly cyclical and is characterized by constant and rapid technological change, rapid product obsolescence and price erosion, evolving technical standards, short product life cycles and wide fluctuations in product supply and demand. From time to time, these and other factors, together with changes in general economic conditions, cause significant upturns and downturns in the industry, and in our business in particular.

In addition, our operating results are subject to substantial quarterly and annual fluctuations due to a number of factors, such as the overall demand volatility for semiconductor solutions across a diverse range of communications, industrial and multimarket applications, the timing of receipt, reduction or cancellation of significant orders, the gain or loss of significant customers, market acceptance of our products and our customers' products, our ability to timely develop, introduce and market new products and technologies, the availability and cost of products from our suppliers, new product and technology introductions by competitors, intellectual property disputes and the timing and extent of product development costs.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K, or Form 10-K, including any information incorporated by reference herein, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, referred to as the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, referred to as the Exchange Act. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terms such as "may," "will," "should," "expect," "plan," "intend," "forecast," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "potential," "continue" or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. The forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-K involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and situations that may cause our or our industry's actual results, level of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these statements. These factors include those listed below in this Item 1A and those discussed elsewhere in this Form 10-K. We encourage investors to review these factors carefully. We may from time to time make additional written and oral forward-looking statements, including statements contained in our filings with the SEC. However, we do not undertake to update any forward-looking statement that may be made from time to time by or on behalf of us, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law.

Before you invest in our securities, you should be aware that our business faces numerous financial and market risks, including those described below, as well as general economic and business risks. The following discussion provides information concerning the material risks and uncertainties that we have identified and believe may adversely affect our business, our financial condition and our results of operations. Before you decide whether to invest in our securities, you should carefully consider these risks and uncertainties, together with all of the other information included in this Form 10-K and in our other public filings, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results.

Risks Related to Our Business

We face intense competition and expect competition to increase in the future, which could have an adverse effect on our revenue, revenue growth rate, if any, and market share.

The global semiconductor market in general, and the connected home, wired and wireless infrastructure, and broader industrial and communications analog and mixed-signal markets in particular, are highly competitive. We compete in different target markets to various degrees on the basis of a number of principal competitive factors, including our products' performance, features and functionality, energy efficiency, size, ease of system design, customer support, product roadmap, reputation, reliability and price. We expect competition to increase and intensify as a result of industry consolidation and the resulting creation of larger semiconductor companies. Large semiconductor companies resulting from industry consolidation could enjoy substantial market power, which they could exert through, among other things, aggressive pricing that could adversely affect our customer relationships and revenues. In addition, we expect the internal resources of large, integrated original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, may continue to enter our markets. Increased competition could result in price pressure, reduced profitability and loss of market share, any of which could materially and adversely affect our business, revenue, revenue growth rates, if any, and operating results.

As our products are integrated into a variety of communications and industrial platforms, our competitors range from large, international merchant semiconductor companies offering a wide range of semiconductor products to smaller companies specializing in narrow markets, to internal or vertically integrated engineering groups within certain of our customers. Our primary merchant semiconductor competitors include Silicon Labs, NXP Semiconductors N.V., MediaTek, Inc., Broadcom Ltd, Rafael Microelectronics, Inc., Inphi Corporation, M/A-COM Technology Solutions Holdings, Inc., Semtech Corporation, Qorvo Inc., Texas Instruments, HiSilicon Technologies Co., Ltd., Analog Devices, Renesas Electronics Corporation, Maxim Integrated Products, Inc., Monolithic Power Systems, Microchip Technology, Inc., Ambarella, Inc., and Infineon Technologies AG. It is quite likely that competition in the markets in which we participate will increase in the future as existing competitors improve or expand their product offerings. In addition, it is quite likely that a number of other public and private companies are in the process of developing competing products for our current and target markets. Because our products often are building

block semiconductors which provide functions that in some cases can be integrated into more complex integrated circuits, we also face competition from manufacturers of integrated circuits, some of which may be existing customers or platform partners that develop their own integrated circuit products. If we cannot offer an attractive solution for applications where our competitors offer more fully integrated products, we may lose significant market share to our competitors. Certain of our competitors have fully-integrated tuner/demodulator/video processing solutions targeting high-performance cable, satellite, or DTV applications, and thereby potentially provide customers with smaller and cheaper solutions. Some of our targeted customers for our optical interconnect solutions are module makers who are vertically integrated, where we compete with internally supplied components, and we compete with much larger analog and mixed-signal catalog competitors in the multi-market high-performance analog markets.

Our ability to compete successfully depends on factors both within and outside of our control, including industry and general economic trends. During past periods of downturns in our industry, competition in the markets in which we operate intensified as manufacturers of semiconductors reduced prices in order to combat production overcapacity and high inventory levels. Many of our competitors have substantially greater financial and other resources with which to withstand similar adverse economic or market conditions in the future. Moreover, the competitive landscape is changing as a result of intense consolidation within our industry as some of our competitors have merged with or been acquired by other competitors, and other competitors have begun to collaborate with each other. In addition, changes in government trade policies, including the imposition of tariffs and export restrictions, could limit our ability to sell our products to certain customers and adversely affect our ability to compete successfully. These developments may materially and adversely affect our current and future target markets and our ability to compete successfully in those markets.

We depend on a limited number of customers, that have undergone or are undergoing consolidation and who themselves are dependent on a consolidating set of service provider customers, for a substantial portion of our revenue, and the loss of, or a significant reduction in orders from one or more of our major customers could have a material adverse effect on our revenue and operating results.

For fiscal 2019, one of our direct customers accounted for 14% of our net revenue, and our ten largest customers collectively accounted for 63% of our net revenue, of which distributor customers accounted for 38% of our net revenue. We expect that our operating results for the foreseeable future will continue to show a substantial but declining percentage of sales dependent on a relatively small number of customers and on the ability of these customers to sell products that incorporate our RF receivers or RF receiver SoCs, digital STB video SoCs, DBS ODU receiver SOC, and MoCA®, G.hn connectivity solutions and high-performance analog solutions. In the future, these customers may decide not to purchase our products at all, may purchase fewer products than they did in the past, or may defer or cancel purchases or otherwise alter their purchasing patterns. Factors that could affect our revenue from these large customers include the following:

- substantially all of our sales to date have been made on a purchase order basis, which permits our customers to cancel, change or delay product purchase commitments with little or no notice to us and without penalty;
- some of our customers have sought or are seeking relationships with current or potential competitors which may affect their purchasing decisions;
- service provider and OEM consolidation across cable, satellite, and fiber markets could result in significant changes to our customers' technology development and deployment priorities and roadmaps, which could affect our ability to forecast demand accurately and could lead to increased volatility in our business; and
- technological changes in our markets could lead to substantial volatility in our revenues based on product transitions, and particularly in our broadband markets, we face risks based on changes in the way consumers are accessing and using broadband and cable services, which could affect operator demand for our products.

In addition, delays in development could impair our relationships with our strategic customers and negatively impact sales of the products under development. Moreover, it is possible that our customers may develop their own products or adopt a competitor's solution for products that they currently buy from us. If that happens, our sales would decline and our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

Our relationships with some customers may deter other potential customers who compete with these customers from buying our products. To attract new customers or retain existing customers, we may offer these customers favorable prices on our products. In that event, our average selling prices and gross margins would decline. The loss of a key customer, a reduction in sales to any key customer or our inability to attract new significant customers could seriously impact our revenue and materially and adversely affect our results of operations.

A significant portion of our revenues are from sales of product to distributors, who then resell our product. Our agreements with certain of these distributors provide protection against price reduction on their inventories of our products. The loss of certain distributors could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations, and price reductions associated with their inventories of our products could have a substantial adverse effect on our operating results in the event of a dramatic decline in selling prices for these products.

In addition, the current situation relating to trade with China and governmental and regulatory concerns relating to specific Chinese companies remain fluid and unpredictable. Our current and future operating results could be materially and adversely affected by limitations on our ability to sell to one or more Chinese customers and by tariffs and other trade barriers that may be implemented by governmental authorities.

A significant portion of our revenue is attributable to demand for our products in markets for connected home solutions, and development delays and consolidation trends among cable, satellite, and broadband operators could adversely affect our future revenues and operating results.

For fiscal 2019, revenue directly attributable to connected home applications accounted for approximately 48% of our net revenue. Delays in the development of, or unexpected developments in the connected home markets could have an adverse effect on order activity by original equipment manufacturers in these markets and, as a result, on our business, revenue, operating results and financial condition. In addition, consolidation trends among broadband operators may continue, which could have a material adverse effect on our future operating results and financial condition.

If we fail to penetrate new applications and markets, our revenue, revenue growth rate, if any, and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

We sell most of our products to manufacturers of cable broadband voice and data modems and gateways, pay-TV set-top boxes and gateways into cable and satellite operator markets, satellite outdoor units or LNB's, optical modules for long-haul and metro telecommunications markets, and RF transceivers and modem solutions for wireless infrastructure markets. We have expanded our product offerings to include power management and interface technologies which are ubiquitous functions in new and existing markets such as wireless and wireline communications infrastructure, broadband access, industrial, enterprise network, and automotive applications. Our future revenue growth, if any, will depend in part on our ability to further penetrate into, and expand beyond, these markets with analog and mixed-signal solutions targeting the markets for high-speed optical interconnects for data center, metro, and long-haul optical modules, telecommunications wireless infrastructure, and cable DOCSIS 3.1 network infrastructure products. Each of these markets presents distinct and substantial risks. If any of these markets do not develop as we currently anticipate, or if we are unable to penetrate them successfully, it could materially and adversely affect our revenue and revenue growth rate, if any.

Broadband data modems and gateways and pay-TV and satellite set-top boxes and video gateways continue to represent our largest North American and European revenue generator. The North American and European pay-TV market is dominated by only a few OEMs, including Technicolor, Commscope Holding Company, Inc., Hitron Technologies, Inc., Compal Broadband Networks, Humax Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. These OEMs are large multinational corporations with substantial negotiating power relative to us and are undergoing significant consolidation. Securing design wins with any of these companies requires a substantial investment of our time and resources. Even if we succeed, additional testing and operational certifications will be required by the OEMs' customers, which include large pay-TV television companies such as Comcast Corporation, Liberty Global plc, Spectrum, Sky, AT&T and EchoStar Corporation. In addition, our products will need to be compatible with other components in our customers' designs, including components produced by our competitors or potential competitors. There can be no assurance that these other companies will support or continue to support our products.

If we fail to penetrate these or other new markets upon which we target our resources, our revenue and revenue growth rate, if any, likely will decrease over time and our financial condition could suffer.

We may be unable to make the substantial and productive research and development investments that are required to remain competitive in our business.

The semiconductor industry requires substantial investment in research and development in order to develop and bring to market new and enhanced technologies and products. Many of our products originated with our research and development efforts, which we believe have provided us with a significant competitive advantage. For fiscal years 2019, 2018 and 2017, our research and development expense was \$98.3 million, \$120.0 million, and \$112.3 million, respectively. We monitor such expenditures as part of our strategy of devoting focused research and development efforts on the development of innovative and sustainable product platforms. We are committed to investing in new product development internally in order to stay

competitive in our markets and plan to maintain research and development and design capabilities for new solutions in advanced semiconductor process nodes such as 28nm and 16nm and beyond. However, we do not know whether we will have sufficient resources to maintain the level of investment in research and development required to remain competitive as semiconductor process nodes continue to shrink and become increasingly complex. In addition, we cannot assure you that the technologies that are the focus of our research and development expenditures will become commercially successful.

We have experienced a recent decline in net revenues. A significant variance in our operating results or rates of growth, if any, could lead to substantial volatility in our stock price. To the extent we return to growth, we may not sustain our growth rate, and we may not be able to manage future growth effectively.

We have experienced a recent decline in net revenues due to anticipated declines from legacy product shipments used in satellite, terrestrial, and video SoC applications, as well as a slowdown in the cable market owing to the market transition from DOCSIS 3.0 to DOCSIS 3.1 and related customer inventory reductions, and macro environment and trade issues. Our net revenue decreased from approximately \$420.3 million in 2017, to \$385.0 million in 2018 and decreased to \$317.2 million in 2019. You should not rely on our operating results for any prior quarterly or annual periods as an indication of our future operating performance. Please refer to the Risk Factor entitled “*Our operating results are subject to substantial quarterly and annual fluctuations and may fluctuate significantly due to a number of factors that could adversely affect our business and our stock price*” for a discussion of factors contributing to variances in our operating results or rates of growth. If we are unable to return to revenue growth and maintain adequate revenue growth, our financial results could suffer and our stock price could decline.

To return to growth and manage any future growth successfully, we believe we must effectively, among other things:

- successfully develop new products and penetrate new applications and markets;
- recruit, hire, train and manage additional qualified engineers for our research and development activities, especially in the positions of design engineering, product and test engineering and applications engineering;
- add sales personnel and expand customer engineering support offices;
- implement and improve our administrative, financial and operational systems, procedures and controls; and
- enhance our information technology support for enterprise resource planning and design engineering by adapting and expanding our systems and tool capabilities, and properly training new hires as to their use.

If we are unable to return to and manage our growth effectively, we may not be able to take advantage of market opportunities or develop new products and we may fail to satisfy customer requirements, maintain product quality, execute our business plan, or respond to competitive pressures.

The complexity of our products could result in unforeseen delays or expenses caused by undetected defects or bugs, which could reduce the market acceptance of our new products, damage our reputation with current or prospective customers and adversely affect our operating costs.

Highly complex products like our broadband RF receivers and RF receiver SoCs, physical medium devices for optical modules, RF transceiver and modem solutions for wireless infrastructure markets, and high-performance analog solutions may contain defects and bugs when they are first introduced or as new versions are released. Where any of our products, including legacy acquired products, contain defects or bugs, or have reliability, quality or compatibility problems, we may not be able to successfully correct these problems. Consequently, our reputation may be damaged and customers may be reluctant to buy our products, which could materially and adversely affect our ability to retain existing customers and attract new customers, and our financial results. In addition, these defects or bugs could interrupt or delay sales to our customers. If any of these problems are not found until after we have commenced commercial production of a new product, we may be required to incur additional development costs and product recall, repair or replacement costs, and our operating costs could be adversely affected. These problems may also result in warranty or product liability claims against us by our customers or others that may require us to make significant expenditures to defend these claims or pay damage awards. In the event of a warranty claim, we may also incur costs if we compensate the affected customer. We maintain product liability insurance, but this insurance is limited in amount and subject to significant deductibles. There is no guarantee that our insurance will be available or adequate to protect against all claims. We also may incur costs and expenses relating to a recall of one of our customers’ products containing one of our devices. The process of identifying a recalled product in devices that have been widely distributed may be lengthy and require significant resources, and we may incur significant replacement costs, contract damage claims from our customers and

reputational harm. Costs or payments made in connection with warranty and product liability claims and product recalls could materially affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Average selling prices of our products could decrease rapidly, which would have a material adverse effect on our revenue and gross margins.

We may experience substantial period-to-period fluctuations in future operating results due to the erosion of our average selling prices. From time to time, we have reduced the average unit price of our products due to competitive pricing pressures, new product introductions by us or our competitors, and for other reasons, and we expect that we will have to do so again in the future. In particular, we believe that industry consolidation has provided a number of larger semiconductor companies with substantial market power, which has had an adverse impact on selling prices in some of our markets. If we are unable to offset any reductions in our average selling prices by increasing our sales volumes or introducing new products with higher margins, our revenue and gross margins will suffer. To support our gross margins, we must develop and introduce new products and product enhancements on a timely basis and continually reduce our and our customers' costs. Our inability to do so would cause our revenue and gross margins to decline. In addition, under certain of our agreements with key distributors, we provide protection for reductions in selling prices of the distributors' inventory, which could have a significant adverse effect on our operating results if the selling prices for those products fell dramatically.

If we fail to develop and introduce new or enhanced products on a timely basis, our ability to attract and retain customers could be impaired and our competitive position could be harmed.

We operate in a dynamic environment characterized by rapidly changing technologies and industry standards and technological obsolescence. To compete successfully, we must design, develop, market and sell new or enhanced products that provide increasingly higher levels of performance and reliability and meet the cost expectations of our customers. The introduction of new products by our competitors, the market acceptance of products based on new or alternative technologies, or the emergence of new industry standards could render our existing or future products obsolete. Our failure to anticipate or timely develop new or enhanced products or technologies in response to technological shifts could result in decreased revenue and our competitors winning more competitive bid processes, known as "design wins." In particular, we may experience difficulties with product design, manufacturing, marketing or certification that could delay or prevent our development, introduction or marketing of new or enhanced products. If we fail to introduce new or enhanced products that meet the needs of our customers or penetrate new markets in a timely fashion, we will lose market share and our operating results will be adversely affected.

In particular, we believe that we will need to develop new products in part to respond to changing dynamics and trends in our end user markets, including (among other trends) consolidation among cable and satellite operators, potential industry shifts away from the hardware devices and other technologies that incorporate our products, and changes in consumer television viewing habits and how consumers access and receive broadcast content and digital broadband services. We cannot predict how these trends will continue to develop or how or to what extent they may affect our future revenues and operating results. We believe that we will need to continue to make substantial investments in research and development in an attempt to ensure a product roadmap that anticipates these types of changes; however, we cannot provide any assurances that we will accurately predict the direction in which our markets will evolve or that we will be able to develop, market, or sell new products that respond to such changes successfully or in a timely manner, if at all.

We have settled in the past intellectual property litigation and may face additional claims of intellectual property infringement. Current litigation and any future litigation could be time-consuming, costly to defend or settle and result in the loss of significant rights.

The semiconductor industry is characterized by companies that hold large numbers of patents and other intellectual property rights and that vigorously pursue, protect and enforce intellectual property rights. Third parties have in the past and may in the future assert against us and our customers and distributors their patent and other intellectual property rights to technologies that are important to our business. In particular, from time to time, we receive correspondence from competitors seeking to engage us in discussions concerning potential claims against us, and we receive correspondence from customers seeking indemnification for potential claims related to infringement claims asserted against down-stream users of our products. We investigate these requests as received and could be required to enter license agreements with respect to third party intellectual property rights or indemnify third parties, either of which could have an adverse effect on our future operating results.

We were a defendant in patent litigation originally filed by CrestaTech Technology Corporation, or CrestaTech. On January 21, 2014, CrestaTech filed a complaint for patent infringement against us in the United States District Court of Delaware, or District Court Litigation, alleging that we infringed U.S. Patent Nos. 7,075,585, or the '585 Patent and 7,265,792, or the '792 Patent. In addition to asking for compensatory damages, CrestaTech alleged willful infringement and sought a permanent injunction. CrestaTech also named Sharp Corporation, Sharp Electronics Corp. and VIZIO, Inc. as defendants based upon their alleged use of our television tuners. Following the litigation history described in our prior filings on Form 10-K and Form 10-Q, the District Court dismissed the District Court Litigation in April 2018. While the successor plaintiff following a Chapter 7 bankruptcy proceeding of CrestaTech below had suggested that the dismissal may have been in error, it took no action to re-instate the case. In the related bankruptcy proceeding, the plaintiff stated that it “no longer has any valid patent claims that it is asserting in any of the proceedings purchased under the Sale Agreement,” which includes the District Court Litigation against us in re Cresta Technology Corporation, Case No. 16-50808 (N.D. Cal. Bank. 2016) at Dkt. No. 270. In November 2019, we entered into a settlement agreement with CF Crespe resolving all outstanding matters with CF Crespe and CrestaTech (its predecessor-in-interest). On December 5, 2019, the Federal Circuit dismissed our appeal from the *inter partes* review of CF Crespe’s patent number 7,075,585 -- the only remaining active matter as of the parties’ settlement. The terms of the settlement are confidential, and the settlement did not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Claims that our products, processes or technology infringe third-party intellectual property rights, regardless of their merit or resolution and including the CrestaTech claims, are costly to defend or settle and could divert the efforts and attention of our management and technical personnel. In addition, many of our customer and distributor agreements require us to indemnify and defend our customers or distributors from third-party infringement claims and pay damages in the case of adverse rulings. Claims of this sort also could harm our relationships with our customers or distributors and might deter future customers from doing business with us. In order to maintain our relationships with existing customers and secure business from new customers, we have been required from time to time to provide additional assurances beyond our standard terms. If any future proceedings result in an adverse outcome, we could be required to:

- cease the manufacture, use or sale of the infringing products, processes or technology;
- pay substantial damages for infringement;
- expend significant resources to develop non-infringing products, processes or technology;
- license technology from the third-party claiming infringement, which license may not be available on commercially reasonable terms, or at all;
- cross-license our technology to a competitor to resolve an infringement claim, which could weaken our ability to compete with that competitor; or
- pay substantial damages to our customers or end users to discontinue their use of or to replace infringing technology sold to them with non-infringing technology.

Any of the foregoing results could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

We rely on a limited number of third parties to manufacture, assemble, and test our products, and the failure to manage our relationships with our third-party contractors successfully, or impacts from natural disasters, public health crises, or other labor stoppages in the regions where such contractors operate, could adversely affect our ability to market and sell our products.

We do not have our own manufacturing facilities. We operate an outsourced manufacturing business model that utilizes third-party foundry and assembly and test capabilities. As a result, we rely on third-party foundry wafer fabrication, including sole sourcing for many components or products. Currently, the majority of our products are manufactured by Global Foundries, Semiconductor Manufacturing International Corporation, or SMIC, Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Corp, or TSMC, and United Microelectronics Corporation, or UMC, at foundries located in Taiwan, Singapore, and China. We also use third-party contractors for all of our assembly and test operations.

Relying on third party manufacturing, assembly and testing presents significant risks to us, including the following:

- failure by us, our customers, or their end customers to qualify a selected supplier;

- capacity shortages during periods of high demand or from events beyond our control;
- reduced control over delivery schedules and quality;
- shortages of materials;
- misappropriation of our intellectual property;
- limited warranties on wafers or products supplied to us; and
- potential increases in prices.

The ability and willingness of our third-party contractors to perform is largely outside our control. If one or more of our contract manufacturers or other outsourcers fails to perform its obligations in a timely manner or at satisfactory quality levels, our ability to bring products to market and our reputation could suffer. For example, in the event that manufacturing capacity is reduced or eliminated at one or more facilities, including as a response to the recent worldwide decline in the semiconductor industry, manufacturing could be disrupted, we could have difficulties fulfilling our customer orders and our net revenue could decline. In addition, if these third parties fail to deliver quality products and components on time and at reasonable prices, we could have difficulties fulfilling our customer orders, our net revenue could decline and our business, financial condition and results of operations would be adversely affected.

Additionally, our product shipment and manufacturing capacity may be similarly reduced or eliminated at one or more facilities due to the fact that the majority of our fabrication and assembly and test contractors are all located in the Pacific Rim region, principally in China, Taiwan, and Singapore. The risk of earthquakes in these geographies is significant due to the proximity of major earthquake fault lines, and Taiwan in particular is also subject to typhoons and other Pacific storms. Earthquakes, fire, flooding, or other natural disasters in Taiwan or the Pacific Rim region, or political unrest, war, labor strikes, work stoppages or public health crises, such as outbreaks of novel coronavirus or H1N1 flu, in countries where our contractors' facilities are located could result in the disruption of our product shipments, foundry, assembly, or test capacity. For example, as a result of the extension of the lunar new year holidays due to the recent outbreak of novel coronavirus originating in Wuhan, China, certain of our product shipments from China have been delayed. Although we are monitoring the situation on a daily basis, it is currently unknown whether the outbreak will continue to disrupt our product shipments or impact manufacturing in the region over a prolonged period. If such disruption were to extend over a prolonged period, it could have a material impact on our revenues and our business. Any disruption resulting from similar events on a larger scale or over a prolonged period could cause significant delays in shipments of our products until we are able to resume such shipments, or shift our manufacturing, assembly, or test from the affected contractor to another third-party vendor, if needed. There can be no assurance that alternative capacity could be obtained on favorable terms, if at all.

We utilize a significant amount of intellectual property in our business. If we are unable to protect our intellectual property, our business could be adversely affected.

Our success depends in part upon our ability to protect our intellectual property. To accomplish this, we rely on a combination of intellectual property rights, including patents, copyrights, trademarks and trade secrets in the United States and in selected foreign countries where we believe filing for such protection is appropriate. Effective patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret protection may be unavailable, limited or not applied for in some countries. Some of our products and technologies are not covered by any patent or patent application. We cannot guarantee that:

- any of our present or future patents or patent claims will not lapse or be invalidated, circumvented, challenged or abandoned;
- our intellectual property rights will provide competitive advantages to us;
- our ability to assert our intellectual property rights against potential competitors or to settle current or future disputes will not be limited by our agreements with third parties;
- any of our pending or future patent applications will be issued or have the coverage originally sought;
- our intellectual property rights will be enforced in jurisdictions where competition may be intense or where legal protection may be weak;

- any of the trademarks, copyrights, trade secrets or other intellectual property rights that we presently employ in our business will not lapse or be invalidated, circumvented, challenged or abandoned; or
- we will not lose the ability to assert our intellectual property rights against or to license our technology to others and collect royalties or other payments.

In addition, our competitors or others may design around our protected patents or technologies. Effective intellectual property protection may be unavailable or more limited in one or more relevant jurisdictions relative to those protections available in the United States, or may not be applied for in one or more relevant jurisdictions. If we pursue litigation to assert our intellectual property rights, an adverse decision in any of these legal actions could limit our ability to assert our intellectual property rights, limit the value of our technology or otherwise negatively impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Monitoring unauthorized use of our intellectual property is difficult and costly. Unauthorized use of our intellectual property may have occurred or may occur in the future. Although we have taken steps to minimize the risk of this occurring, any such failure to identify unauthorized use and otherwise adequately protect our intellectual property would adversely affect our business. Moreover, if we are required to commence litigation, whether as a plaintiff or defendant as has occurred with CrestaTech, not only will this be time-consuming, but we will also be forced to incur significant costs and divert our attention and efforts of our employees, which could, in turn, result in lower revenue and higher expenses.

We also rely on customary contractual protections with our customers, suppliers, distributors, employees and consultants, and we implement security measures to protect our trade secrets. We cannot assure you that these contractual protections and security measures will not be breached, that we will have adequate remedies for any such breach or that our suppliers, employees or consultants will not assert rights to intellectual property arising out of such contracts.

In addition, we have a number of third-party patent and intellectual property license agreements. Some of these license agreements require us to make one-time payments or ongoing royalty payments. Also, a few of our license agreements contain most-favored nation clauses or other price restriction clauses which may affect the amount we may charge for our products, processes or technology. We cannot guarantee that the third-party patents and technology we license will not be licensed to our competitors or others in the semiconductor industry. In the future, we may need to obtain additional licenses, renew existing license agreements or otherwise replace existing technology. We are unable to predict whether these license agreements can be obtained or renewed or the technology can be replaced on acceptable terms, or at all.

When we settled a trademark dispute with Linear Technology Corporation, we agreed not to register the “MAXLINEAR” mark or any other marks containing the term “LINEAR”. We may continue to use “MAXLINEAR” as a corporate identifier, including to advertise our products and services, but may not use that mark on our products. The agreement does not affect our ability to use our registered trademark “MxL”, which we use on our products. Due to our agreement not to register the “MAXLINEAR” mark, our ability to effectively prevent third parties from using the “MAXLINEAR” mark in connection with similar products or technology may be affected. If we are unable to protect our trademarks, we may experience difficulties in achieving and maintaining brand recognition and customer loyalty.

We are subject to risks associated with our distributors’ product inventories and product sell-through. Should any of our distributors cease or be forced to stop distributing our products, our business would suffer.

We currently sell a significant portion of our products to customers through our distributors, who maintain their own inventories of our products. Sales to distributors accounted for approximately 52%, 42% and 34% of our net revenue in the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, respectively. Upon shipment of product to these distributors, title to the inventory transfers to the distributor and the distributor is invoiced, generally with 30 to 60 day terms. Distributor sales are also recognized upon shipment to the distributor and estimates of future pricing credits and/or stock rotation rights reduce revenue recognized to the net amount before the actual amounts are known. If our estimates of such credits and rights are materially understated it could cause subsequent adjustments that negatively impact our revenues and gross profits in a future period.

If our distributors are unable to sell an adequate amount of their inventories of our products in a given quarter to manufacturers and end users or if they decide to decrease their inventories of our products for any reason, our sales through these distributors and our revenue may decline. In addition, if some distributors decide to purchase more of our products than are required to satisfy end customer demand in any particular quarter, inventories at these distributors would grow in that quarter. These distributors could then reduce future orders until inventory levels realign with end customer demand, which could adversely affect our product revenue.

Our reserve estimates with respect to the products stocked by our distributors are based principally on reports provided to us by our distributors, typically on a weekly basis. To the extent that this resale and channel inventory data is inaccurate or not received in a timely manner, we may not be able to make reserve estimates accurately or at all.

We are subject to order and shipment uncertainties, and differences between our estimates of customer demand and product mix and our actual results could negatively affect our inventory levels, sales and operating results.

Our revenue is generated on the basis of shipments of products under purchase orders with our customers rather than long-term purchase commitments. In addition, our customers can cancel purchase orders or defer the shipments of our products under certain circumstances. Our products are manufactured using a silicon foundry according to our estimates of customer demand, which requires us to make separate demand forecast assumptions for every customer, each of which may introduce significant variability into our aggregate estimate. We have limited visibility into future customer demand and the product mix that our customers will require, which could adversely affect our revenue forecasts and operating margins. Moreover, because our target markets are relatively new, many of our customers have difficulty accurately forecasting their product requirements and estimating the timing of their new product introductions, which ultimately affects their demand for our products. Historically, because of this limited visibility, actual results have been different from our forecasts of customer demand. Some of these differences have been material, leading to excess inventory or product shortages and revenue and margin forecasts above those we were actually able to achieve. These differences may occur in the future, and the adverse impact of these differences between forecasts and actual results could grow if we are successful in selling in expanding the customer base for our products. In addition, the rapid pace of innovation in our industry could render significant portions of our inventory obsolete. Excess or obsolete inventory levels could result in unexpected expenses or increases in our reserves that could adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition. Conversely, if we were to underestimate customer demand or if sufficient manufacturing capacity were unavailable, we could forego revenue opportunities, potentially lose market share and damage our customer relationships. In addition, any significant future cancellations or deferrals of product orders or the return of previously sold products due to manufacturing defects could materially and adversely impact our profit margins, increase our write-offs due to product obsolescence and restrict our ability to fund our operations.

We may be subject to information technology failures, including data protection breaches and cyber-attacks, that could disrupt our operations, damage our reputation and adversely affect our business, operations, and financial results.

We rely on our information technology systems for the effective operation of our business and for the secure maintenance and storage of confidential data relating to our business and third-party businesses. Although we have implemented security controls to protect our information technology systems, experienced programmers or hackers may be able to penetrate our security controls, and develop and deploy viruses, worms and other malicious software programs that compromise our confidential information or that of third parties and cause a disruption or failure of our information technology systems. In addition, we have in the past and may in the future be subject to “phishing” attacks in which third parties send emails purporting to be from reputable companies in order to obtain personal information and infiltrate our systems to initiate wire transfers or otherwise obtain proprietary or confidential information. A number of large, public companies have recently experienced losses based on phishing attacks and other cyber-attacks. Any compromise of our information technology systems could result in the unauthorized publication of our confidential business or proprietary information, result in the unauthorized release of customer, supplier or employee data, result in a violation of privacy or other laws, expose us to a risk of litigation, cause us to incur direct losses if attackers access our bank or investment accounts, or damage our reputation. The cost and operational consequences of implementing further data protection measures either as a response to specific breaches or as a result of evolving risks, could be significant. In addition, our inability to use or access our information systems at critical points in time could adversely affect the timely and efficient operation of our business. Any delayed sales, significant costs or lost customers resulting from these technology failures could adversely affect our business, operations and financial results.

Third parties with which we conduct business, such as foundries, assembly and test contractors, and distributors, have access to certain portions of our sensitive data. In the event that these third parties do not properly safeguard our data that they hold, security breaches could result and negatively impact our business, operations and financial results.

We do not have any long-term supply contracts with our contract manufacturers or suppliers, and any disruption in our supply of products or materials could have a material adverse effect on our business, revenue and operating results.

We currently do not have long-term supply contracts with any of our third-party vendors, including but, not limited to Global Foundries, SMIC, TSMC, and UMC. We make substantially all of our purchases on a purchase order basis, and our contract manufacturers are not required to supply us products for any specific period or in any specific quantity. Foundry capacity may not be available when we need it or at reasonable prices. Availability of foundry capacity has in the past been

reduced from time to time due to strong demand. Foundries can allocate capacity to the production of other companies' products and reduce deliveries to us on short notice. It is possible that foundry customers that are larger and better financed than we are, or that have long-term agreements with our foundry, may induce our foundry to reallocate capacity to them. This reallocation could impair our ability to secure the supply of components that we need. We generally place orders for products with some of our suppliers approximately four to five months prior to the anticipated delivery date, with order volumes based on our forecasts of demand from our customers. Accordingly, if we inaccurately forecast demand for our products, we may be unable to obtain adequate and cost-effective foundry or assembly capacity from our third-party contractors to meet our customers' delivery requirements, or we may accumulate excess inventories. On occasion, we have been unable to adequately respond to unexpected increases in customer purchase orders and therefore were unable to benefit from this incremental demand. None of our third-party contractors has provided any assurance to us that adequate capacity will be available to us within the time required to meet additional demand for our products.

We may have difficulty accurately predicting our future revenue and appropriately budgeting our expenses particularly as we seek to enter new markets where we may not have prior experience.

Our operating history had previously focused on developing integrated circuits for specific terrestrial, cable and satellite television, and broadband voice and data applications, and as part of our strategy, we seek to expand our addressable market into new product categories. For example, we expanded into the markets for satellite set-top and gateway boxes and outdoor units and physical medium devices for the optical interconnect markets. We have also expanded into the wired whole-home broadband connectivity market and entered the markets for wireless telecommunications infrastructure and power management and interface technologies which are ubiquitous functions in wireless and wireline communications infrastructure, broadband access, industrial, enterprise network, and automotive applications. Our limited operating experience in these new markets or potential markets we may enter, combined with the rapidly evolving nature of our markets in general, substantial uncertainty concerning how these markets may develop and other factors beyond our control, reduces our ability to accurately forecast quarterly or annual revenue. If our revenue does not increase as anticipated, we could incur significant losses due to our higher expense levels if we are not able to decrease our expenses in a timely manner to offset any shortfall in future revenue.

If we are unable to attract, train and retain qualified personnel, especially our design and technical personnel, we may not be able to execute our business strategy effectively.

Our future success depends on our ability to retain, attract and motivate qualified personnel, including our management, sales and marketing and finance, and especially our design and technical personnel. We do not know whether we will be able to attract and retain all of these personnel as we continue to pursue our business strategy. Historically, we have encountered difficulties in hiring and retaining qualified engineers because there is a limited pool of engineers with the expertise required in our field. Competition for these personnel is intense in the semiconductor industry. As the source of our technological and product innovations, our design and technical personnel represent a significant asset. The loss of the services of one or more of our key employees, especially our key design and technical personnel, or our inability to retain, attract and motivate qualified design and technical personnel, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our business would be adversely affected by the departure of existing members of our senior management team.

Our success depends, in large part, on the continued contributions of our senior management team. None of our senior management team is bound by written employment contracts to remain with us for a specified period. In addition, we have not entered into non-compete agreements with members of our senior management team. We are fortunate that many members of our executive management team have long tenures with us, but from time to time we also have been required to recruit new executive officers. With respect to executive officer recruitment and retention, we need to ensure that our executive compensation programs provide sufficient recruitment and retention incentives as well as incentives to achieve our long-term strategic business and financial objectives. We expect competition for individuals with our required skill sets, particularly technical and engineering skills, to remain intense even in weak global macroeconomic environments. The loss of any member of our senior management team could harm our ability to implement our business strategy and respond to the rapidly changing market conditions in which we operate.

Our customers require our products and our third-party contractors to undergo a lengthy and expensive qualification process which does not assure product sales.

Prior to purchasing our products, our customers require that both our products and our third-party contractors undergo extensive qualification processes, which involve testing of the products in the customer's system and rigorous reliability testing.

This qualification process may continue for six months or more. However, qualification of a product by a customer does not assure any sales of the product to that customer. Even after successful qualification and sales of a product to a customer, a subsequent revision to our solutions, or changes in our customer's manufacturing process or our selection of a new supplier may require a new qualification process, which may result in delays and in us holding excess or obsolete inventory. After our products are qualified, it can take six months or more before the customer commences volume production of components or devices that incorporate our products. Despite these uncertainties, we devote substantial resources, including design, engineering, sales, marketing and management efforts, to qualifying our products with customers in anticipation of sales. If we are unsuccessful or delayed in qualifying any of our products with a customer, sales of this product to the customer may be precluded or delayed, which may result in a decrease in our revenue and cause our business to suffer.

Winning business is subject to lengthy competitive selection processes that require us to incur significant expenditures. Even if we begin a product design, customers may decide to cancel or change their product plans, which could cause us to generate no revenue from a product and adversely affect our results of operations.

We are focused on securing design wins to develop RF receivers and RF receiver SoCs, MoCA and G.hn SoCs, DBS-ODU SoCs, physical medium devices for optical modules, interface and power management devices, and SoC solutions targeting infrastructure opportunities within the telecommunications, wireless, industrial and multimarket and broadband operator markets for use in our customers' products. These selection processes typically are lengthy and can require us to incur significant design and development expenditures and dedicate scarce engineering resources in pursuit of a single customer opportunity. We may not win the competitive selection process and may never generate any revenue despite incurring significant design and development expenditures. These risks are exacerbated by the fact that some of our customers' products likely will have short life cycles. Failure to obtain a design win could prevent us from offering an entire generation of a product, even though this has not occurred to date. This could cause us to lose revenue and require us to write off obsolete inventory, and could weaken our position in future competitive selection processes. After securing a design win, we may experience delays in generating revenue from our products as a result of the lengthy development cycle typically required. Our customers generally take a considerable amount of time to evaluate our products. The typical time from early engagement by our sales force to actual product introduction runs from nine to twelve months for the consumer market, to as much as 18 to 24 months for the satellite markets, and 36 months or longer for industrial, wired and wireless infrastructure markets. The delays inherent in these lengthy sales cycles increase the risk that a customer will decide to cancel, curtail, reduce or delay its product plans, causing us to lose anticipated sales. In addition, any delay or cancellation of a customer's plans could materially and adversely affect our financial results, as we may have incurred significant expense and generated no revenue. Finally, our customers' failure to successfully market and sell their products could reduce demand for our products and materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. If we were unable to generate revenue after incurring substantial expenses to develop any of our products, our business would suffer.

Our operating results are subject to substantial quarterly and annual fluctuations and may fluctuate significantly due to a number of factors that could adversely affect our business and our stock price.

Our revenue and operating results have fluctuated in the past and are likely to fluctuate in the future. These fluctuations may occur on a quarterly and on an annual basis and are due to a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control. These factors include, among others:

- changes in end-user demand for the products manufactured and sold by our customers;
- the receipt, reduction or cancellation of significant orders by customers;
- fluctuations in the levels of component inventories held by our customers;
- the gain or loss of significant customers;
- market acceptance of our products and our customers' products;
- our ability to develop, introduce and market new products and technologies on a timely basis;
- the timing and extent of product development costs;
- new product announcements and introductions by us or our competitors;
- incurrence of research and development and related new product expenditures;

- seasonality or cyclical fluctuations in our markets;
- trade-related government actions, by the United States, China or other countries, that impose barriers or restrictions that would impact our ability to sell or ship products to customers;
- currency fluctuations;
- fluctuations in IC manufacturing yields;
- significant warranty claims, including those not covered by our suppliers;
- changes in our product mix or customer mix;
- intellectual property disputes;
- loss of key personnel or the shortage of available skilled workers;
- impairment of long-lived assets, including masks and production equipment;
- the effects of competitive pricing pressures, including decreases in average selling prices of our products; and
- uncertainties arising from the impact of novel coronavirus on the market.

These factors are difficult to forecast, and these, as well as other factors, could materially adversely affect our quarterly or annual operating results. We typically are required to incur substantial development costs in advance of a prospective sale with no certainty that we will ever recover these costs. A substantial amount of time may pass between a design win and the generation of revenue related to the expenses previously incurred, which can potentially cause our operating results to fluctuate significantly from period to period. In addition, a significant amount of our operating expenses are relatively fixed in nature due to our significant sales, research and development costs. Any failure to adjust spending or our operations quickly enough to compensate for a revenue shortfall could magnify its adverse impact on our results of operations.

We are subject to the cyclical nature of the semiconductor industry.

The semiconductor industry is highly cyclical and is characterized by constant and rapid technological change, rapid product obsolescence and price erosion, evolving standards, short product life cycles and wide fluctuations in product supply and demand. Any future downturns may result in diminished product demand, production overcapacity, high inventory levels and accelerated erosion of average selling prices. Furthermore, any upturn in the semiconductor industry could result in increased competition for access to third-party foundry and assembly capacity. We are dependent on the availability of this capacity to manufacture and assemble all of our products. None of our third-party foundry or assembly contractors has provided assurances that adequate capacity will be available to us in the future. A significant downturn or upturn could have a material adverse effect on our business and operating results.

The use of open source software in our products, processes and technology may expose us to additional risks and harm our intellectual property.

Our products, processes and technology sometimes utilize and incorporate software that is subject to an open source license. Open source software is typically freely accessible, usable and modifiable. Certain open source software licenses require a user who intends to distribute the open source software as a component of the user's software to disclose publicly part or all of the source code to the user's software. In addition, certain open source software licenses require the user of such software to make any derivative works of the open source code available to others on unfavorable terms or at no cost. This can subject previously proprietary software to open source license terms.

While we monitor the use of all open source software in our products, processes and technology and try to ensure that no open source software is used in such a way as to require us to disclose the source code to the related product, processes or technology when we do not wish to do so, such use could inadvertently occur. Additionally, if a third party software provider has incorporated certain types of open source software into software we license from such third party for our products, processes or technology, we could, under certain circumstances, be required to disclose the source code to our products, processes or technology. This could harm our intellectual property position and have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We rely on third parties to provide services and technology necessary for the operation of our business. Any failure of one or more of our partners, vendors, suppliers or licensors to provide these services or technology could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We rely on third-party vendors to provide critical services, including, among other things, services related to accounting, billing, human resources, information technology, network development, network monitoring, in-licensing and intellectual property that we cannot or do not create or provide ourselves. We depend on these vendors to ensure that our corporate infrastructure will consistently meet our business requirements. The ability of these third-party vendors to successfully provide reliable and high quality services is subject to technical and operational uncertainties that are beyond our control. While we may be entitled to damages if our vendors fail to perform under their agreements with us, our agreements with these vendors limit the amount of damages we may receive. In addition, we do not know whether we will be able to collect on any award of damages or that these damages would be sufficient to cover the actual costs we would incur as a result of any vendor's failure to perform under its agreement with us. Any failure of our corporate infrastructure could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Upon expiration or termination of any of our agreements with third-party vendors, we may not be able to replace the services provided to us in a timely manner or on terms and conditions, including service levels and cost, that are favorable to us and a transition from one vendor to another vendor could subject us to operational delays and inefficiencies until the transition is complete.

Additionally, we incorporate third-party technology into and with some of our products, and we may do so in future products. The operation of our products could be impaired if errors occur in the third-party technology we use. It may be more difficult for us to correct any errors in a timely manner if at all because the development and maintenance of the technology is not within our control. There can be no assurance that these third parties will continue to make their technology, or improvements to the technology, available to us, or that they will continue to support and maintain their technology. Further, due to the limited number of vendors of some types of technology, it may be difficult to obtain new licenses or replace existing technology. Any impairment of the technology or our relationship with these third parties could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Unanticipated changes in our tax rates or unanticipated tax obligations could affect our future results.

We are subject to income taxes in the United States, Singapore and various other foreign jurisdictions. The amount of income taxes we pay is subject to our interpretation and application of tax laws in jurisdictions in which we file. Changes in current or future laws or regulations, the imposition of new or changed tax laws or regulations or new interpretations by taxing authorities or courts could affect our results of operations and lead to volatility with respect to tax expenses and liabilities from period to period. The application of tax laws and related regulations is subject to legal and factual interpretation, judgment and uncertainty. We cannot determine whether any legislative proposals may be enacted into law or what, if any, changes may be made to such proposals prior to their being enacted into law. If U.S. or international tax laws change in a manner that increases our tax obligation, it could result in a material adverse impact on our results of operations and our financial position.

We are subject to examinations and tax audits. There can be no assurance that the outcome from these audits will not have an adverse effect on our operating results or financial position.

Excess tax benefits associated with employee stock-based compensation are included in income tax expense. However, since the amount of such excess tax benefits and deficiencies depend on the fair market value of our common stock, our income tax provision is subject to volatility in our stock price and in the future, could unfavorably affect our future effective tax rate.

Our future effective tax rate could be unfavorably affected by unanticipated changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities, and the ultimate use and depletion of these various tax credits and net operating loss carryforwards. Changes in our effective tax rate could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations. We record a valuation allowance to reduce our net deferred tax assets to the amount that we believe is more likely than not to be realized. In making such determination, we consider all available positive and negative evidence quarterly, including scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, tax planning strategies, and recent financial performance. To the extent we believe it is more likely than not that some portion of our deferred tax assets will not be realized, we record a valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset. Realization of our deferred tax assets is dependent primarily upon future taxable income in the applicable jurisdiction. Based upon our review of all positive and negative evidence, we concluded that a full valuation allowance should continue to be recorded against our state and certain federal and foreign net deferred tax assets at December 31, 2019. On a periodic basis we evaluate our deferred tax assets for realizability. The impact of releasing some or all of such valuation allowance in a future period could be material in the period in which such release occurs.

Our corporate income tax liability could materially increase if tax incentives we have negotiated in Singapore cease to be effective or applicable or if we are challenged on our use of such incentives.

We operate under certain favorable tax incentives in Singapore which are effective through March 2022 and may be extended through March 2027, and generally are dependent on our meeting certain headcount and investment thresholds. Such incentives allow certain qualifying income earned in Singapore to be taxed at reduced rates and are conditional upon our meeting certain employment and investment thresholds over time. If we fail to satisfy the conditions for receipt of these tax incentives, or to the extent U.S. or other tax authorities challenge our operation under these favorable tax incentive programs or our intercompany transfer pricing agreements, our taxable income could be taxed at higher federal or foreign statutory rates and our income tax liability and expense could materially increase beyond our projections. Each of our Singapore tax incentives is separate and distinct from the others, and may be granted, withheld, extended, modified, truncated, complied with or terminated independently without any effect on the other incentives. Absent these tax incentives, our corporate income tax rate in Singapore would generally be the 17% statutory tax rate. We are also subject to operating and other compliance requirements to maintain our favorable tax incentives. If we fail to comply with such requirements, we could lose the tax benefits and could possibly be required to refund previously realized material tax benefits. Additionally, in the future, we may fail to qualify for renewal of our favorable tax incentives or such incentives may not be available to us, which could also cause our future taxable income to increase and be taxed at higher statutory rates. Loss of one more of our tax incentives could cause us to modify our tax strategies and our operational structure, which could cause disruption in our business and have a material adverse impact on our results of operations. Further, there can be no guarantee that such modification in our tax strategy will yield tax incentives as favorable as those we have negotiated with Singapore. Our interpretations and conclusions regarding the tax incentives are not binding on any taxing authority, and if our assumptions about tax and other laws are incorrect or if these tax incentives are substantially modified or rescinded we could suffer material adverse tax and other financial consequences, which would increase our expenses, reduce our profitability and adversely affect our cash flows.

Global economic conditions, including factors that adversely affect consumer spending for the products that incorporate our integrated circuits, could adversely affect our revenues, margins, and operating results.

Our products are incorporated in numerous consumer devices, and demand for such products will ultimately be driven by consumer demand for products such as televisions, personal computers, automobiles, cable modems, and set-top boxes. Many of these purchases are discretionary. Global economic volatility and economic volatility in the specific markets in which the devices that incorporate our products are ultimately sold can cause extreme difficulties for our customers and third-party vendors in accurately forecasting and planning future business activities. This unpredictability could cause our customers to reduce spending on our products, which would delay and lengthen sales cycles. Furthermore, during challenging economic times our customers may face challenges in gaining timely access to sufficient credit, which could impact their ability to make timely payments to us. These events, together with economic volatility that may face the broader economy and, in particular, the semiconductor and communications industries, may adversely affect, our business, particularly to the extent that consumers decrease their discretionary spending for devices deploying our products.

Our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected by the political and economic conditions of the countries in which we conduct business and other factors related to our international operations.

We sell our products throughout the world. Products shipped to Asia accounted for 84% of our net revenue in the year ended December 31, 2019. In addition, approximately 53% of our employees are located outside of the United States as of December 31, 2019. The majority of our products are manufactured, assembled and tested in Asia, and all of our major distributors are located in Asia. Multiple factors relating to our international operations and to particular countries in which we operate could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. These factors include:

- changes in political, regulatory, legal or economic conditions;
- restrictive governmental actions, such as restrictions on the transfer or repatriation of funds and foreign investments and trade protection measures, including export duties and quotas and customs duties and tariffs;
- disruptions of capital and trading markets;
- changes in import or export licensing requirements;
- transportation delays;

- civil disturbances or political instability;
- geopolitical turmoil, including terrorism, war or political or military coups;
- public health emergencies;
- differing employment practices and labor standards;
- limitations on our ability under local laws to protect our intellectual property;
- local business and cultural factors that differ from our customary standards and practices;
- nationalization and expropriation;
- changes in tax laws;
- currency fluctuations relating to our international operating activities; and
- difficulty in obtaining distribution and support.

In addition to a significant portion of our wafer supply coming from Taiwan, Singapore, and China, substantially all of our products undergo packaging and final testing in Taiwan, Singapore, China, South Korea, and Thailand. Any conflict or uncertainty in these countries, including due to natural disaster or public health or safety concerns, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, if the government of any country in which our products are manufactured or sold sets technical standards for products manufactured in or imported into their country that are not widely shared, it may lead some of our customers to suspend imports of their products into that country, require manufacturers in that country to manufacture products with different technical standards and disrupt cross-border manufacturing relationships which, in each case, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We also are subject to risks associated with international political conflicts involving the U.S. government. For example, in May 2019 and subsequently, we ceased business operations that were prohibited with entities affiliated with Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd., or Huawei and certain other entities, when the Bureau of Industry and Security at the U.S. Department of Commerce, or BIS, amended the Export Administration Regulations to add such entities to the Entity List for acting contrary to the national security or foreign policy interests of the United States. Similarly, we ceased business operations with entities affiliated with ZTE Corp. when the BIS imposed an export licensing requirement, which was subsequently suspended through March 28, 2017. Such suspension was lifted as of March 29, 2017, however on April 17, 2018 the U.S. Department of Commerce imposed a seven-year export ban on ZTE, which was subsequently lifted as of July 13, 2018. Although we have not had significant sales to ZTE and certain other entities, we did have increasing sales to Huawei in the past year, and we believe the imposition of governmental prohibitions on selling our products to Huawei will adversely affect our revenues and operating results in the near term. We cannot provide assurances that similar disruptions of distribution arrangements in the future or the imposition of governmental prohibitions on selling our products to particular customers will not also adversely affect our revenues and operating results. Loss of a key distributor or customer under similar circumstances could have an adverse effect on our business, revenues and operating results.

Changes in trade policies among the United States and other countries, in particular the imposition of new or higher tariffs, could place pressure on our average selling prices as our customers seek to offset the impact of increased tariffs on their own products. Increased tariffs or the imposition of other barriers to international trade could have a material adverse effect on our revenues and operating results.

The United States has imposed or proposed new or higher tariffs on certain products exported by a number of U.S. trading partners, including China, Europe, Canada, and Mexico. In response, many of those trading partners, including China, have imposed or proposed new or higher tariffs on American products. Continuing changes in government trade policies create a heightened risk of further increased tariffs that impose barriers to international trade. Our business and operating results are substantially dependent on international trade, particularly involving the sale of our products into China. Approximately 60% of our total revenues in the year ended December 31, 2019 consisted of sales of our integrated circuits to both Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEMs) and Original Design Manufacturers (ODMs) in China. Many of these manufacturers sell products incorporating our semiconductors into other international markets, including the United States.

Tariffs on our customers' products may adversely affect our gross profit margins in the future due to the potential for increased pressure on our selling prices by customers seeking to offset the impact of tariffs on their own products. In addition, tariffs could make our OEM and ODM customers' products less attractive relative to products offered by their competitors,

which may not be subject to similar tariffs. Some OEM and ODMs in our industry have already implemented short-term price adjustments to offset such tariffs and transitioning their production and supply chain to locations outside of China. We believe that increases in tariffs on imported goods or the failure to resolve current international trade disputes could have a material adverse effect on our business and operating results.

Our business is subject to various governmental regulations, and compliance with these regulations may cause us to incur significant expenses. If we fail to maintain compliance with applicable regulations, we may be forced to recall products and cease their manufacture and distribution, and we could be subject to civil or criminal penalties.

Our business is subject to various international and U.S. laws and other legal requirements, including packaging, product content, labor, import/export control regulations, the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, and other anticorruption laws. These regulations are complex, change frequently and have generally become more stringent over time. We may be required to incur significant costs to comply with these regulations or to remedy violations. Any failure by us to comply with applicable government regulations could result in cessation of our operations or portions of our operations, product recalls or impositions of fines and restrictions on our ability to conduct our operations. In addition, because many of our products are regulated or sold into regulated industries, we must comply with additional regulations in marketing our products.

Our products and operations are also subject to the rules of industrial standards bodies, like the International Standards Organization, as well as regulation by other agencies, such as the U.S. Federal Communications Commission. If we fail to adequately address any of these rules or regulations, our business could be harmed.

For example, as indicated elsewhere in this report, we do a substantial portion of our business in Asia and particularly in China. In recent years, there has been a substantial focus by regulators in the United States and Europe on the business practices of major Chinese technology companies such as Huawei and ZTE. ZTE is our current customer, but in May 2019 and subsequent months, we ceased prohibited business operations with Huawei and its affiliates and certain other restricted entities. While we intend to continue to conduct our businesses in compliance with all applicable laws, including laws relating to export controls and anti-corruption, it is possible that the nature of our business and customers could result in a review of our relationships and practices by regulatory authorities. We could incur increased administrative and legal costs in order to respond to any inquiries, and any failure to comply with applicable laws could adversely affect our business and operating results. We have implemented policies and procedures, including adoption of an anti-corruption policy and procedures to ensure compliance with applicable export control laws, but there can be no assurance that these policies and procedures will prove effective.

We must conform the manufacture and distribution of our semiconductors to various laws and adapt to regulatory requirements in all countries as these requirements change. If we fail to comply with these requirements in the manufacture or distribution of our products, we could be required to pay civil penalties, face criminal prosecution and, in some cases, be prohibited from distributing our products in commerce until the products or component substances are brought into compliance.

If we suffer losses to our facilities or distribution system due to catastrophe, our operations could be seriously harmed.

Our facilities and distribution system, and those of our third-party contractors, are subject to risk of catastrophic loss due to fire, flood or other natural or man-made disasters. A number of our facilities and those of our contract manufacturers are located in areas with above average seismic activity. The risk of an earthquake in the Pacific Rim region or Southern California is significant due to the proximity of major earthquake fault lines. Any catastrophic loss to any of these facilities would likely disrupt our operations, delay production, shipments and revenue and result in significant expenses to repair or replace the facility. The majority of the factories we use for foundry, assembly and test, and warehousing services, are located in Asia, principally in China, Taiwan, and Singapore. Our corporate headquarters is located in Southern California. Our operations and financial condition could be seriously harmed in the event of a major earthquake, fire, or other natural or man-made disaster.

Investor confidence may be adversely impacted if we are unable to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and as a result, our stock price could decline.

We are subject to rules adopted by the Securities Exchange Commission, or SEC, pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or Sarbanes-Oxley Act, which require us to include in our Annual Report on Form 10-K our management's report on, and assessment of the effectiveness of, our internal controls over financial reporting.

If we fail to maintain the adequacy of our internal controls, there is a risk that we will not comply with all of the requirements imposed by Section 404. Moreover, effective internal controls, particularly those related to revenue recognition, are necessary for us to produce reliable financial reports and are important to helping prevent financial fraud. Any of these

possible outcomes could result in an adverse reaction in the financial marketplace due to a loss of investor confidence in the reliability of our consolidated financial statements and could result in investigations or sanctions by the SEC, the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, or other regulatory authorities or in stockholder litigation. Any of these factors ultimately could harm our business and could negatively impact the market price of our securities. Ineffective control over financial reporting could also cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, which could adversely affect the trading price of our common stock.

Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives. However, our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected.

Our products must conform to industry standards in order to be accepted by end users in our markets.

Generally, our products comprise only a part or parts of a communications device. All components of these devices must uniformly comply with industry standards in order to operate efficiently together. We depend on companies that provide other components of the devices to support prevailing industry standards. Many of these companies are significantly larger and more influential in driving industry standards than we are. Some industry standards may not be widely adopted or implemented uniformly, and competing standards may emerge that may be preferred by our customers or end users. If larger companies do not support the same industry standards that we do, or if competing standards emerge, market acceptance of our products could be adversely affected, which would harm our business.

Products for communications applications are based on industry standards that are continually evolving. Our ability to compete in the future will depend on our ability to identify and ensure compliance with these evolving industry standards. The emergence of new industry standards could render our products incompatible with products developed by other suppliers. As a result, we could be required to invest significant time and effort and to incur significant expense to redesign our products to ensure compliance with relevant standards. If our products are not in compliance with prevailing industry standards for a significant period of time, we could miss opportunities to achieve crucial design wins. We may not be successful in developing or using new technologies or in developing new products or product enhancements that achieve market acceptance. Our pursuit of necessary technological advances may require substantial time and expense.

Risks Relating to Our Common Stock

Our management team may use our available cash and cash equivalents in ways with which you may not agree or in ways which may not yield a return.

We use our cash and cash equivalents for general corporate purposes, including working capital and for repayment of outstanding long-term debt. We may also use a portion of these assets to acquire complementary businesses, products, services or technologies. Our management has considerable discretion in the application of our cash and cash equivalents, and resources, and you will not have the opportunity to assess whether these liquid assets are being used in a manner that you deem best to maximize your return. We may use our available cash and cash equivalents and resources for corporate purposes that do not increase our operating results or market value. In addition, in the future our cash and cash equivalents, and resources may be placed in investments that do not produce significant income or that may lose value.

Anti-takeover provisions in our charter documents and under Delaware law could make an acquisition of us more difficult, limit attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management and limit the market price of our common stock.

Provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, as amended and restated, may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change of control or changes in our management. These provisions provide for the following:

- authorize our Board of Directors to issue, without further action by the stockholders, up to 25,000,000 shares of undesignated preferred stock;
- require that any action to be taken by our stockholders be effected at a duly called annual or special meeting and not by written consent;

- specify that special meetings of our stockholders can be called only by our Board of Directors, our Chairman of the Board of Directors, or our President;
- establish an advance notice procedure for stockholder approvals to be brought before an annual meeting of our stockholders, including proposed nominations of persons for election to our Board of Directors;
- establish that our Board of Directors is divided into three classes, Class I, Class II and Class III, with each class serving staggered terms;
- provide that our directors may be removed only for cause;
- provide that vacancies on our Board of Directors may be filled only by a majority of directors then in office, even though less than a quorum;
- specify that no stockholder is permitted to cumulate votes at any election of directors; and
- require supermajority votes of the holders of our common stock to amend specified provisions of our charter documents.

These provisions may frustrate or prevent any attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management by making it more difficult for stockholders to replace members of our Board of Directors, which is responsible for appointing the members of our management. In addition, because we are incorporated in Delaware, we are governed by the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which generally prohibits a Delaware corporation from engaging in any of a broad range of business combinations with any “interested” stockholder for a period of three years following the date on which the stockholder became an “interested” stockholder.

Our share price may be volatile as a result of various factors.

The trading price of our common stock could become highly volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to various factors, some of which are beyond our control. These factors include those discussed in this “Risk Factors” section of the Annual Report on Form 10-K and others such as:

- actual or anticipated fluctuations in our financial condition and operating results;
- overall conditions in the semiconductor market;
- addition or loss of significant customers;
- changes in laws or regulations applicable to our products;
- actual or anticipated changes in our growth rate relative to our competitors;
- announcements of technological innovations by us or our competitors;
- announcements by us or our competitors of significant acquisitions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments;
- additions or departures of key personnel;
- competition from existing products or new products that may emerge;
- issuance of new or updated research or reports by securities analysts;
- fluctuations in the valuation of companies perceived by investors to be comparable to us;
- disputes or other developments related to proprietary rights, including patents, litigation matters and our ability to obtain intellectual property protection for our technologies;
- acquisitions, if applicable, may not be accretive and may cause dilution to our earnings per shares;
- announcement or expectation of additional financing efforts;

- sales of our common stock by us or our stockholders; and
- general economic and market conditions.

Furthermore, the stock markets recently have experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have affected and continue to affect the market prices of equity securities of many companies. These fluctuations often have been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of those companies. These broad market and industry fluctuations, as well as general economic, political and market conditions such as recessions, interest rate changes or international currency fluctuations, may negatively impact the market price of our common stock. In the past, companies that have experienced volatility in the market price of their stock have been subject to securities class action litigation. We have been and may continue to be the target of this type of litigation in the future. Securities litigation against us could result in substantial costs and divert our management's attention from other business concerns, which could seriously harm our business.

If securities or industry analysts do not publish research or reports about our business, or publish negative reports about our business, our share price and trading volume could decline.

The trading market for our common stock depends in part on the research and reports that securities or industry analysts publish about us or our business. We do not have any control over these analysts. If one or more of the analysts who cover us downgrade our shares or change their opinion of our shares, our share price would likely decline. If one or more of these analysts cease coverage of our Company or fail to regularly publish reports on us, we could lose visibility in the financial markets, which could cause our share price or trading volume to decline.

Future sales of our common stock in the public market could cause our share price to decline.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market, or the perception that these sales might occur, could depress the market price of our common stock and could impair our ability to raise capital through the sale of additional equity securities. As of December 31, 2019, we had 71.9 million shares of common stock outstanding.

All shares of common stock are freely tradable without restrictions or further registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, unless held by our "affiliates," as that term is defined under Rule 144 of the Securities Act.

Our Executive Incentive Bonus Plan permits the settlement of awards under the plan in the form of shares of our common stock. We have issued shares of our common stock to settle such bonus awards for our employees, including executives, for the 2014 to 2018 performance periods, and we intend to continue this practice in the foreseeable future. We issued approximately 0.3 million shares of our common stock for the 2018 performance period in February 2019. If we issue additional shares of our common stock to settle bonus awards in the future, such shares may be freely sold in the public market immediately following the issuance of such shares, subject to the applicable conditions of Rule 144 and our insider trading policy, and the issuance of such shares may have an adverse effect on our share price once they are issued.

We do not intend to pay dividends for the foreseeable future.

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock and do not intend to pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. We anticipate that we will retain all of our future earnings for use in the development of our business and for general corporate purposes. Any determination to pay dividends in the future will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors. Accordingly, investors must rely on sales of their common stock after price appreciation, which may never occur, as the only way to realize any future gains on their investments.

Risks Relating to Our Acquisitions

In addition to our completed acquisitions, we may, from time to time, make additional business acquisitions or investments, which involve significant risks.

We have completed acquisitions in prior years and may, from time to time, make acquisitions, enter into alliances or make investments in other businesses to complement our existing product offerings, augment our market coverage or enhance our technological capabilities. However, any such transactions could result in:

- issuances of equity securities dilutive to our existing stockholders;
- substantial cash payments;

- the incurrence of substantial debt and assumption of unknown liabilities;
- large one-time write-offs;
- amortization expenses related to intangible assets;
- a limitation on our ability to use our net operating loss carryforwards;
- the diversion of management's time and attention from operating our business to acquisition integration challenges;
- stockholder or other litigation relating to the transaction;
- adverse tax consequences; and
- the potential loss of key employees, customers and suppliers of the acquired businesses.

Additionally, in periods subsequent to an acquisition, we must evaluate goodwill and acquisition-related intangible assets for impairment. If such assets are found to be impaired, they will be written down to estimated fair value, with a charge against earnings.

Integrating acquired organizations and their products and services, including the integration of completed acquisitions, may be expensive, time-consuming and a strain on our resources and our relationships with employees, customers, distributors and suppliers, and ultimately may not be successful. The benefits or synergies we may expect from the acquisition of complementary or supplementary businesses may not be realized to the extent or in the time frame we initially anticipate. Some of the risks that may affect our ability to successfully integrate acquired businesses include those associated with:

- failure to successfully further develop the acquired products or technology;
- conforming the acquired company's standards, policies, processes, procedures and controls with our operations;
- coordinating new product and process development, especially with respect to highly complex technologies;
- loss of key employees or customers of the acquired company;
- hiring additional management and other critical personnel;
- in the case of foreign acquisitions, the need to integrate operations across different cultures and languages and to address the particular economic, currency, political and regulatory risks associated with specific countries;
- increasing the scope, geographic diversity and complexity of our operations;
- consolidation of facilities, integration of the acquired company's accounting, human resource and other administrative functions and coordination of product, engineering and sales and marketing functions;
- the geographic distance between the companies;
- liability for activities of the acquired company before the acquisition, including patent and trademark infringement claims, violations of laws, commercial disputes, tax liabilities and other known and unknown liabilities; and
- litigation or other claims in connection with the acquired company, including claims for terminated employees, customers, former stockholders or other third parties.

We have in the past been and may in the future be party to litigation related to acquisitions. Any adverse determination in litigation resulting from acquisitions could have a material adverse effect on our business and operating results.

Failure to integrate our business and operations successfully with those of acquired businesses in the expected time-frame or otherwise may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

Our history of acquiring businesses is recent, and prior to our acquisition of Exar Corporation, or Exar, we had never pursued an acquisition of that size and complexity. We may complete larger-scale acquisitions in the future. The success of our recent and future acquisitions depends, in substantial part, on our ability to integrate acquired businesses and operations

efficiently and successfully with those of MaxLinear and to realize fully the anticipated benefits and potential synergies from combining our companies, including, among others, cost savings from eliminating duplicative functions; potential operational efficiencies in our respective supply chains and in research and development investments; and potential revenue growth resulting from the addition of acquired product portfolios. If we are unable to achieve these objectives, the anticipated benefits and potential synergies from the acquisitions may not be realized fully or may take longer to realize than expected. Any failure to timely realize these anticipated benefits would have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, and financial condition, and could also have a material and adverse effect on the trading price or trading volume of our common stock.

We completed acquisitions in prior years. We have completed the integration process for such acquisitions. We have incurred material restructuring costs in prior periods, some of which included the termination of employees from acquired businesses. To the extent we acquire additional businesses in the future, we cannot ensure that integration objectives will not adversely affect our operating results. In connection with the integration process, we could experience the loss of key customers, decreases in revenues relative to current expectations and increases in operating costs, as well as the disruption of our ongoing businesses, any or all of which could limit our ability to achieve the anticipated benefits and potential synergies from the acquisitions and have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, and financial condition.

Our business relationships, including customer relationships, and those of our acquired businesses may be subject to disruption due to uncertainty associated with the acquisitions.

In response to the completion of our acquisitions, customers, vendors, licensors, and other third parties with whom we do business or the acquired entities did business or otherwise have relationships may experience uncertainty associated with the acquisitions, and this uncertainty could materially affect their decisions with respect to existing or future business relationships with us. As a result, we are in many instances unable to evaluate the impact of the acquisition on certain assumed contract rights and obligations, including intellectual property rights.

These business relationships may be subject to disruption as customers and others may elect to delay or defer purchase or design-win decisions or switch to other suppliers due to the uncertainty about the direction of our offerings, any perceived unwillingness on our part to support existing legacy acquired products, or any general perceptions by customers or other third parties that impute operational or business challenges to us arising from the acquisitions. In addition, customers or other third parties may attempt to negotiate changes in existing business relationships, which may result in additional obligations imposed on us. These disruptions could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, and financial condition. Any loss of customers, customer products, design win opportunities, or other important strategic relationships could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, and financial condition and could have a material and adverse effect on the trading price or trading volume of our common stock.

In connection with the acquisition of Exar, we incurred \$425.0 million of secured term loan indebtedness. We have since entered into an interest rate swap to hedge a substantial portion of our exposure to rising interest rates applicable to such indebtedness. We have not previously carried long-term indebtedness, which will adversely affect our operating results and cash-flows as we satisfy our underlying interest and principal payment obligations. We also have not previously engaged in hedging arrangements, which are subject to fair value measurement and hedge accounting rules and related documentation requirements. If we are unable to maintain favorable cash flow hedge accounting and changes in fair value of our interest rate swap are recorded in earnings, it may adversely affect our operating results.

MaxLinear financed the acquisition of Exar in part with a secured term loan facility in an aggregate principal amount of approximately \$425.0 million, of which \$212.0 million remained outstanding as of December 31, 2019. In November 2017, to hedge most of our existing interest rate risk, we entered into a fixed-for-floating interest rate swap agreement with an amortizing notional amount to swap a substantial portion of our variable rate LIBOR interest payments under the outstanding term loans for fixed interest payments bearing an interest rate of 1.74685%. Our outstanding debt is still subject to a 2.5% fixed applicable margin during the term of the loan. As a result of entering the swap, the interest rate on a substantial portion of our long-term debt is effectively fixed at approximately 4.25% until the swap expires in October 2020. The term loan facility is secured by a first priority security interest in MaxLinear's assets, subject to certain customary exceptions, as well as pledges of our equity interests in certain subsidiaries. Prior to the Exar acquisition, we had not previously carried long-term debt on our balance sheet and have financed our operations principally through working capital generated from operations as well as sales and issuances of our equity securities. Our indebtedness will continue to adversely affect our operating expenses through interest payment obligations and will continue to adversely affect our ability to use cash generated from operations as we repay interest and principal under the term loans. In addition, although the term loan provisions do not include financial covenants, they do include operational covenants that may adversely affect our ability to engage in certain activities, including certain financing and acquisition transactions, stock repurchases, guarantees, and similar transactions, without obtaining the consent of

the lenders, which may or may not be forthcoming. Accordingly, outstanding indebtedness could adversely affect our operational freedom or ability to pursue strategic transactions that we would otherwise consider to be in the best interests of stockholders.

Specifically, our indebtedness could have important consequences to investors in our common stock, including the following:

- our ability to obtain any necessary financing in the future for working capital, capital expenditures, debt service requirements, or other purposes may be limited or financing may be unavailable;
- a substantial portion of our cash flows must be dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on our indebtedness and other obligations and will not be available for use in our business;
- our level of indebtedness could limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the markets in which we operate;
- our high degree of indebtedness will make us more vulnerable to changes in general economic conditions and/or a downturn in our business, thereby making it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations;
- we are subject to a fixed rate of interest through October 2020 as a result of entering into a fixed-for-floating interest rate swap agreement in November 2017 to hedge against the potential that the interest rates applicable to our term loan will increase. Our interest rate under the term loan varies based on a fixed margin over either an adjusted LIBOR or an adjusted base rate. Interest rate trends are inherently difficult to predict and interest rates may significantly increase or decrease over a short period of time. If interest rates were to decrease substantially, we would pay higher interest expense than market and, as a result, could seek to terminate or modify the terms of the swap prior to its maturity which could result in termination or other fees and the fair value of our interest rate swap may also decrease substantially; and
- we are also still subject to variable interest rate risk on the principal balance in excess of the notional amount of the interest rate swap because our interest rate under the term loan varies based on a fixed margin over either an adjusted LIBOR or an adjusted base rate. Interest rates, including LIBOR, may increase in future periods. If we are unable to make anticipated prepayments of our indebtedness causing the unhedged portion of our indebtedness to substantially increase at the same time that interest rates were to increase substantially, it would adversely affect our operating results and could affect our ability to service the term loan indebtedness.

If we fail to make required debt payments, or if we fail to comply with other covenants in our debt service agreements, we would be in default under the terms of these agreements. Subject to customary cure rights, any default would permit the holders of the indebtedness to accelerate repayment of this debt and could cause defaults under other indebtedness that we have, any of which could have a material adverse effect on the trading price of our common stock.

Servicing our indebtedness will require a significant amount of cash, and we may not have sufficient cash flow from our business to pay our substantial indebtedness.

In connection with the term loan facility, we incurred \$425.0 million in aggregate principal amount of senior indebtedness, of which approximately \$212.0 million remained outstanding at December 31, 2019. Our substantial indebtedness may increase our vulnerability to any generally adverse economic and industry conditions.

Our ability to make scheduled payments of the principal and interest when due, or to refinance our borrowings under the term loan facility, will depend on our future performance, which is subject to economic, financial, competitive and other factors beyond our control. Our business may not continue to generate cash flow from operations in the future sufficient to satisfy our obligations under our indebtedness, and any future indebtedness we may incur and to make necessary capital expenditures. If we are unable to generate such cash flow, we may be required to adopt one or more alternatives, such as reducing or delaying investments or capital expenditures, selling assets, refinancing or obtaining additional equity capital on terms that may be onerous or highly dilutive. Our ability to refinance the term loans or existing or future indebtedness will depend on the capital markets and our financial condition at such time. We may not be able to engage in any of these activities or engage in these activities on desirable terms, which could result in a default on the loan facility or future indebtedness.

We may still incur substantially more debt or take other actions, which would intensify the risks discussed immediately above.

We and our subsidiaries may, subject to any limitations in the terms of the term loan facility, incur additional debt, secure existing or future debt, recapitalize our debt or take a number of other actions that are not limited by the terms of our term loans that could have the effect of diminishing our ability to make payments under the indebtedness when due. If we incur any additional debt, the related risks that we and our subsidiaries face could intensify.

We have recorded goodwill that could become impaired and adversely affect our future operating results.

Our business acquisitions are accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting by MaxLinear in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Under the acquisition method of accounting, the assets and liabilities of acquired businesses are recorded, as of completion, at their respective fair values and added to our assets and liabilities. Our reported financial condition and results of operations after completion of the acquisition reflect acquired businesses' balances and results but are not restated retroactively to reflect the historical financial position or results of operations of acquired businesses for periods prior to the acquisition. As a result, comparisons of future results against prior period results will be more difficult for investors.

Under the acquisition method of accounting, the total purchase price is allocated to net tangible assets and identifiable intangible assets of acquired businesses based on their fair values as of the date of completion of the acquisition. The excess of the purchase price over those fair values is recorded as goodwill. Our acquisitions have resulted in the creation of goodwill based upon the application of the acquisition method of accounting. To the extent the value of goodwill or other intangible assets become impaired, we may be required to incur material charges relating to such impairment. We conduct our annual goodwill impairment analysis on October 31 each year, or more frequently if we believe indicators of impairment exist. In addition, there can be no guarantee that acquired intangible assets, particularly in-process research and development, will generate revenues or profits that we include in our forecast that is the basis for their fair values as of the acquisition date. Any such impairment charges relating to goodwill or other intangible assets could have a material impact on our operating results in future periods, and the announcement of a material impairment could have an adverse effect on the trading price and trading volume of our common stock. For example, in the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, we recognized impairment losses of \$2.2 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, related to acquired intangible assets. As of December 31, 2019, our balance sheet reflected goodwill of \$238.3 million and other intangible assets of \$188.0 million, and we could recognize impairment charges in the future.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our corporate headquarters occupy approximately 68,000 square feet in Carlsbad, California under a lease that expires in June 2022. A full range of business and engineering functions are represented at our corporate headquarters, including a laboratory for research and development and manufacturing operations. In addition to our principal office spaces in Carlsbad, we have active leased facilities in Irvine, California; San Jose, California; Burnaby, Canada; Bangalore, India; Singapore; Taipei and Hsinchu, Taiwan; Shenzhen and Shanghai, China; Seoul, South Korea; Tokyo, Japan; and in Paterna, Spain.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

CrestaTech Litigation

We were a defendant in patent litigation originally filed by CrestaTech Technology Corporation, or CrestaTech. On January 21, 2014, CrestaTech filed a complaint for patent infringement against us in the United States District Court of Delaware, or District Court Litigation, alleging that we infringed U.S. Patent Nos. 7,075,585, or the '585 Patent and 7,265,792, or the '792 Patent. In addition to asking for compensatory damages, CrestaTech alleged willful infringement and sought a permanent injunction. CrestaTech also named Sharp Corporation, Sharp Electronics Corp. and VIZIO, Inc. as defendants based upon their alleged use of our television tuners. Following the litigation history described in our prior filings on Form 10-K and Form 10-Q, the District Court dismissed the District Court Litigation in April 2018. While the successor plaintiff following a Chapter 7 bankruptcy proceeding of CrestaTech below had suggested that the dismissal may have been in error, it took no action to re-instate the case. In the related bankruptcy proceeding, the plaintiff stated that it "no longer has any valid patent claims that it is asserting in any of the proceedings purchased under the Sale Agreement," which includes the District Court

Litigation against us in re Cresta Technology Corporation, Case No. 16-50808 (N.D. Cal. Bank. 2016) at Dkt. No. 270. In November 2019, we entered into a settlement agreement with CF Crespe resolving all outstanding matters with CF Crespe and CrestaTech (its predecessor-in-interest). On December 5, 2019, the Federal Circuit dismissed our appeal from the *inter partes* review of CF Crespe's patent number 7,075,585 -- the only remaining active matter as of the parties' settlement. The terms of the settlement are confidential, and the settlement did not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Trango Systems, Inc. Litigation

On or about August 2, 2016, Trango Systems, Inc., or Trango, filed a complaint in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, Central Division, against defendants Broadcom Corporation, Inc., or Broadcom, and us, collectively, Defendants. Trango is a purchaser that alleges various fraud, breach of contract, and interference with economic relations claims in connection with the discontinuance of a chip line we acquired from Broadcom in 2016. Trango seeks unspecified general and special damages, pre-judgment interest, expenses and costs, attorneys' fees, punitive damages, and unspecified injunctive and equitable relief. On June 23, 2017, the Court sustained our demurrer to each cause of action in the second amended complaint, filed on or about December 6, 2016. Trango filed its third amended complaint on or about July 13, 2017. On February 23, 2018, the Court sustained, in part, our demurrer, dismissing with prejudice the cause of action for breach of a written contract, and Trango voluntarily dismissed its cause of action for breach of an implied-in-fact contract. The remaining causes of action have been permitted to proceed. On March 15, 2018, Trango filed its fourth amended complaint. We filed our answer on April 17, 2018. Also, on April 17, Broadcom filed a cross-complaint against us, alleging causes of action for indemnity, contribution and apportionment, and declaratory relief. Broadcom voluntarily dismissed the cross-complaint on June 8, 2018. On December 10, 2018, MaxLinear filed a motion for summary judgment, or in the alternative summary adjudication concerning all of Trango's causes of action asserted against MaxLinear. The parties entered into a settlement agreement and on June 6, 2019 the case was dismissed with prejudice. The terms of the settlement are confidential, and the settlement did not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Other Matters

In addition, from time to time, we are subject to threats of litigation or actual litigation in the ordinary course of business, some of which may be material. We believe that there are no currently pending litigation matters that, if determined adversely by us, would have a material effect on our business or that would not be covered by our existing liability insurance.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

PART II — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information and Holders

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange, or the NYSE, under the symbol MXL.

According to our transfer agent, as of January 29, 2020, there were 60 record holders of our common stock. We believe we have approximately 22,000 beneficial holders of our common stock.

Dividend Policy


We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our common stock. We currently intend to retain all available funds and any future earnings for use in the operation of our business and do not anticipate paying any dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Any future determination to declare dividends will be made at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on our financial condition, operating results, capital requirements, general business conditions and other factors that our Board of Directors may deem relevant.

Stock Performance Graph

Notwithstanding any statement to the contrary in any of our previous or future filings with the SEC, the following information relating to the price performance of our common stock shall not be deemed "filed" with the SEC or "Soliciting Material" under the Exchange Act, or subject to Regulation 14A or 14C, or to liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act except to the extent we specifically request that such information be treated as soliciting material or to the extent we specifically incorporate this information by reference.

The graph below compares the cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock with the cumulative total return on The NYSE Composite Index and The Philadelphia Semiconductor Index. The period shown commences on December 31, 2014 and ends on December 31, 2019, the end of our last fiscal year. The graph assumes an investment of \$100 on December 31, 2014, and the reinvestment of any dividends.

The comparisons in the graph below are required by the Securities and Exchange Commission and are not intended to forecast or be indicative of possible future performance of our common stock.



Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None.

Recent Repurchases of Equity Securities

None.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

We have derived the selected consolidated statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017 and selected consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2019 and 2018 from our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report. We have derived the consolidated statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 and the consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 from our consolidated financial statements not included in this report. Our historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for any future period. As described in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included herein, as a result of the adoption of ASC 606 as of January 1, 2018 using the modified retrospective method, amounts for years prior to 2018 have not been adjusted to reflect the change to recognize certain distributor sales upon sale to the distributor, or the sell-in method, from recognition upon the Company's sale to the distributors' end customers, or the sell-through method, which required the deferral of revenue and profit on such distributor sales. Also, due to the adoption of ASC 842 on January 1, 2019 with a cumulative effect adjustment to accumulated deficit, amounts for periods prior to 2019 in the consolidated balance sheets have not been adjusted to reflect certain lease-related assets and liabilities. The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (in thousands, except per share amounts) | | | | |
| Consolidated Statement of Operations Data: | | | | | |
| Net revenue | \$317,180 | \$384,997 | \$420,318 | \$387,832 | \$300,360 |
| Cost of net revenue | 149,495 | 176,223 | 212,355 | 157,842 | 144,937 |
| Gross profit | 167,685 | 208,774 | 207,963 | 229,990 | 155,423 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | |
| Research and development | 98,344 | 120,046 | 112,279 | 97,745 | 85,405 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 88,762 | 101,789 | 105,831 | 64,454 | 77,981 |
| Impairment losses | — | 2,198 | 2,000 | 1,300 | 21,600 |
| Restructuring charges | 2,636 | 3,838 | 9,524 | 3,432 | 14,086 |
| Total operating expenses | 189,742 | 227,871 | 229,634 | 166,931 | 199,072 |
| Income (loss) from operations | (22,057) | (19,097) | (21,671) | 63,059 | (43,649) |
| Interest income | 775 | 78 | 274 | 572 | 275 |
| Interest expense | (11,133) | (14,255) | (10,378) | (104) | (100) |
| Other income (expense), net | (69) | 422 | (2,223) | 163 | 568 |
| Total interest and other income (expense), net | (10,427) | (13,755) | (12,327) | 631 | 743 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (32,484) | (32,852) | (33,998) | 63,690 | (42,906) |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | (12,586) | (6,653) | (24,811) | 2,398 | (575) |
| Net income (loss) | <u>\$ (19,898)</u> | <u>\$ (26,199)</u> | <u>\$ (9,187)</u> | <u>\$ 61,292</u> | <u>\$ (42,331)</u> |
| Net income (loss) per share: | | | | | |
| Basic | <u>\$ (0.28)</u> | <u>\$ (0.38)</u> | <u>\$ (0.14)</u> | <u>\$ 0.96</u> | <u>\$ (0.79)</u> |
| Diluted | <u>\$ (0.28)</u> | <u>\$ (0.38)</u> | <u>\$ (0.14)</u> | <u>\$ 0.91</u> | <u>\$ (0.79)</u> |
| Shares used to compute net income (loss) per share: | | | | | |
| Basic | <u>71,005</u> | <u>68,490</u> | <u>66,252</u> | <u>63,781</u> | <u>53,378</u> |
| Diluted | <u>71,005</u> | <u>68,490</u> | <u>66,252</u> | <u>67,653</u> | <u>53,378</u> |

| | As of December 31, | | | | |
|---|--------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (in thousands) | | | | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | |
| Cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash, and short- and long-term investments, available-for-sale | \$ 93,117 | \$ 74,191 | \$ 74,412 | \$ 136,805 | \$ 130,498 |
| Working capital | 115,208 | 110,044 | 124,918 | 158,304 | 134,170 |
| Total assets | 705,791 | 743,593 | 824,862 | 422,652 | 334,505 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 414,920 | 399,936 | 387,424 | 352,424 | 262,924 |

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements

The following discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of our operations should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed below. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those identified below, and those discussed in the section titled "Risk Factors" included elsewhere in this report.

Overview

We are a provider of radio-frequency, or RF, high-performance analog, and mixed-signal communications systems-on-chip solutions for the connected home, wired and wireless infrastructure, and industrial and multi-market applications. We are a fabless integrated circuit design company whose products integrate all or substantial portions of a broadband communication system. In most cases, these products are designed on a single silicon-die, using standard digital CMOS processes and conventional packaging technologies. We believe this enables our solutions to achieve superior power, performance, and cost advantages relative to our industry competition. Our customers include electronics distributors, module makers, original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), and original design manufacturers (ODMs), who incorporate our products in a wide range of electronic devices. Examples of such end market electronic devices incorporating our products include cable DOCSIS broadband modems and gateways; wireline connectivity devices for in-home networking applications; RF transceivers and modems for wireless carrier access and backhaul infrastructure; fiber-optic modules for data center, metro, and long-haul transport networks; video set-top boxes and gateways; hybrid analog and digital televisions, direct broadcast satellite outdoor and indoor units; and power management and interface products used in these and a range of other markets.

We combine our high-performance RF and mixed-signal semiconductor design skills with our expertise in digital communications systems, software, high-performance analog, and embedded systems to provide highly integrated semiconductor devices and platform-level solutions that are manufactured using a range of semiconductor manufacturing processes, including low-cost complementary metal oxide semiconductor, or CMOS, process technology, Silicon Germanium, Gallium Arsenide, BiCMOS and Indium Phosphide process technologies. Our ability to design analog and mixed-signal circuits in CMOS allows us to efficiently combine analog and digital signal processing functionality in the same integrated circuit. As a result, our solutions have high levels of functional integration and performance, small silicon die size, and low power consumption. Moreover, we are uniquely positioned to offer customers a combination of proprietary CMOS-based radio system architectures that provide the benefits of superior RF system performance, along with high-performance analog interface and power management solutions that enable shorter design cycles, significant design flexibility, and low system cost across a wide range of broadband communications, wired and wireless infrastructure, and industrial and multi-market applications.

In fiscal 2019, our net revenue was derived primarily from sales of RF receivers and RF receiver systems-on-chip and connectivity solutions into broadband operator voice and data modems and gateways and connectivity adapters, global analog and digital RF receiver products for analog and digital pay-TV applications, radio and modem solutions into wireless carrier access and backhaul infrastructure platforms, high-speed optical interconnect solutions sold into optical modules for data-center, metro and long-haul networks, and high-performance interface and power management solutions into a broad range of communications, industrial, automotive and multi-market applications. Our ability to achieve revenue growth in the future will depend, among other factors, on our ability to further penetrate existing markets; our ability to expand our target addressable markets by developing new and innovative products; changes in government trade policies; and our ability to obtain design

wins with device manufacturers, in particular manufacturers of set-top boxes, data modems, and gateways for the broadband service provider and Pay-TV industries, manufacturers selling into the smartphone market, storage networking market, cable infrastructure market, industrial and automotive markets, and optical module and telecommunications infrastructure markets.

Products shipped to Asia accounted for 84%, 81% and 89% of net revenue during the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, respectively, including 60%, 63% and 71%, respectively, from products shipped to China. Although a large percentage of our products is shipped to Asia, we believe that a significant number of the systems designed by these customers and incorporating our semiconductor products are then sold outside Asia. For example, revenue generated from sales of our cable modem products during the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017 related principally to sales to Asian ODMs and contract manufacturers delivering products into European and North American markets. To date, all of our sales have been denominated in United States dollars.

A significant portion of our net revenue has historically been generated by a limited number of customers. Sales to customers comprise both direct sales to customers and indirect sales through distributors. In the year ended December 31, 2019, one of our direct customers, CommScope, accounted for 14% of our net revenue, and our ten largest customers collectively accounted for 63% of our net revenue, of which distributor customers comprised 38% of our net revenue. In the year ended December 31, 2018, CommScope accounted for 18% of our net revenue, and our ten largest customers collectively accounted for 61% of our net revenue, of which distributor customers comprised 29% of our net revenue. In the year ended December 31, 2017, CommScope accounted for 25% of our net revenue, and our ten largest customers collectively accounted for 58% of our net revenue, of which distributor customers comprised 9% of our net revenue. For certain customers, we sell multiple products into disparate end user applications such as cable modems, satellite set-top boxes and broadband gateways.

Our business depends on winning competitive bid selection processes, known as design wins, to develop semiconductors for use in our customers' products. These selection processes are typically lengthy, and as a result, our sales cycles will vary based on the specific market served, whether the design win is with an existing or a new customer and whether our product being designed in our customer's device is a first generation or subsequent generation product. Our customers' products can be complex and, if our engagement results in a design win, can require significant time to define, design and result in volume production. Because the sales cycle for our products is long, we can incur significant design and development expenditures in circumstances where we do not ultimately recognize any revenue. We do not have any long-term purchase commitments with any of our customers, all of whom purchase our products on a purchase order basis. Once one of our products is incorporated into a customer's design, however, we believe that our product is likely to remain a component of the customer's product for its life cycle because of the time and expense associated with redesigning the product or substituting an alternative chip. Product life cycles in our target markets will vary by application. For example, in the cable operator modem and gateway sectors, a design-in can have a product life cycle of 24 to 48 months. In the industrial and wired and wireless infrastructure markets, a design-in can have a product life cycle of 24 to 60 months and beyond.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Management's discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our financial statements which are prepared in accordance with accounting principles that are generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We continually evaluate our estimates and judgments, the most critical of which are those related to revenue recognition, inventory valuation, income taxes and stock-based compensation. We base our estimates and judgments on historical experience and other factors that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Materially different results can occur as circumstances change and additional information becomes known.

We believe that the following accounting policies involve a greater degree of judgment and complexity than our other accounting policies. Accordingly, these are the policies we believe are the most critical to understanding and evaluating our consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

Revenue Recognition

On January 1, 2018, we adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Accounting Standards Codification Topic 606, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*, or ASC 606, using the modified retrospective method and accordingly, modified our policy on revenue recognition as stated below. The primary impact of adopting ASC 606 for MaxLinear was to accelerate the timing of our revenue and related cost recognition on products sold via some of our distributors, which changed from recognition upon the sale to our distributors' end customers, or the sell-through method, to recognition upon our sale to the distributor, or the sell-in method. We are also required to estimate the effects of pricing credits to our distributors from

contractual price protection and unit rebate provisions, as well as stock rotation rights and record such estimated credits upon our sale to the distributor. As a result of the adoption of ASC 606 as of January 1, 2018 using the modified retrospective method, prior period amounts were not adjusted to reflect the change in revenue recognition for such distributor sales.

Substantially all of our revenue is generated from sales of our integrated circuits to electronics distributors, module makers, OEMs, and ODMs under individual customer purchase orders, some of which have underlying master sales agreements that specify terms governing the product sales. Effective January 1, 2018, we adopted ASC 606 and recognize revenue at the point in time when control of the products is transferred to the customer at the estimated net consideration for which collection is probable, taking into account our customer's rights to price protection, other pricing credits, unit rebates, and rights to return unsold product. Transfer of control occurs either when products are shipped to or received by the distributor or direct customer, based on the terms of the specific agreement with the customer, if we have a present right to payment and transfer of legal title and the risks and rewards of ownership to the customer has occurred. For most of our product sales, transfer of control occurs upon shipment to our distributor or direct customer. In assessing whether collection of consideration from a customer is probable, we consider the customer's ability and intention to pay that amount of consideration when it is due. Payment of invoices is due as specified in the underlying customer agreement, typically 30 days from the invoice date, which occurs on the date of transfer of control of the products to the customer. Since payment terms are less than a year, we have elected the practical expedient and do not assess whether a customer contract has a significant financing component.

A five-step approach is applied in the recognition of revenue under ASC 606: (1) identify the contract with a customer, (2) identify the performance obligations in the contract, (3) determine the transaction price, (4) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract, and (5) recognize revenue when we satisfy a performance obligation. We applied ASC 606 to our customer contracts that were not completed before the January 1, 2018 adoption date. Customer purchase orders plus the underlying master sales agreements are considered to be contracts with the customer for purposes of applying the five-step approach under ASC 606.

Pricing adjustments and estimates of returns under contractual stock rotation rights are treated as variable consideration for purposes of determining the transaction price, and are estimated at the time control transfers using the expected value method based on our analysis of actual price adjustment claims by distributors and product and historical return rates, and then reassessed at the end of each reporting period. We also consider whether any variable consideration is constrained, since such amounts for which it is probable that a significant reversal will occur when the contingency is subsequently resolved are required to be excluded from revenues. Price adjustments are finalized at the time the products are sold through to the end customer and the distributor or end customer submits a claim to reduce the sale price to a pre-approved net price. Stock rotation allowances are capped at a fixed percentage of our sales to a distributor for a period of time, up to six months, as specified in the individual distributor contract. If our current estimates of such credits and rights are materially inaccurate, it may result in adjustments that affect future revenues and gross profits. Returns under our general assurance warranty of products for a period of one to three years have not been material and warranty-related services are not considered a separate performance obligation under the customer contracts. Most of our customers resell our product as part of their product and thus are tax-exempt, however to the extent we collect and remit taxes on product sales from customers, we have elected to exclude from the measurement of transaction price such taxes.

Each distinct promise to transfer products is considered to be an identified performance obligation for which revenue is recognized upon transfer of control of the products to the customer. Although customers may place orders for products to be delivered on multiple dates that may be in different quarterly reporting periods, all of the orders are scheduled within one year from the order date. We have opted to not disclose the portion of revenues allocated to partially unsatisfied performance obligations, which represent products to be shipped within 12 months under open customer purchase orders, at the end of the current reporting period as allowed under ASC 606. We have also elected to record sales commissions when incurred, pursuant to the practical expedient under ASC 340, as the period over which the sales commission asset that would have been recognized is less than one year.

Customer contract liabilities consist of obligations to deliver rebates to customers in the form of units of products, which are included in accrued expenses and other current liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. Other obligations to customers consist of estimates of price protection rights offered to our end customers, which are included in accrued price protection liability in the consolidated balance sheets, as well as price adjustments expected to be claimed by the distributor upon sell-through of the products to their customers, and amounts expected to be returned by distributors under stock rotation rights, which are included in accrued expenses and other current liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. We also record a right of return asset consist of amounts representing the products we expect to receive from customers in returns, which is included in inventory in the consolidated balance sheets, and is typically settled within six months of transfer of control to the customer, or the period over which stock rotation rights are based. Upon lapse of the time period for stock rotations, or the contractual end

to price protection and rebate programs, which is approximately one to two years, and when we believe unclaimed amounts are no longer subject to payment and will not be paid, any remaining asset or liability is derecognized by an offsetting entry to cost of net revenue and net revenue. For additional disclosures regarding contract liabilities and other obligations to customers, see Note 15 to our consolidated financial statements.

We assess customer accounts receivable and contract assets for impairment in accordance with ASC 310-10-35.

Inventory Valuation

We assess the recoverability of our inventory based on assumptions about demand and market conditions. Forecasted demand is determined based on historical sales and expected future sales. Inventory is stated at the lower of cost or net realizable value. Cost is computed using standard cost, which approximates actual cost on a first-in, first-out basis and net realizable value is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal and transportation. We reduce our inventory to its lower of cost or net realizable value on a part-by-part basis to account for its obsolescence or lack of marketability. Reductions are calculated as the difference between the cost of inventory and its net realizable value based upon assumptions about future demand, market conditions and costs. Once established, these adjustments are considered permanent and are not revised until the related inventory is sold or disposed of. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, additional inventory write-downs may be required that may adversely affect our operating results. If actual market conditions are more favorable, we may have higher gross profits when products are sold.

Production Masks

Production masks with alternative future uses or discernible future benefits are capitalized and amortized over their estimated useful life of two years to five years. To determine if the production mask has alternative future uses or benefits, we evaluate risks associated with developing new technologies and capabilities, and the related risks associated with entering new markets. Production masks that do not meet the criteria for capitalization are expensed as research and development costs.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill is the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of identifiable net assets acquired in business combinations accounted for under the acquisition method. Intangible assets represent purchased intangible assets including developed technology and in-process research and development, or IPR&D, technologies acquired or licensed from other companies, customer relationships, noncompete covenants, backlog, and trademarks and tradenames. Purchased finite-lived intangible assets are capitalized and amortized over their estimated useful lives. Technologies acquired or licensed from other companies, customer relationships, noncompete covenants, backlog, and trademarks and tradenames are capitalized and amortized over the lesser of the terms of the agreement, or estimated useful life. We capitalize IPR&D projects acquired as part of a business combination. On completion of each project, IPR&D assets are reclassified to developed technology and amortized over their estimated useful lives.

Impairment of Goodwill and Long-Lived Assets

Goodwill is not amortized but is tested for impairment using either a qualitative assessment, and/or the two-step method as needed. Step one is the identification of potential impairment. This involves comparing the fair value of each reporting unit, which we have determined to be the entity itself, with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value of a reporting unit exceeds the carrying amount, the goodwill of the reporting unit is considered not impaired and the second step of the impairment test is unnecessary. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the second step of the impairment test is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. We test by reporting unit, goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment at October 31 each year or more frequently if we believe indicators of impairment exist.

During development, IPR&D is not subject to amortization and is tested for impairment annually or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. We review indefinite-lived intangible assets each year for impairment using a qualitative assessment, followed by a quantitative assessment, as needed, each year as of October 31, the date of our annual goodwill impairment review, or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. Recoverability of indefinite-lived intangible assets is measured by comparing the carrying amount of the asset to its fair value. In certain cases, we utilize the relief-from-royalty method when appropriate, and a fair value will be obtained based on analysis over the costs saved by owning the right instead of leasing it. Once an IPR&D

project is complete, it becomes a finite-lived intangible asset and is evaluated for impairment both immediately prior to its change in classification and thereafter in accordance with our policy for long-lived assets.

We regularly review the carrying amount of our long-lived assets subject to depreciation and amortization, as well as the useful lives, to determine whether indicators of impairment may exist which warrant adjustments to carrying values or estimated useful lives. An impairment loss would be recognized when the sum of the expected future undiscounted net cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset. Should impairment exist, the impairment loss would be measured based on the excess of the carrying amount of the asset over the asset's fair value.

Income Taxes

We provide for income taxes utilizing the asset and liability approach of accounting for income taxes. Under this approach, deferred taxes represent the future tax consequences expected to occur when the reported amounts of assets and liabilities are recovered or paid. Deferred taxes are presented net as noncurrent. The provision for income taxes generally represents income taxes paid or payable for the current year plus the change in deferred taxes during the year. Deferred taxes result from the differences between the financial and tax bases of our assets and liabilities and are adjusted for changes in tax rates and tax laws when changes are enacted. Valuation allowances are recorded to reduce deferred tax assets when a judgment is made that is considered more likely than not that a tax benefit will not be realized. A decision to record a valuation allowance results in an increase in income tax expense or a decrease in income tax benefit. If the valuation allowance is released in a future period, income tax expense will be reduced accordingly.

The calculation of tax liabilities involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex global tax regulations. The impact of an uncertain income tax position is recognized at the largest amount that is "more likely than not" to be sustained upon audit by the relevant taxing authority. An uncertain income tax position will not be recognized if it has less than a 50% likelihood of being sustained. If the estimate of tax liabilities proves to be less than the ultimate assessment, a further charge to expense would result.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. We continue to assess the need for a valuation allowance on the deferred tax asset by evaluating both positive and negative evidence that may exist. Any adjustment to the net deferred tax asset valuation allowance would be recorded in the income statement for the period that the adjustment is determined to be required.

On December 22, 2017, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, or the Tax Act, was enacted into U.S. tax law. In 2018, we made an accounting policy election to treat Global Intangible Low Taxed Income in accordance with the Tax Act as a period cost.

Stock-Based Compensation

We measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for equity incentive awards, including restricted stock units and restricted stock awards, employee stock purchase rights and stock options, based on the grant date fair value of the award. We calculate the fair value of restricted stock units and performance-based restricted stock units based on the fair market value of our common stock on the grant date. Stock-based compensation expense is then determined based on the number of restricted stock units that are expected to vest; for performance-based restricted stock units, this is the number of units that are expected to vest during the performance period if it is probable that we will achieve the performance metrics specified in the underlying award agreement. We use the Black-Scholes valuation model to calculate the fair value of stock options and employee stock purchase rights granted to employees. Stock-based compensation expense is recognized over the period during which the employee is required to provide services in exchange for the award, which is usually the vesting period. We recognize compensation expense over the vesting period using the straight-line method and classify these amounts in the statements of operations based on the department to which the related employee reports. We calculate the weighted-average expected life of options using the simplified method as prescribed by guidance provided by the Securities and Exchange Commission. This decision was based on the lack of historical data due to our limited number of stock option exercises under the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan. We will continue to assess the appropriateness of the use of the simplified method as we develop a history of option exercises.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, *Leases (Topic 842)*. The amendments in this update require a lessee to recognize in the statement of financial position a liability to make lease payments (the lease liability) and a right-of-

use asset representing its right to use the underlying asset. For leases less than twelve months, an entity is permitted to make an accounting policy election by class of underlying asset not to recognize right-of-use assets and lease liabilities. If a lessee makes this election, it should recognize lease expense for such leases generally on a straight-line basis over the lease term. We have made this election. Also, in July 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-11, *Leases (Topic 842): Targeted Improvements*, to provide an additional transition method. An entity can elect not to present comparative financial information under Topic 842 if it recognizes a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings upon adoption. We have also made this election. Further, in January 2019, the FASB issued ASU 2019-01, *Leases (Topic 842): Codification Improvements*, which clarified that post-adoption interim transition disclosures normally required in the year of adoption for the effect of a change in accounting principle on an entity's financial statements are not required for the adoption of ASC 842. The amendments in these updates are effective for us for fiscal years beginning with 2019, including interim periods within those years, with early adoption permitted. We have completed our assessment of the impact of the adoption of ASC 842. Upon adoption, we recognized approximately \$24.8 million of right-of-use assets and a net increase of \$25.1 million in lease-related liabilities at January 1, 2019. Also, the impact of the adoption of ASC 842 on our accumulated deficit and deferred tax assets at January 1, 2019 was not material. Lastly, the impact of the adoption of ASC 842 on our consolidated results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2019 was not material.

In July 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-10, *Codification Improvements to Topic 842, Leases*, to clarify how to apply certain aspects of the new lease accounting standard. The amendments in this update, among other things, better articulates the requirement for a lessee's reassessment of lease classification as of the effective date of a modification, clarifies that a change to an index or rate for variable lease payments does not constitute a resolution of a contingency that would result in the remeasurement of lease payments, and requires entities that apply Topic 842 retrospectively to each reporting period and do not adopt the practical expedients to write off any prior unamortized initial direct costs that do not meet the definition under Topic 842 to equity. The amendments in this update have the same effective date and transition requirements as the new lease standard summarized above. We have disclosed the impact of adoption of Topic 842 on our consolidated financial position and results of operations as stated above.

In July 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-09, *Codification Improvements*, to clarify the Codification and prevent unintended application of the guidance. An amendment to ASC 718-740, *Compensation—Stock Compensation—Income Taxes*, clarifies that excess tax benefits should be recognized in the period in which the amount of the deduction is determined. The transition and effective date guidance is based on the facts and circumstances of each amendment. The amendment identified above will be effective for us beginning with fiscal year 2019. The adoption of the amendments in this update in year ended December 31, 2019 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In August 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-12, *Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815)*, which is intended to improve accounting for hedging activities by expanding and refining hedge accounting for both nonfinancial and financial risk components and aligning the recognition and presentation of the effects of the hedging instrument and the hedged item in the financial statements. The amendments in this update became effective for us beginning with fiscal year 2019. The amendments in this update were required to be applied prospectively. The adoption of the amendments in this update did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2019.

In July 2019, the FASB issued ASU No. 2019-07, *Codification Updates to SEC Sections—Amendments to SEC Paragraphs Pursuant to SEC Final Rule Releases No. 33-10532, Disclosure Update and Simplification, and Nos. 33-10231 and 33-10442, Investment Company Reporting Modernization, and Miscellaneous Updates*, to align the FASB's Accounting Standards Codification with requirements of certain already effective SEC final rules, which included requiring interim presentation of changes in stockholders' equity and eliminating certain other disclosures. The amendments in ASU No. 2019-07 were effective for us immediately in the third quarter 2019. We previously adopted the related SEC final rules in our 2018 Annual Report and Form 10-Q for the three months ended March 31, 2019. The adoption of the amendments in these updates did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, and disclosures.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-13, *Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments*, to require the use of an expected credit loss model that requires consideration of a broader range of information to estimate credit losses over the lifetime of the asset, replacing the current incurred loss methodology of recognizing credit losses that delays recognition until it is probable a loss has been incurred. An entity with trade receivables will be required to use historical loss information, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable forecasts to determine expected lifetime credit losses. Pooling of assets with similar risk characteristics is also required. Also, in

April 2019, the FASB issued ASU No. 2019-04, *Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, and Topic 825, Financial Instruments*, to clarify the inclusion of recoveries of trade receivables previously written off when estimating an allowance for credit losses. The amendments in this update are required to be applied using the modified retrospective method with an adjustment to accumulated deficit and are effective for us beginning with fiscal year 2020, including interim periods. We have performed an assessment of the impact of adoption of the amendments in these updates on our consolidated financial position and results of operations. Based on that assessment, the adoption of the amendments in this update will not have a material impact on our accounts receivable, net and accumulated deficit as of January 1, 2020 and is also not expected to have a material impact on our results of operations for the year ending December 31, 2020.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-04, *Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment*, to simplify the subsequent measurement of goodwill by eliminating Step 2 from the goodwill impairment test. An entity no longer will determine goodwill impairment by calculating the implied fair value of goodwill by assigning the fair value of a reporting unit to all of its assets and liabilities as if the reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination. Instead, under the amendments in this update, an entity should perform its annual, or interim, goodwill impairment test by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount. The Board also eliminated the requirements for any reporting unit with a zero or negative carrying amount to perform a qualitative assessment and, if it fails that qualitative test, to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test. The amendments in this update are effective for us beginning with fiscal year 2020, including interim periods, with early adoption permitted for interim or annual goodwill impairment tests performed on testing dates after January 1, 2017. The adoption of the amendments in this update is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In August 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-13, *Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Disclosure Framework—Changes to the Disclosure Requirements for Fair Value Measurement*, to improve the fair value measurement reporting of financial instruments. The amendments in this update require, among other things, added disclosure of the range and weighted average of significant unobservable inputs used to develop Level 3 fair value measurements. The amendments in this update eliminate, among other things, disclosure of the reasons for and amounts of transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 for assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis and an entity's valuation processes for Level 3 fair value measurements. The amendments in this update will be effective for us beginning with fiscal year 2020, with early adoption permitted. Retrospective application is required for all amendments in this update except the added disclosures, which should be applied prospectively. The adoption of the amendments in this update is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In August 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-15, *Intangibles- Goodwill and Other—Internal-Use Software (Subtopic 350-40): Customer's Accounting for Implementation Costs Incurred in a Cloud Computing Arrangement That is a Service Contract*, to provide additional guidance on the accounting for costs of implementing cloud computing arrangements that are service contracts. The amendments in this update require the capitalization of implementation costs during the application development stage of such hosting arrangements and amortization of the expense over the term of the arrangement including any option to extend reasonably certain to be exercised or option to terminate reasonably certain not to be exercised. Capitalized implementation costs and amortization thereof are also required to be classified in the same line item in the statements of financial position, operations and cash flows associated with the hosting service fees. The amendments in this update will be effective for us beginning with fiscal year 2020, with early adoption permitted. Entities may select retrospective or prospective application to all implementation costs incurred after the adoption date. The adoption of the amendments in this update is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In December 2019, the FASB issued ASU No. 2019-12 *Income Taxes (Topic 740)—Simplifying the Accounting for Income Taxes*, to remove certain exceptions and improve consistency of application, including, among other things, requiring that an entity reflect the effect of an enacted change in tax laws or rates in the annual effective tax rate computation in the interim period that includes the enactment date. The amendments in this update will be effective for us beginning with fiscal year 2021, with early adoption permitted. Most amendments within the standard are required to be applied on a prospective basis, while certain amendments must be applied on a retrospective or modified retrospective basis. The adoption of the amendments in this update is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

Results of Operations

The following describes the line items set forth in our consolidated statements of operations. A discussion of changes in our results of operations during the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to the year ended December 31, 2017 has been omitted from this Annual Report on Form 10-K, but may be found in “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2018, filed with the SEC on February 5, 2019, which discussion is incorporated herein by reference and which is available free of charge on the SEC’s website at www.sec.gov.

Net Revenue. Net revenue is generated from sales of radio-frequency, analog and mixed-signal integrated circuits for the connected home, wired and wireless infrastructure, and industrial and multi-market applications. A significant portion of our sales are to distributors, which then resell our products.

Cost of Net Revenue. Cost of net revenue includes the cost of finished silicon wafers processed by third-party foundries; costs associated with our outsourced packaging and assembly, test and shipping; costs of personnel, including stock-based compensation, and equipment associated with manufacturing support, logistics and quality assurance; amortization of acquired developed technology intangible assets and inventory step-ups to fair value; amortization of certain production mask costs; cost of production load boards and sockets; and an allocated portion of our occupancy costs.

Research and Development. Research and development expense includes personnel-related expenses, including stock-based compensation, new product engineering mask costs, prototype integrated circuit packaging and test costs, computer-aided design software license costs, intellectual property license costs, reference design development costs, development testing and evaluation costs, depreciation expense and allocated occupancy costs. Research and development activities include the design of new products, refinement of existing products and design of test methodologies to ensure compliance with required specifications. All research and development costs are expensed as incurred.

Selling, General and Administrative. Selling, general and administrative expense includes personnel-related expenses, including stock-based compensation, amortization of certain acquired intangible assets, third-party sales commissions, field application engineering support, travel costs, professional and consulting fees, legal fees, depreciation expense and allocated occupancy costs.

Impairment Losses. Impairment losses consist of charges resulting from the impairment of acquired intangible assets.

Restructuring Charges. Restructuring charges consist of severance, lease and leasehold impairment charges, and other charges related to restructuring plans.

Interest and Other Income (Expense), Net. Interest and other income (expense), net includes interest income, interest expense and other income (expense). Interest income consists of interest earned on our cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash balances. Interest expense consists of interest accrued on debt. Other income (expense) generally consists of income (expense) generated from non-operating transactions.

Income Tax Provision (Benefit). We make certain estimates and judgments in determining income tax expense for financial statement purposes. These estimates and judgments occur in the calculation of certain tax assets and liabilities, which arise from differences in the timing of recognition of revenue and expenses for tax and financial statement purposes and the realizability of assets in future years.

The following table sets forth our consolidated statement of operations data as a percentage of net revenue for the periods indicated:

| | Years Ended December 31, | |
|--|--------------------------|------|
| | 2019 | 2018 |
| Net revenue | 100% | 100% |
| Cost of net revenue | 47 | 46 |
| Gross profit | 53 | 54 |
| Operating expenses: | | |
| Research and development | 31 | 31 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 28 | 26 |
| Impairment losses | — | 1 |
| Restructuring charges | 1 | 1 |
| Total operating expenses | 60 | 59 |
| Loss from operations | (7) | (5) |
| Total interest and other income (expense), net | (3) | (4) |
| Loss before income taxes | (10) | (9) |
| Income tax benefit | (4) | (2) |
| Net loss | (6)% | (7)% |

Net Revenue

| | Year Ended December 31, | | % Change |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------|----------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 |
| | (dollars in thousands) | | |
| Connected home | \$ 152,674 | \$ 207,336 | (26)% |
| % of net revenue | 48% | 54% | |
| Infrastructure | 85,369 | 82,388 | 4 % |
| % of net revenue | 27% | 21% | |
| Industrial and multi-market | 79,137 | 95,273 | (17)% |
| % of net revenue | 25% | 25% | |
| Total net revenue | \$ 317,180 | \$ 384,997 | (18)% |

Net revenue decreased \$67.8 million to \$317.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2019, as compared to \$385.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2018. The decrease in connected home net revenue of \$54.7 million was primarily driven by a slowdown in the cable market, which impacted both cable and related MoCA product shipments, owing to reduced operator spending, the market transition from DOCSIS 3.0 to DOCSIS 3.1 and related customer inventory reductions, and, to a lesser extent, reductions in satellite, tuner, and other connectivity product shipments. The increase in infrastructure revenues of \$3.0 million was primarily driven by increased high performance analog and high-speed interconnect shipments in this category. The decrease in industrial and multi-market revenue of \$16.1 million was related to decreased shipments of high performance analog products in this category.

We currently expect that revenue will fluctuate in the future, from period-to-period, based on evolving customer demand for existing products, the pace of adoption of newer products, and macroeconomic conditions.

Cost of Net Revenue and Gross Profit

| | Year Ended December 31, | | % Change |
|---------------------|-------------------------|------------|----------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 |
| | (dollars in thousands) | | |
| Cost of net revenue | \$ 149,495 | \$ 176,223 | (15)% |
| % of net revenue | 47% | 46% | |
| Gross profit | 167,685 | 208,774 | (20)% |
| % of net revenue | 53% | 54% | |

Cost of net revenue decreased \$26.7 million to \$149.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2019, as compared to \$176.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2018. The decrease was primarily driven by lower sales. The decrease in gross profit percentage for the year ended December 31, 2019, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2018, was due to lower revenue and product mix.

We currently expect that gross profit percentage will fluctuate in the future, from period-to-period, based on changes in product mix, average selling prices, and average manufacturing costs.

Research and Development

| | Year Ended December 31, | | % Change |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------|----------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 |
| | (dollars in thousands) | | |
| Research and development | \$ 98,344 | \$ 120,046 | (18)% |
| % of net revenue | 31% | 31% | |

Research and development expense decreased \$21.7 million to \$98.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2019 from \$120.0 million in the year ended December 31, 2018. The decrease was primarily due to decreases in payroll-related expenses of \$11.8 million due to lower headcount, prototype expenses of \$3.9 million due to timing of projects, depreciation expense of \$2.6 million as a result of certain machinery and equipment reaching the end of their useful lives, occupancy expenses of \$2.1 million from terminated leases, outside services of \$0.6 million, and travel-related expenses of \$0.4 million.

We expect our research and development expenses to increase in the future as we continue to focus on expanding our product portfolio and enhancing existing products.

Selling, General and Administrative

| | Year Ended December 31, | | % Change |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|----------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 |
| | (dollars in thousands) | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | \$ 88,762 | \$ 101,789 | (13)% |
| % of net revenue | 28% | 26% | |

Selling, general and administrative expense decreased \$13.0 million to \$88.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2019, as compared to \$101.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2018. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in intangible asset amortization of \$8.9 million as certain assets reached the end of their useful lives, as well as decreases in payroll-related expense of \$1.7 million due to lower headcount, professional fees of \$1.3 million, outside services of \$0.5 million, and travel-related expenses of \$0.3 million.

We expect selling, general and administrative expenses to remain relatively flat in the near-term; however, our expenses may increase in the future as we expand our sales and marketing organization to enable market expansion.

Impairment Losses

| | Year Ended December 31, | | % Change |
|-------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 |
| | (dollars in thousands) | | |
| Impairment losses | \$ — | \$ 2,198 | (100)% |
| % of net revenue | —% | 1% | |

Impairment losses decreased \$2.2 million to \$0 for the year ended December 31, 2019, compared to \$2.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2018. Impairment losses in 2018 related to acquired developed technology of Exar.

Restructuring charges

| | Year Ended December 31, | | % Change |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 |
| | (dollars in thousands) | | |
| Restructuring charges | \$ 2,636 | \$ 3,838 | (31)% |
| % of net revenue | 1% | 1% | |

Restructuring charges decreased \$1.2 million to \$2.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2019, compared to \$3.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2018.

Restructuring charges in 2019 primarily consisted of lease restructuring charges of \$1.3 million related to exiting certain redundant facilities and severance-related charges of \$1.2 million in connection with employee separation expenses.

Restructuring charges in 2018 primarily consisted of severance-related charges of \$2.1 million in connection with employee separation expenses and lease restructuring charges of \$1.6 million related to exiting certain redundant facilities.

Interest and Other Income (Expense)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | % Change |
|--|-------------------------|-------------|----------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 |
| | (dollars in thousands) | | |
| Interest and other income (expense), net | \$ (10,427) | \$ (13,755) | (24)% |
| % of net revenue | (3)% | (4)% | |

Interest and other income (expense), net changed by \$3.3 million from a net expense of \$13.8 million in the year ended December 31, 2018 to a net expense of \$10.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2019. The change in interest and other income (expense), net was primarily due to a decrease in interest expense pertaining to a lower average balance of debt outstanding under our term loan facility during the year.

Income Tax Provision (Benefit)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | % Change |
|--------------------|-------------------------|------------|----------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 |
| | (dollars in thousands) | | |
| Income tax benefit | \$ (12,586) | \$ (6,653) | 89% |
| % of pre-tax loss | 39% | 20% | |

The income tax benefit for the year ended December 31, 2019 was \$12.6 million or approximately 39% of pre-tax loss compared to an income tax benefit of \$6.7 million or approximately 20% of pre-tax loss for the year ended December 31, 2018.

The income tax benefit for the year ended December 31, 2019 primarily related to the mix of pre-tax income among jurisdictions, discrete tax benefits related to stock-based compensation, and release of certain reserves for uncertain tax positions under ASC 740-10.

The income tax benefit for the year ended December 31, 2018 primarily related to a partial release of our valuation allowance and the mix of pre-tax income among jurisdictions, excess tax benefits related to stock-based compensation, and release of uncertain tax positions under ASC 740-10.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of December 31, 2019, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$92.7 million, restricted cash of \$0.4 million, and net accounts receivable of \$50.4 million. Additionally, as of December 31, 2019, our working capital was \$115.2 million.

Our primary uses of cash are to fund operating expenses, purchases of inventory, property and equipment, intangible assets, and from time to time, the acquisition of businesses. We also use cash to pay down outstanding debt. Our cash and cash equivalents are impacted by the timing of when we pay expenses as reflected in the change in our outstanding accounts payable and accrued expenses. Cash used to fund operating expenses in our consolidated statements of cash flows excludes the impact of non-cash items such as stock-based compensation, amortization and depreciation of acquired intangible assets, leased right-of-use assets and property and equipment, and impairment of intangible assets and long-lived assets. Cash used to fund acquisitions of businesses and other capital purchases is included in investing activities in our consolidated statements of cash flows. Cash used to pay down outstanding debt is included in financing activities in our consolidated statements of cash flows.

Our primary sources of cash are cash receipts on accounts receivable from our shipment of products to distributors and direct customers. Aside from the amounts billed to our customers, net cash collections of accounts receivable are impacted by the efficiency of our cash collections process, which can vary from period to period depending on the payment cycles of our major distributor customers, and relative linearity of shipments period-to-period. Our credit agreement, under which we entered into a term loan to partially fund our acquisition of Exar, permits us to request incremental loans in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed the sum of \$160.0 million (subject to adjustments for any voluntary prepayments), plus an unlimited amount that is subject to pro forma compliance with certain secured leverage ratio and total leverage ratio tests. We have not requested any incremental loans to date.

Following is a summary of our working capital, cash and cash equivalents, and restricted cash for the periods indicated:

| | December 31, | |
|--|-----------------------|-------------|
| | 2019 | 2018 |
| | (in thousands) | |
| Working capital | \$ 115,208 | \$ 110,044 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 92,708 | \$ 73,142 |
| Short-term restricted cash | 349 | 645 |
| Long-term restricted cash | 60 | 404 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and investments | \$ 93,117 | \$ 74,191 |

Following is a summary of our cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities, investing activities and financing activities for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018. A discussion of cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2017 has been omitted from this Annual Report on Form 10-K, but may be found in “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” under the heading “Liquidity and Capital Resources” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2018, filed with the SEC on February 5, 2019, which discussion is incorporated herein by reference and which is available free of charge on the SEC’s website at www.sec.gov.

| | Years Ended December 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2019 | 2018 |
| | (in thousands) | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 78,348 | \$ 102,689 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (6,973) | (7,825) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (53,383) | (93,784) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash | 934 | (1,301) |
| Increase (decrease) in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash | \$ 18,926 | \$ (221) |

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$78.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2019. Net cash provided by operating activities consisted of positive cash flow from operations including \$101.1 million in non-cash expenses and \$16.9 million in changes in operating assets and liabilities, partially offset by net loss of \$19.9 million and deferred income taxes and excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation of \$19.8 million. Non-cash items included in net loss for the year ended December 31, 2019 primarily included depreciation and amortization of property, equipment, intangible assets and leased right-of-use assets of \$66.4 million and stock-based compensation of \$32.1 million. During the year ended December 31, 2019, we also exited certain leased facilities, which resulted in impairment of leased right-of-use assets of \$9.2 million and leasehold improvements of \$1.4 million, which was partially offset by a gain on extinguishment of related lease liabilities of \$10.4 million, all of which are non-cash items that did not affect cash flows.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$102.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2018. Net cash provided by operating activities consisted of positive cash flow from operations including \$100.3 million in non-cash operating expenses and \$28.6 million in changes in operating assets and liabilities, partially offset by net loss of \$26.2 million. Non-cash items included in net loss for the year ended December 31, 2018 primarily included depreciation and amortization of property, equipment and intangible assets of \$79.0 million, stock-based compensation of \$31.7 million, and impairment of intangible assets of \$2.2 million, partially offset by deferred income taxes of \$12.1 million and excess tax benefits on stock-based awards of \$2.0 million.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$7.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2019. Net cash used in investing activities primarily consisted of \$6.9 million in purchases of property and equipment.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$7.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2018. Net cash used in investing activities consisted entirely of \$7.8 million in purchases of property and equipment.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities was \$53.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2019. Net cash used in financing activities consisted primarily of cash outflows from aggregate prepayments of principal of \$50.0 million and \$12.0 million in minimum tax withholding paid on behalf of employees for restricted stock units, partially offset by cash inflows of \$8.6 million in net proceeds from issuance of common stock upon exercise of stock options.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$93.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2018. Net cash used in financing activities primarily consisted of cash outflows from \$93.0 million in aggregate prepayments of principal on outstanding debt and \$7.6 million in minimum tax withholding paid on behalf of employees for restricted stock units, partially offset by cash inflows of \$6.8 million in net proceeds from issuance of common stock upon exercise of stock options.

We believe that our \$92.7 million of cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2019 will be sufficient to fund our projected operating requirements for at least the next twelve months. We have repaid \$213.0 million of debt to date. The credit agreement permits the Company to request incremental loans in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed the sum of \$160.0 million (subject to adjustments for any voluntary prepayments), plus an unlimited amount that is subject to pro forma compliance with certain secured leverage ratio and total leverage ratio tests. Incremental loans are subject to certain additional conditions, including obtaining additional commitments from the lenders then party to the credit agreement or new lenders. The term loan facility has a seven-year term and bears interest at either an Adjusted LIBOR or an Adjusted Base Rate, at our option, plus a fixed applicable margin.

Our cash and cash equivalents in recent years have been favorably affected by our implementation of an equity-based bonus program for our employees, including executives. In connection with that bonus program, in February 2019, we issued 0.3 million freely-tradable shares of our common stock in settlement of bonus awards for the 2018 performance period. We expect to implement a similar equity-based plan for fiscal 2019, but our compensation committee retains discretion to effect payment in cash, stock, or a combination of cash and stock.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, we may need to raise additional capital or incur additional indebtedness to fund strategic initiatives or operating activities, particularly if we continue to pursue acquisitions. Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including our rate of revenue growth, the expansion of our engineering, sales and marketing activities, the timing and extent of our expansion into new territories, the timing of introductions of new products and enhancements to existing products, the continuing market acceptance of our products and potential material investments in, or acquisitions of, complementary businesses, services or technologies. Additional funds may not be available on terms favorable to us or at all. If we are unable to raise additional funds when needed, we may not be able to sustain our operations or execute our strategic plans.

Warranties and Indemnifications

In connection with the sale of products in the ordinary course of business, we often make representations affirming, among other things, that our products do not infringe on the intellectual property rights of others, and agree to indemnify customers against third-party claims for such infringement. Further, our certificate of incorporation and bylaws require us to indemnify our officers and directors against any action that may arise out of their services in that capacity, and we have also entered into indemnification agreements with respect to all of our directors and certain controlling persons.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As part of our ongoing business, we do not participate in transactions that generate relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, or SPEs, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. As of December 31, 2019, we were not involved in any unconsolidated SPE transactions.

Contractual Obligations

As of December 31, 2019, future minimum payments under long-term debt, non-cancelable operating leases, inventory purchase obligations and other obligations were as follows:

| | Payments due | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | Total | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 3-5 years |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Long-term debt obligations | \$ 212,000 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 212,000 |
| Operating lease obligations | 15,244 | 5,406 | 8,808 | 1,030 |
| Inventory purchase obligations | 15,093 | 15,093 | — | — |
| Other obligations | 7,500 | 5,735 | 1,318 | 447 |
| Total | <u>\$ 249,837</u> | <u>\$ 26,234</u> | <u>\$ 10,126</u> | <u>\$ 213,477</u> |

Other obligations consist of contractual payments due for software licenses.

Our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2019 included \$6.6 million in other long-term liabilities for uncertain tax positions, some of which may result in cash payment. The future payments related to uncertain tax positions recorded as other long-term liabilities have not been presented in the table above due to the uncertainty of the amounts and timing of cash settlement with the taxing authorities.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk represents the risk of loss that may impact our financial position due to adverse changes in financial market prices and rates. Our market risk exposure is primarily a result of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates. We do not hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes.

Foreign Currency Risk

To date, our international customer and vendor agreements have been denominated mostly in United States dollars. Accordingly, we have limited exposure to foreign currency exchange rates and do not enter into foreign currency hedging transactions. The functional currency of certain foreign subsidiaries is the local currency. Accordingly, the effects of exchange rate fluctuations on the net assets of these foreign subsidiaries' operations are accounted for as translation gains or losses in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) within stockholders' equity. A hypothetical change of 100 basis points in such foreign currency exchange rates would result in a change to translation gain/loss in accumulated other comprehensive income of approximately \$0.3 million.

Interest Rate Risk

On May 12, 2017, we entered into a credit agreement with certain lenders and a collateral agent in connection with the acquisition of Exar. The credit agreement provides for an initial secured term B loan facility (the "Initial Term Loan") in an aggregate principal amount of \$425.0 million. As of December 31, 2019, aggregate borrowings under the Initial Term Loan were \$212.0 million. The credit agreement permits the Company to request incremental loans in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed the sum of \$160.0 million (subject to adjustments for any voluntary prepayments), plus an unlimited amount that is subject to pro forma compliance with certain secured leverage ratio and total leverage ratio tests. Incremental loans are subject to certain additional conditions, including obtaining additional commitments from the lenders then party to the credit agreement or new lenders. The term loan facility has a seven-year term and bears interest at either an Adjusted LIBOR or an Adjusted Base Rate, at our option, and, in each case, plus a fixed applicable margin. In November 2017, to hedge a substantial portion of our existing interest rate risk with respect to the term loans, we entered into a fixed-for-floating interest rate swap agreement with an amortizing notional amount to swap some of our variable rate interest payments under our term loans for fixed interest payments bearing an interest rate of 1.74685% through October 2020. Our outstanding debt is still subject to a 2.5% fixed applicable margin during the term of the loan. As a result of entering the swap, the interest rate on a substantial portion of our long-term debt is effectively fixed at approximately 4.25% through October 2020. However, interest rate trends are inherently difficult to predict and interest rates may significantly increase or decrease over a short period of time. Should interest rates trend below that of our fixed swap interest rate, we may pay higher interest expense than market and seek to terminate or modify the terms of the swap prior to its maturity which could result in termination or other fees. We are also still subject to a variable amount of interest on the principal balance in excess of the notional amount of the interest rate swap and could be adversely impacted by rising interest rates and/or decreased prepayments of principal in the future. If LIBOR interest rates had increased by 10%, or 1000 basis points, during the periods presented, the rate increase would have resulted in an increase of approximately \$0.02 million to interest expense.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The financial statements and supplementary data required by this item are included in Part IV, Item 15 of this Report.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our periodic reports filed with the SEC is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and no evaluation of controls and procedures can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within a company have been detected. Management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As required by Rule 13a-15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, prior to filing this Form 10-K, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure

controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-K. Based on their evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-K.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

Our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on criteria established in the Internal Control — Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based upon that evaluation, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2019. The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2019 has been audited by Grant Thornton LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, and Grant Thornton LLP has issued a report on our internal control over financial reporting, which is included herein.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, to determine whether any change in our internal control over financial reporting occurred during the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2019 that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by paragraph (d) of Rule 13a-15 or Rule 15d-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Stockholders
MaxLinear, Inc.

Opinion on internal control over financial reporting

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of MaxLinear, Inc. (a Delaware corporation) and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2019, based on criteria established in the 2013 *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”). In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2019, based on criteria established in the 2013 *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by COSO.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (“PCAOB”), the consolidated financial statements of the Company as of and for the year ended December 31, 2019, and our report dated February 5, 2020 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

Basis for opinion

The Company’s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management’s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting (“Management’s Report”). Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Definition and limitations of internal control over financial reporting

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ Grant Thornton LLP

Newport Beach, California
February 5, 2020

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by Item 10 with respect to our directors and executive officers will be either (i) included in an amendment to this Annual Report on Form 10-K or (ii) incorporated by reference to our Definitive Proxy Statement to be filed in connection with our 2020 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, or the 2020 Proxy Statement. Such amendment in the 2020 Proxy Statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after December 31, 2019.

Item 405 of Regulation S-K calls for disclosure of any known late filing or failure by an insider to file a report required by Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act. This information will be contained under the caption “Related Person Transactions and Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance” in either an amendment to this Annual Report on Form 10-K or the 2020 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Code of Conduct

We have adopted a code of ethics and employee conduct that applies to our board of directors and all of our employees, including our chief executive officer and principal financial officer.

Our code of conduct is available at our website by visiting www.maxlinear.com and clicking through “Investors,” “Corporate Governance,” “Governance,” “Governance Documents,” and “Code of Conduct.” When required by the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, or the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, we will disclose any future amendment to, or waiver of, any provision of the code of conduct for our chief executive officer and principal financial officer or any member or members of our board of directors on our website within four business days following the date of such amendment or waiver.

The information required by Item 10 with respect to our audit committee is incorporated by reference from the information set forth under the caption “Corporate Governance and Board of Directors — Board Committees” in either an amendment to this Annual Report on Form 10-K or the 2020 Proxy Statement.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by Item 11 is incorporated by reference from the information set forth under the captions “Compensation of Non-Employee Directors” and “Executive Compensation” in either an amendment to this Annual Report on Form 10-K or our 2020 Proxy Statement.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by Item 12 is incorporated by reference from the information set forth under the captions “Executive Compensation — Equity Compensation Plan Information” and “Security Ownership” in either an amendment to this Annual Report on Form 10-K or our 2020 Proxy Statement.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by Item 13 is incorporated by reference from the information set forth under the captions “Corporate Governance and Board of Directors — Director Independence” and “Related Person Transactions and Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance” in either an amendment to this Annual Report on Form 10-K or our 2020 Proxy Statement.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by Item 14 is incorporated by reference from the information set forth under the caption “Proposal Number 3 — Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm” in either an amendment to this Annual Report on Form 10-K or our 2020 Proxy Statement.

PART IV — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

a) Documents filed as part of the report

1. Financial Statements

Our consolidated financial statements are attached hereto and listed on the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

2. Financial Statement Schedules

Schedule II. Valuation and Qualifying Accounts —Years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017

All other schedules are omitted as the required information is inapplicable, or the information is presented in the financial statements or related notes.

SCHEDULE II – VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS (in thousands):

| Classification | Balance at beginning of year | Additions (deductions) charged to expenses | Other Additions | (Deductions) | Balance at end of year |
|---|---|---|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | | | | | |
| 2019 | \$ 46 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (46) | \$ — |
| 2018 | 73 | — | — | (27) | 46 |
| 2017 | 87 | 133 | 27 | (174) | 73 |
| Warranty reserves | | | | | |
| 2019 | \$ 519 | \$ 74 | \$ — | \$ (40) | \$ 553 |
| 2018 | 941 | (414) | — | (8) | 519 |
| 2017 | 860 | 492 | 122 | (533) | 941 |
| Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets | | | | | |
| 2019 | \$ 79,196 | \$ (1,239) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 77,957 |
| 2018 | 84,560 | (5,761) | 397 | — | 79,196 |
| 2017 | 100,284 | (50,881) | 35,157 | — | 84,560 |

3. Exhibits

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Title |
|----------------|--|
| 2.1 | Agreement and Plan of Merger and Reorganization, dated as of February 3, 2015, by and among MaxLinear, Inc., a Delaware corporation, Entropic Communications, Inc., a Delaware corporation, Excalibur Acquisition Corporation, a Delaware corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of MaxLinear, and Excalibur Subsidiary, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and wholly-owned subsidiary of MaxLinear (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 4, 2015 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| 2.2 | Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of March 28, 2017, by and among MaxLinear, Inc., a Delaware corporation, Exar Corporation, a Delaware corporation, and Eagle Acquisition Corporation, a Delaware corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of MaxLinear (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 29, 2017 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| 3.1 | Registrant's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware on March 29, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 and all amendments thereto (File No. 333-162947)). |
| 3.2 | Registrant's Amended and Restated Bylaws, as amended to date (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 10, 2015 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| 3.3 | Registrant's Amended and Restated Bylaws, as amended to date (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 16, 2017 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| 3.4 | Certificate of Retirement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Amendment No. 1 on Form 8-A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 30, 2017 (Registration No. 001-34666)). |
| 4.1 | Specimen common stock certificate of Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Registrant's Amendment No. 1 on Form 8-A filed on March 30, 2017 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| *4.2 | Description of the registrant's securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. |
| +10.1 | Form of Director and Executive Officer Indemnification Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 and all amendments thereto (File No. 333-162947)). |
| +10.2 | Form of Director and Controlling Person Indemnification Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 and all amendments thereto (File No. 333-162947)). |
| +10.3 | 2004 Stock Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 6, 2013 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| +10.4 | Form of Stock Option Agreement under the 2004 Stock Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 and all amendments thereto (File No. 333-162947)). |
| +10.5 | Amendment No. 1 to the form of Stock Option Agreement under the 2004 Stock Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 and all amendments thereto (File No. 333-162947)). |
| +10.6 | 2010 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended on December 13, 2018 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 19, 2018 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| +10.7 | Form of Agreement under the 2010 Equity Incentive (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on July 28, 2011 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| +10.8 | 2010 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 15, 2016 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| +10.12 | Form of Change in Control Agreement for Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 17, 2016). |
| +10.13 | Form of Change in Control Agreement for Executive Officers (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.13 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 17, 2016). |
| 10.14 | Lease Agreement, dated May 18, 2009, between the Registrant and JCCE - Palomar, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 and all amendments thereto (File No. 333-162947)). |
| †10.15 | Sublease Agreement, dated May 9, 2009, between the Registrant and CVI Laser, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 and all amendments thereto (File No. 333-162947)). |

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Title |
|----------------|---|
| †10.16 | Intellectual Property License Agreement, dated June 18, 2009, between the Registrant and Intel Corporation, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 and all amendments thereto (File No. 333-162947)). |
| +†10.17 | Employment Offer Letter, dated November 9, 2012, between the Registrant and Will Torgerson (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 6, 2013 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| †10.18 | Distributor Agreement, dated June 5, 2009, between the Registrant and Moly Tech Limited (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 and all amendments thereto (File No. 333-162947)). |
| †10.19 | Distributor Agreement, dated October 3, 2005, between the Registrant and Tomen Electronics Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 and all amendments thereto (File No. 333-162947)). |
| †10.20 | Distributor Agreement, dated August 19, 2009, between the Registrant and Lestina International Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 and all amendments thereto (File No. 333-162947)). |
| +10.21 | MaxLinear, Inc. Executive Bonus Plan, as amended (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.21 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 17, 2016). |
| +10.22 | Employment Offer Letter, dated April 22, 2011, between the Registrant and Michael LaChance (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 14, 2012 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| 10.23 | Stock Repurchase Agreement, dated August 21, 2012, by and among the Registrant, Mission Ventures III, L.P., Mission Ventures Affiliates III, L.P., and U.S. Venture Partners VIII, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 22, 2012 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| 10.24 | Stock Repurchase Agreement, dated October 31, 2012, by and among the Registrant, U.S. Venture Partners VIII, L.P., USVP VIII Affiliates Fund, L.P., USVP Entrepreneur Partners VIII-A, L.P. and USVP Entrepreneur Partners VIII-B, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 31, 2012 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| 10.26 | Lease Agreement, dated December 17, 2013, between Registrant and The Campus Carlsbad, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 7, 2014 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| 10.28 | Form of MaxLinear Voting Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 4, 2015 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| 10.29 | Form of Entropic Voting Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 4, 2015 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| 10.30 | First Amendment to Lease, dated May 6, 2015, between Registrant, on the one hand, and Brookwood CB I, LLC and Brookwood CB II, LLC, as tenants in common and successors-in-interest to The Campus Carlsbad, LLC, on the other hand (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 10, 2015 (File No. 333-34666)). |
| +10.31 | Entropic Communications, Inc. 2007 Equity Incentive Plan and Form of Option Agreement, Form of Option Grant Notice thereunder and Notice of Exercise (incorporated herein by reference to Entropic Communications, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 3, 2008 (File No. 001-33844)). |
| +10.32 | Entropic Communications, Inc. 2007 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan and Form of Option Agreement, Forms of Grant Notice, and Notice of Exercise thereunder (incorporated herein by reference to Entropic Communications, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on July 27, 2007 (No. 333-144899)). |
| 10.33 | Lease Agreement, dated November 11, 2015, between Registrant and The Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.33 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 17, 2016). |
| 10.34 | Asset Purchase Agreement, by and between the Company and Microsemi, dated as of April 28, 2016 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 28, 2016). |
| 10.35 | Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of May 9, 2016, by and between the Registrant and Broadcom (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 9, 2016). |

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Title |
|----------------|--|
| +10.36 | Employment Offer Letter, dated December 21, 2015, between the Registrant and Dana McCarty (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 9, 2016). |
| +10.37 | Employment Promotion Letter, dated February 11, 2016, between the Registrant and Connie Kwong (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 9, 2016). |
| 10.38 | Debt Commitment Letter by and among MaxLinear, Inc., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., dated as of March 28, 2017 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on March 31, 2017 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| 10.39 | Credit Agreement, dated as of May 12, 2017, by and among MaxLinear, Inc., the lenders from time to time party thereto, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent and collateral agent (incorporated by references to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 12, 2017 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| 10.40 | Security Agreement, dated as of May 12, 2017, by and among MaxLinear, Inc., the subsidiary guarantors from time to time party thereto, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as collateral agent (incorporated by references to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 12, 2017 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| +10.41 | Employment Offer Letter, dated June 7, 2018, between the Registrant and Steven Litchfield (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrants Current Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 7, 2018 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| +10.42 | Employment Offer Letter, dated June 27, 2018, between the Registrant and Michael Bollesen (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrants Current Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 7, 2018 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| +10.43 | Form of Change in Control Agreement for Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as amended (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on December 19, 2018 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| +10.44 | Form of Change in Control Agreement for Executive Officers, as amended (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on December 19, 2018 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| +10.45 | Executive Incentive Bonus Plan, as amended on December 13, 2018 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 19, 2018 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| +10.46 | Clawback Policy, as adopted on December 13, 2018 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 19, 2018 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| +†10.47 | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement for Performance-Based Awards under the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on July 25, 2019 (File No. 001-34666)). |
| *11.1 | Statement re computation of income (loss) per share (included in Part IV, Item 15 of this Form 10-K). |
| *21.1 | Subsidiaries of the Registrant. |
| *23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. |
| *24.1 | Power of Attorney (included on the signature page of this Form 10-K). |
| *31.1 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| *31.2 | Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| #*32.1 | Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document - the instance document does not appear in the interactive data file because its XBRL tags are embedded within the inline XBRL document. |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |

* Filed herewith.

In accordance with Item 601(b)(32)(ii) of Regulation S-K and SEC Release No. 33-8238 and 34-47986, Final Rule: Management's Reports on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and Certification of Disclosure in Exchange Act Periodic Reports, the certifications furnished pursuant to this item will not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78r), or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference.

+ Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan.

† Confidential treatment has been requested and received for certain portions of these exhibits.

(b) Exhibits

The exhibits filed as part of this report are listed in Item 15(a)(3) of this Form 10-K.

(c) Schedules

The financial statement schedule required by Regulation S-X and Item 8 of this form is listed in Item 15(a)(2) of this Form 10-K.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MAXLINEAR, INC.

(Registrant)

By: /s/ KISHORE SEENDRIPU, Ph.D.

Kishore Seendripu, Ph.D.

President and Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: February 5, 2020

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Kishore Seendripu, Ph.D. and Steven Litchfield, and each of them, his true and lawful attorneys-in-fact and agents, with full power of substitution and resubstitution, to sign any and all amendments (including post-effective amendments) to this Annual Report on Form 10-K and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto each of said attorneys-in-fact and agents, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in connection therewith, as fully to all intents and purposes as he or she might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that each of said attorneys-in-facts and agents, or his substitute or substitutes, or any of them, shall do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

| <u>Signature</u> | <u>Title</u> | <u>Date</u> |
|---|--|------------------|
| <u>/s/ KISHORE SEENDRIPU, Ph.D.</u> Kishore Seendripu, Ph.D. | President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) | February 5, 2020 |
| <u>/s/ STEVEN G. LITCHFIELD</u> Steven G. Litchfield | Chief Financial Officer and Chief Corporate Strategy Officer (Principal Financial Officer) | February 5, 2020 |
| <u>/s/ CONNIE KWONG</u> Connie Kwong | Corporate Controller (Principal Accounting Officer) | February 5, 2020 |
| <u>/s/ THOMAS E. PARDUN</u> Thomas E. Pardun | Lead Director | February 5, 2020 |
| <u>/s/ DANIEL A. ARTUSI</u> Daniel A. Artusi | Director | February 5, 2020 |
| <u>/s/ CAROLYN D. BEAVER</u> Carolyn D. Beaver | Director | February 5, 2020 |
| <u>/s/ ALBERT J. MOYER</u> Albert J. Moyer | Director | February 5, 2020 |
| <u>/s/ DONALD E. SCHROCK</u> Donald E. Schrock | Director | February 5, 2020 |
| <u>/s/ THEODORE TEWKSBURY, Ph.D.</u> Theodore Tewksbury, Ph.D. | Director | February 5, 2020 |

MaxLinear, Inc.

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

| | |
|---|----|
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 69 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets | 71 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations | 72 |
| Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) | 73 |
| Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity | 74 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | 75 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 76 |

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Stockholders
MaxLinear, Inc.

Opinion on the financial statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of MaxLinear, Inc. (a Delaware corporation) and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2019 and 2018, the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), stockholders’ equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2019, and the related notes and financial statement schedule included under Item 15(a) (collectively referred to as the “financial statements”). In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2019 and 2018, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2019, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (“PCAOB”), the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2019, based on criteria established in the 2013 *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”) and our report dated February 5, 2020 expressed an unqualified opinion.

Change in accounting principle

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, the Company has changed its method of accounting for revenue from contracts with customers in 2018 due to the adoption of the new revenue standard using the modified retrospective method with an adjustment to accumulated deficit as of January 1, 2018 for the cumulative effect of adoption. Periods prior to 2018 have not been adjusted to reflect the change in accounting principle.

Basis for opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Critical audit matters

The critical audit matters communicated below are matters arising from the current period audit of the financial statements that were communicated or required to be communicated to the audit committee and that: (1) relate to accounts or disclosures that are material to the financial statements and (2) involved our especially challenging, subjective, or complex judgments. The communication of critical audit matters does not alter in any way our opinion on the financial statements, taken as a whole, and we are not, by communicating the critical audit matters below, providing separate opinions on the critical audit matters or on the accounts or disclosures to which they relate.

Inventory valuation

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, the Company assesses the recoverability of its inventory based on judgments and assumptions about future demand and market conditions. Future demand is determined based on historical sales and expected future sales. The Company reduces its inventory to its lower of cost or net realizable value on a part-by-part basis to account for its obsolescence or lack of marketability. Reductions are calculated as the difference between the cost of inventory and its net realizable value based upon the assumptions about future demand, market conditions, and costs.

We identified inventory valuation as a critical audit matter. The principal consideration for our determination that inventory valuation is a critical audit matter is that management’s estimates of future demand and market conditions are subject to a high

level of estimation uncertainty. Therefore, subjective and complex auditor judgment is necessary to evaluate the reasonableness of management's judgments and assumptions since historical results may not be indicative of the future due to uncertainties arising from technological advances, complexities in developing new products, industry consolidation and economic factors.

Addressing the matter involved performing procedures and evaluating audit evidence in connection with forming our overall opinion on the consolidated financial statements. These procedures included, among others:

- Evaluating management's assumptions with regard to future demand by considering whether:
 - Current and past results indicated management's ability to reliably forecast future demand. We compared prior year forecasts of future demand to actual results in the current year;
 - Changes contemplated in forecasted future demand compared with the current year actual results are reasonable; and
 - The information was consistent with evidence obtained in other areas of the audit;
- Evaluating the propriety of significant adjustments to the inventory valuation calculations, if any, by making inquiries of management and reviewing judgments, assumptions and documentation supporting such adjustments;
- Testing the completeness, accuracy, and relevance of underlying data used in the estimate of net realizable value of its inventories; and
- Testing the design and operating effectiveness of internal controls over the inventory valuation adjustments, including management's review of the demand forecast.

Realizability of deferred tax assets

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, management records valuation allowances to reduce deferred tax assets when a judgment is made that is considered more likely than not that a tax benefit will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences will become deductible. The Company assesses the need for a valuation allowance by evaluating both positive and negative evidence that may exist.

We identified the realizability of deferred tax assets as a critical audit matter. The principal consideration for our determination that the realizability of deferred tax assets is a critical audit matter is that the forecast of future taxable income is an accounting estimate subject to a high level of estimation. There is inherent uncertainty and subjectivity related to management's judgments and assumptions regarding the Company's international tax structure and transfer pricing agreements, determination of the taxable income by jurisdiction, and the impacts of the Tax Act on future taxable income, which are complex in nature and require significant auditor judgment.

Addressing the matter involved performing procedures and evaluating audit evidence in connection with forming our overall opinion on the consolidated financial statements. With the assistance of engagement team members possessing specialized skill in income tax matters, our audit procedures related to the realizability of deferred tax assets included the following, among others:

- Reviewing management's application of the rules under the Tax Act with a focus on Global Intangible Low-Taxed Income and the ordering rules and its expected impact on estimated future taxable income;
- Comparing the scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities to the underlying financial and tax accounting records;
- Comparing the forecast of future taxable income to the following:
 - Prior year actual results by jurisdiction to evaluate the reasonableness of significant changes contemplated for the following year;
 - Forecasts of future information used in other areas, such as inventory valuation and impairment assessment of intangible assets, to evaluate completeness and consistency;
- Reviewing the Company's transfer pricing assumptions, including royalty rates and cost plus markups, regarding the arms-length nature of transactions carried out by the Company and its non-US subsidiaries; and
- Testing the design and operating effectiveness of management's internal controls over the completeness and accuracy of the forecast of future taxable income and the proper application of relevant tax law to support the realizability of deferred tax assets.

/s/ Grant Thornton LLP

We have served as the Company's auditor since 2016.

Newport Beach, California
February 5, 2020

MAXLINEAR, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except par value amounts)

| | December 31, 2019 | December 31, 2018 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 92,708 | \$ 73,142 |
| Short-term restricted cash | 349 | 645 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 50,411 | 59,491 |
| Inventory | 31,510 | 41,738 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 6,792 | 10,357 |
| Total current assets | 181,770 | 185,373 |
| Long-term restricted cash | 60 | 404 |
| Property and equipment, net | 16,613 | 18,404 |
| Leased right-of-use assets | 10,978 | — |
| Intangible assets, net | 187,971 | 244,900 |
| Goodwill | 238,330 | 238,330 |
| Deferred tax assets | 67,284 | 51,518 |
| Other long-term assets | 2,785 | 4,664 |
| Total assets | <u>\$ 705,791</u> | <u>\$ 743,593</u> |
| Liabilities and stockholders' equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 13,442 | \$ 15,588 |
| Accrued price protection liability | 12,557 | 16,454 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 31,171 | 28,282 |
| Accrued compensation | 9,392 | 15,005 |
| Total current liabilities | 66,562 | 75,329 |
| Long-term lease liabilities | 9,335 | 4,097 |
| Long-term debt | 206,909 | 255,757 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 8,065 | 8,474 |
| Total liabilities | 290,871 | 343,657 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.0001 par value; 25,000 shares authorized, no shares issued or outstanding | — | — |
| Common stock, \$0.0001 par value; 550,000 shares authorized, 71,931 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2019 and 69,551 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2018 | 7 | 7 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 529,596 | 493,287 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | (887) | 272 |
| Accumulated deficit | (113,796) | (93,630) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 414,920 | 399,936 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | <u>\$ 705,791</u> | <u>\$ 743,593</u> |

See accompanying notes.

MAXLINEAR, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(in thousands, except per share data)

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 |
| Net revenue | \$ 317,180 | \$ 384,997 | \$ 420,318 |
| Cost of net revenue | 149,495 | 176,223 | 212,355 |
| Gross profit | 167,685 | 208,774 | 207,963 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Research and development | 98,344 | 120,046 | 112,279 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 88,762 | 101,789 | 105,831 |
| Impairment losses | — | 2,198 | 2,000 |
| Restructuring charges | 2,636 | 3,838 | 9,524 |
| Total operating expenses | 189,742 | 227,871 | 229,634 |
| Loss from operations | (22,057) | (19,097) | (21,671) |
| Interest income | 775 | 78 | 274 |
| Interest expense | (11,133) | (14,255) | (10,378) |
| Other income (expense), net | (69) | 422 | (2,223) |
| Total interest and other income (expense), net | (10,427) | (13,755) | (12,327) |
| Loss before income taxes | (32,484) | (32,852) | (33,998) |
| Income tax benefit | (12,586) | (6,653) | (24,811) |
| Net loss | \$ (19,898) | \$ (26,199) | \$ (9,187) |
| Net loss per share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.28) | \$ (0.38) | \$ (0.14) |
| Diluted | \$ (0.28) | \$ (0.38) | \$ (0.14) |
| Shares used to compute net loss per share: | | | |
| Basic | 71,005 | 68,490 | 66,252 |
| Diluted | 71,005 | 68,490 | 66,252 |

See accompanying notes.

MAXLINEAR, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
(in thousands)

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 |
| Net loss | \$ (19,898) | \$ (26,199) | \$ (9,187) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | | | |
| Unrealized loss on investments, net of tax of \$0 in 2019, 2018, and 2017 | — | — | (55) |
| Less: Reclassification adjustments of unrealized loss, net of tax of \$0 in 2019, 2018, and 2017 | — | — | 55 |
| Unrealized gain on investments, net of tax | — | — | — |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax expense of \$136 in 2019, benefit of \$200 in 2018, and benefit of \$202 in 2017 | 160 | (1,572) | 2,122 |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on interest rate swap, net of tax benefit of \$341 in 2019, expense of \$187 in 2018, and expense of \$257 in 2017 | (1,319) | 702 | 477 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | (1,159) | (870) | 2,599 |
| Total comprehensive loss | \$ (21,057) | \$ (27,069) | \$ (6,588) |

See accompanying notes.

MAXLINEAR, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
(in thousands, except share amounts)

| | Common Stock | | Class A Common Stock | | Class B Common Stock | | Additional Paid-In Capital | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Accumulated Deficit | Total Stockholders' Equity |
|--|--------------|--------|-------------------------|--------|-------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|--|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2016 | — | \$ — | 58,363 | \$ 6 | 6,668 | \$ 1 | \$ 413,909 | \$ (1,560) | \$ (59,932) | \$ 352,424 |
| Shares repurchased and cancelled | — | — | (13) | — | — | — | (334) | — | — | (334) |
| Conversion of Class B common stock to Class A common stock | — | — | 163 | — | (163) | — | — | — | — | — |
| Conversion of Class A and B common stock to common stock | 65,446 | 7 | (58,876) | (6) | (6,570) | (1) | — | — | — | — |
| Common stock issued pursuant to equity awards, net | 1,738 | — | 363 | — | 65 | — | 398 | — | — | 398 |
| Vested stock-based awards assumed in acquisition | — | — | — | — | — | — | 4,613 | — | — | 4,613 |
| Employee stock purchase plan | 216 | — | — | — | — | — | 4,308 | — | — | 4,308 |
| Stock-based compensation | — | — | — | — | — | — | 32,603 | — | — | 32,603 |
| Other comprehensive income | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 2,599 | — | 2,599 |
| Net loss | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | (9,187) | (9,187) |
| Balance at December 31, 2017 | 67,400 | 7 | — | — | — | — | 455,497 | 1,039 | (69,119) | 387,424 |
| Common stock issued pursuant to equity awards, net | 1,875 | — | — | — | — | — | 1,761 | — | — | 1,761 |
| Employee stock purchase plan | 276 | — | — | — | — | — | 4,452 | — | — | 4,452 |
| Stock-based compensation | — | — | — | — | — | — | 31,734 | — | — | 31,734 |
| Cumulative effect of adoption of new accounting principles | — | — | — | — | — | — | (157) | 103 | 1,688 | 1,634 |
| Other comprehensive loss | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | (870) | — | (870) |
| Net loss | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | (26,199) | (26,199) |
| Balance at December 31, 2018 | 69,551 | 7 | — | — | — | — | 493,287 | 272 | (93,630) | 399,936 |
| Common stock issued pursuant to equity awards, net | 2,132 | — | — | — | — | — | 140 | — | — | 140 |
| Employee stock purchase plan | 248 | — | — | — | — | — | 4,109 | — | — | 4,109 |
| Stock-based compensation | — | — | — | — | — | — | 32,060 | — | — | 32,060 |
| Cumulative effect of adoption of new accounting principles | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | (268) | (268) |
| Other comprehensive loss | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | (1,159) | — | (1,159) |
| Net loss | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | (19,898) | (19,898) |
| Balance at December 31, 2019 | 71,931 | \$ 7 | — | \$ — | — | \$ — | \$ 529,596 | \$ (887) | \$ (113,796) | \$ 414,920 |

See accompanying notes.

MAXLINEAR, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 |
| Operating Activities | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (19,898) | \$ (26,199) | \$ (9,187) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Amortization and depreciation | 66,401 | 79,027 | 66,738 |
| Impairment losses | — | 2,198 | 2,000 |
| Provision for losses on accounts receivable | — | — | 133 |
| Accretion of investment premiums | — | — | (60) |
| Amortization of inventory step-up | — | — | 25,557 |
| Amortization of debt issuance costs and accretion of discount on debt and leases | 1,577 | 1,148 | 763 |
| Stock-based compensation | 32,060 | 31,721 | 32,668 |
| Deferred income taxes | (15,693) | (12,144) | (31,767) |
| Loss on disposal of property and equipment | 46 | 430 | 168 |
| Loss on sale of available-for-sale securities | — | — | 38 |
| Impairment of leasehold improvements | 1,442 | 735 | — |
| Impairment of leased right-of-use assets | 9,240 | — | — |
| Gain on extinguishment of lease liabilities | (10,437) | — | — |
| (Gain) loss on foreign currency | 760 | (809) | 2,153 |
| Excess tax benefits on stock-based awards | (4,064) | (2,028) | (8,559) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | 9,090 | 6,595 | (4,377) |
| Inventory | 10,195 | 11,696 | (1,788) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 3,805 | 1,071 | 1,272 |
| Leased right-of-use assets | 3,044 | — | — |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 1,261 | 5,923 | (1,918) |
| Accrued compensation | 2,021 | 8,961 | 1,567 |
| Deferred revenue and deferred profit | — | (138) | (1,629) |
| Accrued price protection liability | (3,966) | (5,117) | 6,395 |
| Lease liabilities | (8,142) | — | — |
| Other long-term liabilities | (394) | (381) | (5,103) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 78,348 | 102,689 | 75,064 |
| Investing Activities | | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (6,887) | (7,825) | (7,468) |
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment | — | — | 30 |
| Purchases of intangible assets | (86) | — | (5,378) |
| Cash used in acquisitions, net of cash acquired | — | — | (473,304) |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities | — | — | (30,577) |
| Maturities of available-for-sale securities | — | — | 84,546 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (6,973) | (7,825) | (432,151) |
| Financing Activities | | | |
| Net proceeds from the issuance of debt | — | — | 416,846 |
| Repayment of debt | (50,000) | (93,000) | (70,000) |
| Repurchases of common stock | — | — | (334) |
| Net proceeds from issuance of common stock | 8,603 | 6,839 | 12,052 |
| Minimum tax withholding paid on behalf of employees for restricted stock units | (11,986) | (7,623) | (11,543) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (53,383) | (93,784) | 347,021 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | 934 | (1,301) | 1,582 |
| Increase (decrease) in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash | 18,926 | (221) | (8,484) |
| Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at beginning of period | 74,191 | 74,412 | 82,896 |
| Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at end of period | <u>\$ 93,117</u> | <u>\$ 74,191</u> | <u>\$ 74,412</u> |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | | | |
| Cash paid for interest | <u>\$ 11,259</u> | <u>\$ 13,957</u> | <u>\$ 8,843</u> |
| Cash paid for income taxes | <u>\$ 4,417</u> | <u>\$ 5,426</u> | <u>\$ 9,435</u> |
| Supplemental disclosures of non-cash investing and financing activities: | | | |
| Issuance of shares for payment of bonuses | <u>\$ 7,632</u> | <u>\$ 6,997</u> | <u>\$ 3,314</u> |
| Issuance of restricted stock units to Physpeed continuing employees | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ 818</u> |

See accompanying notes.

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

1. Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Description of Business

MaxLinear, Inc. was incorporated in Delaware in September 2003. MaxLinear, Inc., together with its wholly owned subsidiaries, collectively referred to as MaxLinear, or the Company, is a provider of radio-frequency, or RF, high-performance analog, and mixed-signal communications system-on-chip solutions for the connected home, wired and wireless infrastructure, and industrial and multi-market applications. MaxLinear's customers include electronics distributors, module makers, original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, and original design manufacturers, or ODMs, who incorporate the Company's products in a wide range of electronic devices, including cable DOCSIS broadband modems and gateways, wireline connectivity devices for in-home networking applications, RF transceivers and modems for wireless carrier access and backhaul infrastructure, fiber-optic modules for data center, metro, and long-haul transport networks, video set-top boxes and gateways, hybrid analog and digital televisions, direct broadcast satellite outdoor and indoor units, and power management and interface products used in these and a range of other markets. The Company is a fabless integrated circuit design company whose products integrate all or a substantial portion of a broadband communication system.

Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of MaxLinear, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries and have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP. All intercompany transactions and investments have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform with the current period presentation. Such reclassifications include the separate presentation of long-term lease liabilities and movement of certain tax-related receivables to prepaid expenses and other current assets on the consolidated balance sheets.

The functional currency of certain foreign subsidiaries is the local currency. Accordingly, assets and liabilities of these foreign subsidiaries are translated at the current exchange rate at the balance sheet date and historical rates for equity. Revenue and expense components are translated at weighted average exchange rates in effect during the period. Gains and losses resulting from foreign currency translation are included as a component of stockholders' equity. Foreign currency transaction gains and losses are included in the results of operations, and to date, have not been material.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes of the consolidated financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents are recorded at cost, which approximates market value.

Accounts Receivable

The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and assesses each customer's credit worthiness. The Company monitors collections and payments from its customers and maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts based upon its historical experience, its anticipation of uncollectible accounts receivable, and any specific customer collection issues that the Company has identified. Delinquent account balances are written off after management has determined that the likelihood of collection is remote. As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, the Company had an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0 and \$0.05 million, respectively.

Inventory

The Company assesses the recoverability of its inventory based on assumptions about demand and market conditions. Forecasted demand is determined based on historical sales and expected future sales. Inventory is stated at the lower of cost or net realizable value. Cost is computed using standard cost, which approximates actual cost on a first-in, first-out basis and net realizable value is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal and transportation. The Company reduces its inventory to its lower of cost or net realizable value on a part-by-part

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

basis to account for its obsolescence or lack of marketability. Reductions are calculated as the difference between the cost of inventory and its net realizable value based upon assumptions about future demand, market conditions and costs. Once established, these adjustments are considered permanent and are not revised until the related inventory is sold or disposed of.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses and compensation are considered to be representative of their respective fair value because of the short-term nature of these accounts. The interest rate swap is carried at fair value.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is carried at cost and depreciated over the estimated useful lives of the assets, ranging from two to five years, using the straight-line method. Leasehold improvements are stated at cost and amortized over the shorter of the estimated useful lives of the assets or the lease term.

Production Masks

Production masks with alternative future uses or discernible future benefits are capitalized and amortized over their estimated useful life of two to five years. To determine if the production mask has alternative future uses or benefits, the Company evaluates risks associated with developing new technologies and capabilities, and the related risks associated with entering new markets. Production masks that do not meet the criteria for capitalization are expensed as research and development costs.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill is the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of identifiable net assets acquired in business combinations accounted for under the acquisition method. Intangible assets represent purchased intangible assets including developed technology, in-process research and development, or IPR&D, technologies acquired or licensed from other companies, customer relationships, non-compete covenants, backlog, and trademarks and tradenames. Purchased finite-lived intangible assets are capitalized and amortized over their estimated useful lives. Technologies acquired or licensed from other companies, customer relationships, non-compete covenants, backlog, and trademarks and tradenames are capitalized and amortized over the lesser of the terms of the agreement, or estimated useful life. The Company capitalizes IPR&D projects acquired as part of a business combination. On completion of each project, IPR&D assets are reclassified to developed technology and amortized over their estimated useful lives.

Impairment of Goodwill and Long-Lived Assets

Goodwill is not amortized but is tested for impairment using either a qualitative assessment, and/or the two-step method as needed. Step one is the identification of potential impairment. This involves comparing the fair value of each reporting unit, which the Company has determined to be the entity itself, with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value of a reporting unit exceeds the carrying amount, the goodwill of the reporting unit is considered not impaired and the second step of the impairment test is unnecessary. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the second step of the impairment test is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. The Company tests by reporting unit, goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment as of October 31 each year or more frequently if it believes indicators of impairment exist.

During development, IPR&D is not subject to amortization and is tested for impairment annually or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. The Company reviews indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment using a qualitative assessment, followed by a quantitative assessment, as needed, each year as of October 31, the date of its annual goodwill impairment review, or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. Recoverability of indefinite-lived intangible assets is measured by comparing the carrying amount of the asset to its fair value. In certain cases, the Company utilizes the relief-from-royalty method when appropriate, and a fair value will be obtained based on analysis over the costs saved by owning the right instead of leasing it.

Once an IPR&D project is complete, it becomes a finite-lived intangible asset and is evaluated for impairment both immediately prior to its change in classification and thereafter in accordance with the Company's policy for long-lived assets.

The Company regularly reviews the carrying amount of its long-lived assets subject to depreciation and amortization, as well as the useful lives, to determine whether indicators of impairment may exist which warrant adjustments to carrying values

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

or estimated useful lives. An impairment loss would be recognized when the sum of the expected future undiscounted net cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset. Should impairment exist, the impairment loss would be measured based on the excess of the carrying amount of the asset over the asset's fair value.

During the years 2019, 2018, and 2017, the Company identified impairment of intangible assets of \$0, \$2.2 million and \$2.0 million, respectively. Refer to *Goodwill and Intangible Assets*, Note 5 for more information.

Revenue Recognition

On January 1, 2018, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Accounting Standards Codification Topic 606, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*, or ASC 606, using the modified retrospective method and accordingly, modified its policy on revenue recognition as stated below. The primary impact of adopting ASC 606 for the Company was to accelerate the timing of the Company's revenue and related cost recognition on products sold via some of its distributors, which changed from recognition upon the sale to the distributors' end customers, or the sell-through method, to recognition upon the Company's sale to the distributor, or the sell-in method. The Company is also required to estimate the effects of pricing credits to its distributors from contractual price protection and unit rebate provisions, as well as stock rotation rights and record such estimated credits upon the Company's sale to the distributor. As a result of the adoption of ASC 606 as of January 1, 2018 using the modified retrospective method, prior period amounts were not adjusted to reflect the change in revenue recognition for such distributor sales.

Substantially all of the Company's revenue is generated from sales of the Company's integrated circuits to electronics distributors, module makers, OEMs, and ODMs under individual customer purchase orders, some of which have underlying master sales agreements that specify terms governing the product sales. Effective January 1, 2018, the Company adopted ASC 606 and recognizes revenue at the point in time when control of the products is transferred to the customer at the estimated net consideration for which collection is probable, taking into account the customer's rights to price protection, other pricing credits, unit rebates, and rights to return unsold product. Transfer of control occurs either when products are shipped to or received by the distributor or direct customer, based on the terms of the specific agreement with the customer, if the Company has a present right to payment and transfer of legal title and the risks and rewards of ownership to the customer has occurred. For most of the Company's product sales, transfer of control occurs upon shipment to the distributor or direct customer. In assessing whether collection of consideration from a customer is probable, the Company considers the customer's ability and intention to pay that amount of consideration when it is due. Payment of invoices is due as specified in the underlying customer agreement, typically 30 days from the invoice date, which occurs on the date of transfer of control of the products to the customer. Since payment terms are less than a year, the Company has elected the practical expedient and does not assess whether a customer contract has a significant financing component.

A five-step approach is applied in the recognition of revenue under ASC 606: (1) identify the contract with a customer, (2) identify the performance obligations in the contract, (3) determine the transaction price, (4) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract, and (5) recognize revenue when the Company satisfies a performance obligation. The Company applied ASC 606 to its customer contracts that were not completed before the January 1, 2018 adoption date. Customer purchase orders plus the underlying master sales agreements are considered to be contracts with the customer for purposes of applying the five-step approach under ASC 606.

Pricing adjustments and estimates of returns under contractual stock rotation rights are treated as variable consideration for purposes of determining the transaction price, and are estimated at the time control transfers using the expected value method based on the Company's analysis of actual price adjustment claims by distributors and historical product return rates, and then reassessed at the end of each reporting period. The Company also considers whether any variable consideration is constrained, since such amounts for which it is probable that a significant reversal will occur when the contingency is subsequently resolved are required to be excluded from revenues. Price adjustments are finalized at the time the products are sold through to the end customer and the distributor or end customer submits a claim to reduce the sale price to a pre-approved net price. Stock rotation allowances are capped at a fixed percentage of the Company's sales to a distributor for a period of time, up to six months, as specified in the individual distributor contract. If the Company's current estimates of such credits and rights are materially inaccurate, it may result in adjustments that affect future revenues and gross profits. Returns under the Company's general assurance warranty of products for a period of one to three years have not been material and warranty-related services are not considered a separate performance obligation under the customer contracts. Most of the Company's customers resell the Company's product as part of their product and thus are tax-exempt; however, to the extent the Company collects and remits taxes on product sales from customers, it has elected to exclude from the measurement of transaction price such taxes.

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

Each distinct promise to transfer products is considered to be an identified performance obligation for which revenue is recognized upon transfer of control of the products to the customer. Although customers may place orders for products to be delivered on multiple dates that may be in different quarterly reporting periods, all of the orders are scheduled within 1 year from the order date. The Company has opted to not disclose the portion of revenues allocated to partially unsatisfied performance obligations, which represent products to be shipped within 12 months under open customer purchase orders, at the end of the current reporting period as allowed under ASC 606. The Company has also elected to record sales commissions when incurred, pursuant to the practical expedient under ASC 340, as the period over which the sales commission asset that would have been recognized is less than one year.

Customer contract liabilities consist of obligations to deliver rebates to customers in the form of units of products which are included in accrued expenses and other current liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. Other obligations to customers consist of estimates of price protection rights offered to the Company's end customers, which are included in accrued price protection liability in the consolidated balance sheets, as well as price adjustments expected to be claimed by the distributor upon sell-through of the products to their customers, and amounts expected to be returned by distributors under stock rotation rights, which are included in accrued expenses and other current liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. The Company also records a right of return asset, consisting of amounts representing the products the Company expects to receive from customers in returns, which is included in inventory in the consolidated balance sheets, and is typically settled within six months of transfer of control to the customer, or the period over which stock rotation rights are based. Upon lapse of the time period for stock rotations, or the contractual end to price protection and rebate programs, which is approximately one to two years, and when the Company believes unclaimed amounts are no longer subject to payment and will not be paid, any remaining asset or liability is derecognized by an offsetting entry to cost of net revenue and net revenue. For additional disclosures regarding contract liabilities and other obligations to customers, see Note 15.

The Company assesses customer accounts receivable and contract assets for impairment in accordance with ASC 310-10-35.

Warranty

The Company generally provides a warranty on its products for a period of one to three years. The Company makes estimates of product return rates and expected costs to replace the products under warranty at the time revenue is recognized based on historical warranty experience and any known product warranty issues. If actual return rates and/or replacement costs differ significantly from these estimates, adjustments to recognize additional cost of net revenue may be required in future periods. As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, the Company has warranty reserves of \$0.6 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, based on the Company's estimates.

Segment Information

The Company operates in one segment as it has developed, marketed and sold primarily only one class of similar products, radio-frequency, high-performance analog and mixed-signal communications system-on-chip solutions for the connected home, wired and wireless infrastructure markets and industrial and multi-market applications.

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker, or decision making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The Company's chief operating decision maker is its Chief Executive Officer. The Company's Chief Executive Officer reviews financial information presented on a consolidated basis for purposes of allocating resources and evaluating financial performance. The Company has one business activity and there are no segment managers who are held accountable for operations, operating results and plans for products or components below the consolidated unit level. Accordingly, the Company reports as a single operating segment.

Stock-based Compensation

The Company measures the cost of employee services received in exchange for equity incentive awards, including restricted stock units and restricted stock awards, employee stock purchase rights and stock options based on the grant date fair value of the award. The Company calculates the fair value of restricted stock units and performance-based restricted stock units based on the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the grant date. Stock-based compensation expense is then determined based on the number of restricted stock units that are expected to vest; for performance-based restricted stock units, this is the number of units that are expected to vest during the performance period if it is probable that the Company will achieve the performance metrics specified in the underlying award agreement. The Company uses the Black-Scholes valuation model to calculate the fair value of stock options and employee stock purchase rights granted to employees. Stock-based

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

compensation expense is recognized over the period during which the employee is required to provide services in exchange for the award, which is usually the vesting period. The Company recognizes compensation expense over the vesting period using the straight-line method and classifies these amounts in the consolidated statements of operations based on the department to which the related employee reports.

Research and Development

Costs incurred in connection with the development of the Company's technology and future products are charged to research and development expense as incurred.

Leases

On January 1, 2019, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Accounting Standards Codification Topic 842, *Leases*, or ASC 842, using the modified retrospective transition method with a cumulative effect adjustment to accumulated deficit as of January 1, 2019, and accordingly, modified its policy on accounting for leases as stated below. As described under "Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements," below, the primary impact of adopting ASC 842 for the Company was the recognition in the consolidated balance sheet of certain lease-related assets and liabilities for operating leases with terms longer than 12 months. Such amounts were not previously accounted for in the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

The Company's leases primarily consist of facility leases which are classified as operating leases. The Company assesses whether an arrangement contains a lease at inception. The Company recognizes a lease liability to make contractual payments under all leases with terms greater than twelve months and a corresponding right-of-use asset, representing its right to use the underlying asset for the lease term. The lease liability is initially measured at the present value of the lease payments over the lease term using the collateralized incremental borrowing rate since the implicit rate is unknown. Options to extend or terminate a lease are included in the lease term when it is reasonably certain that the Company will exercise such an option. The right-of-use asset is initially measured as the contractual lease liability plus any initial direct costs and prepaid lease payments made, less any lease incentives. Upon adoption of ASC 842 on January 1, 2019, the carrying value of lease-related restructuring liabilities for certain restructured leases existing at that date, was offset against the related right-of-use assets. Lease expense is recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term.

Upon adoption of ASC 842, the Company elected certain practical expedients and accordingly has (1) carried forward its prior assessments of (a) whether existing contracts on the January 1, 2019 adoption date contain leases, (b) classification of leases as operating or financing and (c) initial direct costs for existing leases and (2) considered hindsight in determining the lease term and assessing impairment of the right-of-use-asset. In addition, the Company used a portfolio approach for its facility leases when making judgments and estimates, such as the discount rate.

Leased right-of-use assets are subject to impairment testing as a long-lived asset at the asset-group level. The Company monitors its long-lived assets for indicators of impairment. As the Company's leased right-of-use assets primarily relate to facility leases, early abandonment of all or part of facility as part of a restructuring plan is typically an indicator of impairment. If impairment indicators are present, the Company tests whether the carrying amount of the leased right-of-use asset is recoverable including consideration of sublease income, and if not recoverable, measures impairment loss for the right-of-use asset or asset group.

Derivatives and Hedging Activities

The Company records derivatives in the consolidated balance sheets at fair value. Hedge accounting is applied to derivatives designated in a hedging relationship. A derivative designated as a hedge of a forecasted transaction is carried at fair value with the effective portion of a derivative's gain or loss recorded in other comprehensive income (i.e., a separate component of stockholders' equity) and subsequently recognized in earnings in the same period or periods the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of a derivative's gain or loss is recorded in earnings as it occurs. Changes in certain terms of the hedged transactions, including the selection of interest rate from one-month LIBOR to another rate could cause ineffectiveness in the derivatives and result in reclassification of amounts in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) into earnings.

Income Taxes

The Company provides for income taxes utilizing the asset and liability approach of accounting for income taxes. Under this approach, deferred taxes represent the future tax consequences expected to occur when the reported amounts of assets and

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

liabilities are recovered or paid. Deferred taxes are presented net as noncurrent. The provision for income taxes generally represents income taxes paid or payable for the current year plus the change in deferred taxes during the year. Deferred taxes result from the differences between the financial and tax bases of the Company's assets and liabilities and are adjusted for changes in tax rates and tax laws when changes are enacted. Valuation allowances are recorded to reduce deferred tax assets when a judgment is made that is considered more likely than not that a tax benefit will not be realized. A decision to record a valuation allowance results in an increase in income tax expense or a decrease in income tax benefit. If the valuation allowance is released in a future period, income tax expense will be reduced accordingly.

The calculation of tax liabilities involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex global tax regulations. The impact of an uncertain income tax position is recognized at the largest amount that is "more likely than not" to be sustained upon audit by the relevant taxing authority. An uncertain income tax position will not be recognized if it has less than a 50% likelihood of being sustained. If the estimate of tax liabilities proves to be less than the ultimate assessment, a further charge to expense would result.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. The Company continually assesses the need for a valuation allowance on the deferred tax asset by evaluating both positive and negative evidence that may exist. Any adjustment to the net deferred tax asset valuation allowance would be recorded in the income statement for the period that the adjustment is determined to be required.

On December 22, 2017, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, or the Tax Act, was enacted into U.S. tax law. In 2018, the Company made an accounting policy election to treat Global Intangible Low Taxed Income in accordance with the Tax Act as a period cost.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) is defined as the change in equity (net assets) of a business entity during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources. Other comprehensive income (loss) includes certain changes in equity that are excluded from net income (loss), net of tax, such as foreign currency translation gains and losses, and unrealized gains and losses from interest rate hedging activities.

Litigation and Settlement Costs

Legal costs are expensed as incurred. The Company is involved in disputes, litigation and other legal actions in the ordinary course of business. The Company continually evaluates uncertainties associated with litigation and records a charge equal to at least the minimum estimated liability for a loss contingency when both of the following conditions are met: (i) information available prior to issuance of the financial statements indicates that it is probable that an asset had been impaired or a liability had been incurred at the date of the financial statements and (ii) the loss or range of loss can be reasonably estimated.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, *Leases (Topic 842)*. The amendments in this update require a lessee to recognize in the statement of financial position a liability to make lease payments (the lease liability) and a right-of-use asset representing its right to use the underlying asset. For leases less than twelve months, an entity is permitted to make an accounting policy election by class of underlying asset not to recognize right-of-use assets and lease liabilities. If a lessee makes this election, it should recognize lease expense for such leases generally on a straight-line basis over the lease term. The Company made this election. Also, in July 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-11, *Leases (Topic 842): Targeted Improvements*, to provide an additional transition method. An entity can elect not to present comparative financial information under Topic 842 if it recognizes a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings upon adoption. The Company also made this election. Further, in January 2019, the FASB issued ASU 2019-01, *Leases (Topic 842): Codification Improvements*, which clarified that post-adoption interim transition disclosures normally required in the year of adoption for the effect of a change in accounting principle on an entity's financial statements are not required for the adoption of ASC 842. The amendments in these updates are effective for the Company for fiscal years beginning with 2019, including interim periods within those years, with early adoption permitted. The Company has completed its assessment of the impact of the adoption of ASC 842. Upon adoption, the Company recognized approximately \$24.8 million of right-of-use assets and a net increase of \$25.1 million in lease-related liabilities at January 1, 2019. Also, the impact of the adoption of ASC 842 on the Company's accumulated deficit

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

and deferred tax assets at January 1, 2019 was not material. Lastly, the impact of the adoption of ASC 842 on the Company's consolidated results of operations for the year ending December 31, 2019 was not material.

In July 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-10, *Codification Improvements to Topic 842, Leases*, to clarify how to apply certain aspects of the new lease accounting standard. The amendments in this update, among other things, better articulates the requirement for a lessee's reassessment of lease classification as of the effective date of a modification, clarifies that a change to an index or rate for variable lease payments does not constitute a resolution of a contingency that would result in the remeasurement of lease payments, and requires entities that apply Topic 842 retrospectively to each reporting period and do not adopt the practical expedients to write off any prior unamortized initial direct costs that do not meet the definition under Topic 842 to equity. The amendments in this update have the same effective date and transition requirements as the new lease standard summarized above. The Company has disclosed the impact of adoption of Topic 842 on the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations as stated above.

In July 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-09, *Codification Improvements*, to clarify the Codification and prevent unintended application of the guidance. An amendment to ASC 718-740, *Compensation—Stock Compensation—Income Taxes*, clarifies that excess tax benefits should be recognized in the period in which the amount of the deduction is determined. The transition and effective date guidance is based on the facts and circumstances of each amendment. The amendment identified above became effective for the Company beginning with fiscal year 2019. The adoption of the amendments in this update did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2019.

In August 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-12, *Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815)*, which is intended to improve accounting for hedging activities by expanding and refining hedge accounting for both nonfinancial and financial risk components and aligning the recognition and presentation of the effects of the hedging instrument and the hedged item in the financial statements. The amendments in this update became effective for the Company beginning with fiscal year 2019. The amendments in this update were required to be applied prospectively. The adoption of the amendments in this update did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2019.

In July 2019, the FASB issued ASU No. 2019-07, *Codification Updates to SEC Sections—Amendments to SEC Paragraphs Pursuant to SEC Final Rule Releases No. 33-10532, Disclosure Update and Simplification, and Nos. 33-10231 and 33-10442, Investment Company Reporting Modernization, and Miscellaneous Updates*, to align the FASB's Accounting Standards Codification with requirements of certain already effective SEC final rules, which included requiring interim presentation of changes in stockholders' equity and eliminating certain other disclosures. The amendments in ASU No. 2019-07 were effective for the Company immediately in the third quarter 2019. The Company previously adopted the related SEC final rules in its 2018 Annual Report and Form 10-Q for the three months ended March 31, 2019. The adoption of the amendments in these updates did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, and disclosures.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-13, *Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments*, to require the use of an expected credit loss model that requires consideration of a broader range of information to estimate credit losses over the lifetime of the asset, replacing the current incurred loss methodology of recognizing credit losses that delays recognition until it is probable a loss has been incurred. An entity with trade receivables will be required to use historical loss information, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable forecasts to determine expected lifetime credit losses. Pooling of assets with similar risk characteristics is also required. Also, in April 2019, the FASB issued ASU No. 2019-04, *Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, and Topic 825, Financial Instruments*, to clarify the inclusion of recoveries of trade receivables previously written off when estimating an allowance for credit losses. The amendments in this update are required to be applied using the modified retrospective method with an adjustment to accumulated deficit and are effective for the Company beginning with fiscal year 2020, including interim periods. The Company has performed an assessment of the impact of adoption of the amendments in these updates on the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations. Based on that assessment, the adoption of the amendments in this update will not have a material impact on the Company's accounts receivable, net and accumulated deficit as of January 1, 2020 and is also not expected to have a material impact on the Company's results of operations for the year ending December 31, 2020.

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-04, *Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment*, to simplify the subsequent measurement of goodwill by eliminating Step 2 from the goodwill impairment test. An entity no longer will determine goodwill impairment by calculating the implied fair value of goodwill by assigning the fair value of a reporting unit to all of its assets and liabilities as if the reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination. Instead, under the amendments in this update, an entity should perform its annual, or interim, goodwill impairment test by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount. The Board also eliminated the requirements for any reporting unit with a zero or negative carrying amount to perform a qualitative assessment and, if it fails that qualitative test, to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test. The amendments in this update are effective for the Company beginning with fiscal year 2020, including interim periods, with early adoption permitted for interim or annual goodwill impairment tests performed on testing dates after January 1, 2017. The adoption of the amendments in this update is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In August 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-13, *Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Disclosure Framework—Changes to the Disclosure Requirements for Fair Value Measurement*, to improve the fair value measurement reporting of financial instruments. The amendments in this update require, among other things, added disclosure of the range and weighted average of significant unobservable inputs used to develop Level 3 fair value measurements. The amendments in this update eliminate, among other things, disclosure of the reasons for and amounts of transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 for assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis and an entity's valuation processes for Level 3 fair value measurements. The amendments in this update will be effective for the Company beginning with fiscal year 2020, with early adoption permitted. Retrospective application is required for all amendments in this update except the added disclosures, which should be applied prospectively. The adoption of the amendments in this update is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In August 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-15, *Intangibles- Goodwill and Other—Internal-Use Software (Subtopic 350-40): Customer's Accounting for Implementation Costs Incurred in a Cloud Computing Arrangement That is a Service Contract*, to provide additional guidance on the accounting for costs of implementing cloud computing arrangements that are service contracts. The amendments in this update require the capitalization of implementation costs during the application development stage of such hosting arrangements and amortization of the expense over the term of the arrangement including any option to extend reasonably certain to be exercised or option to terminate reasonably certain not to be exercised. Capitalized implementation costs and amortization thereof are also required to be classified in the same line item in the statements of financial position, operations and cash flows associated with the hosting service fees. The amendments in this update will be effective for the Company beginning with fiscal year 2020, with early adoption permitted. Entities may select retrospective or prospective application to all implementation costs incurred after the adoption date. The adoption of the amendments in this update is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In December 2019, the FASB issued ASU No. 2019-12 *Income Taxes (Topic 740)—Simplifying the Accounting for Income Taxes*, to remove certain exceptions and improve consistency of application, including, among other things, requiring that an entity reflect the effect of an enacted change in tax laws or rates in the annual effective tax rate computation in the interim period that includes the enactment date. The amendments in this update will be effective for the Company beginning with fiscal year 2021, with early adoption permitted. Most amendments within the standard are required to be applied on a prospective basis, while certain amendments must be applied on a retrospective or modified retrospective basis. The adoption of the amendments in this update is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

2. Net Income (Loss) Per Share

Basic earnings per share, or EPS, is calculated by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period, without consideration for common stock equivalents. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period and the weighted-average number of dilutive common stock equivalents outstanding for the period determined using the treasury-stock method. For purposes of this calculation, common stock options, restricted stock units and restricted stock awards are considered to be common stock equivalents and are only included in the calculation of diluted EPS when their effect is dilutive. In periods in which the Company has a net loss, dilutive common stock equivalents are excluded from the calculation of diluted EPS.

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

The table below presents the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--|-------------|------------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 |
| | (in thousands, except per share amounts) | | |
| Numerator: | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (19,898) | \$ (26,199) | \$ (9,187) |
| Denominator: | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding—basic | 71,005 | 68,490 | 66,252 |
| Dilutive common stock equivalents | — | — | — |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding—diluted | 71,005 | 68,490 | 66,252 |
| Net loss per share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.28) | \$ (0.38) | \$ (0.14) |
| Diluted | \$ (0.28) | \$ (0.38) | \$ (0.14) |

For the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, the Company incurred net losses and accordingly excluded common stock equivalents for outstanding stock-based awards, which represented all potentially dilutive securities, of 2.5 million, 3.7 million, and 4.5 million, respectively, from the calculation of diluted net loss per share due to their anti-dilutive nature.

3. Business Combinations

Acquisition of Exar Corporation

On May 12, 2017, pursuant to the March 28, 2017 Agreement and Plan of Merger, Eagle Acquisition Corporation, a Delaware corporation and wholly-owned subsidiary of MaxLinear, merged with and into Exar Corporation, or Exar, with Exar surviving as a wholly owned subsidiary of MaxLinear. Under this Agreement and Plan of Merger, the Company agreed to acquire all of Exar's outstanding common stock for \$13.00 per share in cash. MaxLinear also assumed certain of Exar's stock-based awards in the merger. MaxLinear paid aggregate cash consideration of \$688.1 million including \$12.7 million of cash paid to settle certain stock-based awards that were not assumed by MaxLinear in the merger. The Company funded the transaction with cash from the balance sheet of the combined companies, including \$235.8 million of cash from Exar, and the net proceeds of approximately \$416.8 million from \$425.0 million of new transaction debt (Note 8).

During the year ended December 31, 2018, the Company made updates to estimates of certain tax-related assets acquired and liabilities assumed with a corresponding net increase to goodwill of \$0.3 million related to this acquisition (Note 5). The Company completed its purchase price allocation accounting associated with this acquisition in 2018.

Acquisition of Certain Assets and Assumption of Certain Liabilities of the G.hn business of Marvell Semiconductor, Inc.

On April 4, 2017, the Company consummated the transactions contemplated by a share and asset acquisition agreement with Marvell Semiconductor, Inc., or Marvell, to purchase certain assets and assume certain liabilities of Marvell's G.hn business, including its Spain legal entity, for aggregate cash consideration of \$21.0 million. The Company also hired certain employees of the G.hn business outside of Spain and assumed employment obligations of the Spanish entity acquired, which is now a subsidiary of MaxLinear. The acquired assets and assumed liabilities, together with the employees who joined MaxLinear and its subsidiaries as a result of the transaction, represent a business as defined in ASC 805, *Business Combinations*. The Company has integrated the acquired assets and employees into its existing business. The Company completed its purchase price allocation accounting associated with this acquisition in 2018.

4. Restructuring Activity

From time to time, the Company approves and implements restructuring plans as a result of internal resource alignment, and cost saving measures. Such restructuring plans include terminating employees, vacating certain leased facilities, and cancellation of contracts.

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

The following table presents the activity related to the plans, which is included in restructuring charges in the consolidated statements of operations:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 |
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Employee separation expenses | \$ 1,150 | \$ 2,094 | \$ 8,353 |
| Lease related expenses | 1,301 | 1,608 | 1,025 |
| Other | 185 | 136 | 146 |
| | <u>\$ 2,636</u> | <u>\$ 3,838</u> | <u>\$ 9,524</u> |

Included in employee separation expenses for the year ended December 31, 2017 is stock-based compensation from the acceleration of certain stock-based awards the Company assumed from Exar due to existing change in control provisions triggered upon termination or diminution of authority of former Exar executives of \$5.1 million.

Lease related and other charges primarily related to exiting certain redundant facilities.

The following table presents a roll-forward of the Company's restructuring liability for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018. The restructuring liability is included in accrued expenses and other current liabilities and other long-term liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets.

| | Employee Separation Expenses | Lease Related Expenses | Other | Total |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Liability as of December 31, 2017 | \$ 239 | \$ 2,693 | \$ 107 | \$ 3,039 |
| Restructuring charges | 2,094 | 1,608 | 136 | 3,838 |
| Cash payments | (1,924) | (1,884) | (196) | (4,004) |
| Non-cash charges | — | (927) | — | (927) |
| Liability as of December 31, 2018 | <u>409</u> | <u>1,490</u> | <u>47</u> | <u>1,946</u> |
| Restructuring charges | 1,150 | 1,301 | 185 | 2,636 |
| Transfer to right-of-use asset | — | (299) | — | (299) |
| Cash payments | (1,559) | (1,720) | (163) | (3,442) |
| Non-cash charges and adjustments | — | 46 | (50) | (4) |
| Liability as of December 31, 2019 | <u>—</u> | <u>818</u> | <u>19</u> | <u>837</u> |
| Less: current portion as of December 31, 2019 | — | (275) | (19) | (294) |
| Long-term portion as of December 31, 2019 | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ 543</u> | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ 543</u> |

Remaining lease related charges as of December 31, 2019 primarily consist of common area maintenance obligations.

5. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill

Goodwill arises from the acquisition method of accounting for business combinations and represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets and other identifiable intangible assets acquired. The fair values of net tangible assets and intangible assets acquired are based upon preliminary valuations and the Company's estimates and assumptions are subject to change within the measurement period (potentially up to one year from the acquisition date).

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

The following table presents the changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the periods indicated:

| | Years Ended December 31, | |
|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2019 | 2018 |
| | (in thousands) | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 238,330 | \$ 237,992 |
| Adjustments | — | 338 |
| Ending balance | <u>\$ 238,330</u> | <u>\$ 238,330</u> |

The Company performs an annual goodwill impairment assessment on October 31st each year, using a two-step quantitative assessment. Step one is the identification of potential impairment. This involves comparing the fair value of each reporting unit, which the Company has determined to be the entity itself, with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value of a reporting unit exceeds the carrying amount, the goodwill of the reporting unit is considered not impaired and the second step of the impairment test is unnecessary. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the second step of the impairment test is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any.

The Company determined there were no indications of impairment associated with goodwill. As a result, no goodwill impairment was recognized as of October 31, 2019. In addition to its annual review, the Company performs a test of impairment when indicators of impairment are present. As of December 31, 2019, there were no indications of impairment of the Company's goodwill balances.

Acquired Intangibles

Finite-lived Intangible Assets

The following table sets forth the Company's finite-lived intangible assets resulting from business acquisitions and other purchases, which continue to be amortized:

| | Weighted Average Useful Life (in Years) | December 31, 2019 | | | December 31, 2018 | | |
|----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Amount | Gross Carrying Value | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Amount |
| | | (in thousands) | | | | | |
| Licensed technology | 3.7 | \$ 2,156 | \$ (1,583) | \$ 573 | \$ 2,070 | \$ (1,130) | \$ 940 |
| Developed technology | 6.9 | 243,361 | (108,522) | 134,839 | 238,961 | (74,630) | 164,331 |
| Trademarks and trade names | 6.1 | 13,800 | (6,511) | 7,289 | 13,800 | (4,252) | 9,548 |
| Customer relationships | 4.6 | 121,100 | (75,847) | 45,253 | 121,100 | (55,647) | 65,453 |
| Non-compete covenants | 3.0 | 1,100 | (1,083) | 17 | 1,100 | (872) | 228 |
| | 6.1 | <u>\$ 381,517</u> | <u>\$ (193,546)</u> | <u>\$ 187,971</u> | <u>\$ 377,031</u> | <u>\$ (136,531)</u> | <u>\$ 240,500</u> |

The following table sets forth amortization expense associated with finite-lived intangible assets, which is included in the consolidated statements of operations as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 |
| Cost of net revenue | \$ 33,932 | \$ 35,821 | \$ 25,316 |
| Research and development | 48 | 150 | 551 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 23,035 | 31,976 | 28,827 |
| | <u>\$ 57,015</u> | <u>\$ 67,947</u> | <u>\$ 54,694</u> |

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

Amortization of finite-lived intangible assets in cost of net revenue in the consolidated statements of operations results primarily from acquired developed technology.

The following table sets forth activity during the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 related to finite-lived intangible assets:

| | Years Ended December 31, | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2019 | 2018 |
| | (in thousands) | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 240,500 | \$ 310,645 |
| Other additions | 86 | — |
| Transfers to developed technology from IPR&D | 4,400 | — |
| Amortization | (57,015) | (67,947) |
| Impairment losses | — | (2,198) |
| Ending balance | <u>\$ 187,971</u> | <u>\$ 240,500</u> |

The Company regularly reviews the carrying amounts of its long-lived assets subject to depreciation and amortization, as well as the related useful lives, to determine whether indicators of impairment may exist which warrant adjustments to carrying values or estimated useful lives. An impairment loss is recognized when the sum of the expected future undiscounted net cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset. Should impairment exist, the impairment loss is measured based on the excess of the carrying amount of the asset over the asset's fair value. During the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2017, no impairment losses related to finite-lived intangible assets were recognized. Impairment loss related to finite-lived intangible assets for the year ended December 31, 2018 was \$2.2 million and related to acquired developed technology.

The following table presents future amortization of the Company's finite-lived intangible assets at December 31, 2019:

| | Amortization (in thousands) |
|------------|--------------------------------|
| 2020 | \$ 56,610 |
| 2021 | 55,828 |
| 2022 | 38,298 |
| 2023 | 26,075 |
| 2024 | 10,098 |
| Thereafter | 1,062 |
| Total | <u>\$ 187,971</u> |

Indefinite-lived Intangible Assets

Indefinite-lived intangible assets consist entirely of acquired in-process research and development technology, or IPR&D. The following table sets forth the Company's activities related to the indefinite-lived intangible assets:

| | Years Ended December 31, | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2019 | 2018 |
| | (in thousands) | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 4,400 | \$ 4,400 |
| Transfers to developed technology from IPR&D | (4,400) | — |
| Ending balance | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ 4,400</u> |

The Company performs its annual assessment of indefinite-lived intangible assets on October 31 each year or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired utilizing a qualitative test as a precursor to the quantitative test comparing the fair value of the assets with their carrying amount. Based on the qualitative test, if it is more likely than not that indicators of impairment exists, the Company proceeds to perform a quantitative analysis. Based on the Company's assessment as of October 31, 2019, no indicators of impairment were identified. In the years ended

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

December 31, 2019 and 2018, no IPR&D impairment losses were recorded. In the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company recognized impairment losses of \$2.0 million related to the Company's abandonment of a single IPR&D project.

6. Financial Instruments

The composition of financial instruments is as follows:

| | December 31, 2019 | December 31, 2018 |
|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | (in thousands) | |
| Assets | | |
| Interest rate swap | \$ — | \$ 1,623 |
| Liabilities | | |
| Interest rate swap | \$ 37 | \$ — |

The fair values of the Company's financial instrument is the amount that would be received in an asset sale or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between unaffiliated market participants and is recorded using a hierarchical disclosure framework based upon the level of subjectivity of the inputs used in measuring assets and liabilities. The levels are described below:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Observable prices that are based on inputs not quoted on active markets, but corroborated by market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs are used when little or no market data is available.

The Company classifies its financial instrument within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy on the basis of models utilizing market observable inputs. The interest rate swap has been valued on the basis of valuations provided by third-party pricing services, as derived from standard valuation or pricing models. Market-based observable inputs for the interest rate swap include one month LIBOR-based yield curves over the term of the swap. The Company reviews third-party pricing provider models, key inputs and assumptions and understands the pricing processes at its third-party providers in determining the overall reasonableness of the fair value of its Level 2 financial instruments. The Company also considers the risk of nonperformance by assessing the swap counterparty's credit risk in the estimate of fair value of the interest rate swap. As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, the Company has not made any adjustments to the valuations obtained from its third party pricing providers.

The following table presents a summary of the Company's financial instruments that are measured on a recurring basis:

| | Fair Value Measurements | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|--|
| | Balance | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Interest rate swap, December 31, 2018 | \$ 1,623 | \$ — | \$ 1,623 | \$ — |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Interest rate swap, December 31, 2019 | \$ 37 | \$ — | \$ 37 | \$ — |

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

The following table summarizes activity for the interest rate swap:

| | Fair Value at December 31, | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2019 | 2018 |
| | (in thousands) | |
| Interest rate swap | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 1,623 | \$ 734 |
| Unrealized gain (loss) recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) | (1,660) | 889 |
| Ending balance | <u>\$ (37)</u> | <u>\$ 1,623</u> |

There were no transfers between Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3 fair value hierarchy categories of financial instruments in the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018.

Financial Instruments Not Recorded at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Some of the Company's financial instruments are not measured at fair value on a recurring basis but are recorded at amounts that approximate fair value due to their liquid or short-term nature. Such financial assets and financial liabilities include: cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, net receivables, certain other assets, accounts payable, accrued price protection liability, accrued expenses, accrued compensation costs, and other current liabilities.

The Company's long-term debt is not recorded at fair value on a recurring basis, but is measured at fair value for disclosure purposes (Note 8).

7. Balance Sheet Details

Cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash consist of the following:

| | December 31, 2019 | December 31, 2018 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| | (in thousands) | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 92,708 | \$ 73,142 |
| Short-term restricted cash | 349 | 645 |
| Long-term restricted cash | 60 | 404 |
| Total cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash | <u>\$ 93,117</u> | <u>\$ 74,191</u> |

As of December 31, 2019 and December 31, 2018, cash and cash equivalents included \$20.4 million and \$0 of money market funds, respectively. As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, the Company has restricted cash of \$0.4 million and \$1.0 million, respectively. The cash is restricted in connection with guarantees for certain import duties and office leases.

Inventory consists of the following:

| | December 31, 2019 | December 31, 2018 |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | (in thousands) | |
| Work-in-process | \$ 14,525 | \$ 17,618 |
| Finished goods | 16,985 | 24,120 |
| | <u>\$ 31,510</u> | <u>\$ 41,738</u> |

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

Property and equipment consist of the following:

| | Useful Life (in Years) | December 31, 2019 | December 31, 2018 |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | | (in thousands) | |
| Furniture and fixtures | 5 | \$ 2,199 | \$ 2,020 |
| Machinery and equipment | 3-5 | 35,660 | 34,225 |
| Masks and production equipment | 2-5 | 15,209 | 12,645 |
| Software | 3 | 5,956 | 5,675 |
| Leasehold improvements | 1-5 | 16,186 | 17,493 |
| Construction in progress | N/A | 746 | 133 |
| | | 75,956 | 72,191 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | | (59,343) | (53,787) |
| | | <u>\$ 16,613</u> | <u>\$ 18,404</u> |

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018, and 2017 was \$7.3 million, \$11.1 million, and \$12.0 million, respectively.

Accrued price protection liability consists of the following activity:

| | Years Ended December 31, | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| | 2019 | 2018 |
| | (in thousands) | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 16,454 | \$ 21,571 |
| Charged as a reduction of revenue | 24,449 | 34,288 |
| Reversal of unclaimed rebates | (42) | (2,413) |
| Payments | (28,304) | (36,992) |
| Ending balance | <u>\$ 12,557</u> | <u>\$ 16,454</u> |

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities consist of the following:

| | December 31, 2019 | December 31, 2018 ⁽¹⁾ |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| | (in thousands) | |
| Accrued technology license payments | \$ 4,500 | \$ 4,500 |
| Accrued professional fees | 861 | 1,270 |
| Accrued engineering and production costs | 4,491 | 646 |
| Accrued restructuring | 294 | 1,946 |
| Accrued royalty | 923 | 980 |
| Short-term lease liabilities | 4,810 | 1,214 |
| Accrued customer credits | 832 | 1,204 |
| Income tax liability | 65 | 784 |
| Customer contract liabilities | 107 | 71 |
| Accrued obligations to customers for price adjustments | 8,382 | 7,558 |
| Accrued obligations to customers for stock rotation rights | 1,410 | 1,494 |
| Other | 4,496 | 6,615 |
| | <u>\$ 31,171</u> | <u>\$ 28,282</u> |

⁽¹⁾ Due to the adoption of ASC 842 on January 1, 2019 with a cumulative effect adjustment to accumulated deficit, prior period amounts have not been adjusted to include short-term lease payment obligations.

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

The following table summarizes the balances in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) by component:

| | Cumulative Translation Adjustments | Interest Rate Hedge | Total |
|--|--|---------------------|----------|
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2017 | \$ 562 | \$ 477 | \$ 1,039 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications, net of tax | (1,572) | 702 | (870) |
| Net current period other comprehensive income (loss) | (1,572) | 702 | (870) |
| Cumulative effect of adoption of new accounting principles | 103 | — | 103 |
| Balance at December 31, 2018 | (907) | 1,179 | 272 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications, net of tax | 160 | (1,319) | (1,159) |
| Net current period other comprehensive income (loss) | 160 | (1,319) | (1,159) |
| Balance at December 31, 2019 | \$ (747) | \$ (140) | \$ (887) |

8. Debt and Interest Rate Swap

Debt

The carrying amount of the Company's long-term debt consists of the following:

| | December 31, 2019 | December 31, 2018 |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| | (in thousands) | |
| Principal | \$ 212,000 | \$ 262,000 |
| Less: | | |
| Unamortized debt discount | (1,328) | (1,630) |
| Unamortized debt issuance costs | (3,763) | (4,613) |
| Net carrying amount of long-term debt | 206,909 | 255,757 |
| Less: current portion of long-term debt | — | — |
| Long-term debt, non-current portion | \$ 206,909 | \$ 255,757 |

On May 12, 2017, the Company entered into a credit agreement with certain lenders and a collateral agent in connection with the acquisition of Exar (Note 3). The credit agreement provides for an initial secured term B loan facility (the "Initial Term Loan") in an aggregate principal amount of \$425.0 million. The credit agreement permits the Company to request incremental loans in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed the sum of \$160.0 million (subject to adjustments for any voluntary prepayments), plus an unlimited amount that is subject to pro forma compliance with certain secured leverage ratio and total leverage ratio tests. Incremental loans are subject to certain additional conditions, including obtaining additional commitments from the lenders then party to the credit agreement or new lenders.

Loans under the credit agreement bear interest, at the Company's option, at a rate equal to either (i) a base rate equal to the highest of (x) the federal funds rate, plus 0.50%, (y) the prime rate then in effect and (z) an adjusted LIBOR rate determined on the basis of a one- three- or six-month interest period, plus 1.0% or (ii) an adjusted LIBOR rate, subject to a floor of 0.75%, in each case, plus an applicable margin of 2.50% in the case of LIBOR rate loans and 1.50% in the case of base rate loans. Commencing on September 30, 2017, the Initial Term Loan will amortize in equal quarterly installments equal to 0.25% of the original principal amount of the Initial Term Loan, with the balance payable on the maturity date. The Initial Term Loan has a term of seven years and will mature on May 12, 2024, at which time all outstanding principal and accrued and unpaid interest on the Initial Term Loan must be repaid. The Company is also required to pay fees customary for a credit facility of this size and type.

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

The Company is required to make mandatory prepayments of the outstanding principal amount of term loans under the credit agreement with the net cash proceeds from the disposition of certain assets and the receipt of insurance proceeds upon certain casualty and condemnation events, in each case, to the extent not reinvested within a specified time period, from excess cash flow beyond stated threshold amounts, and from the incurrence of certain indebtedness. The Company has the right to prepay its term loans under the credit agreement, in whole or in part, at any time without premium or penalty, subject to certain limitations and a 1.0% soft call premium applicable during the first six months for the loan term. The Company exercised its right to prepay and made aggregate payments of principal of \$213.0 million to date through December 31, 2019.

The Company's obligations under the credit agreement are required to be guaranteed by certain of its domestic subsidiaries meeting materiality thresholds set forth in the credit agreement. Such obligations, including the guaranties, are secured by substantially all of the assets of the Company and the subsidiary guarantors pursuant to a security agreement with the collateral agent.

The credit agreement contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, including covenants limiting the ability of the Company and its restricted subsidiaries to, among other things, incur debt, grant liens, undergo certain fundamental changes, make investments, make certain restricted payments, and sell assets, in each case, subject to limitations and exceptions. As of December 31, 2019, the Company was in compliance with such covenants. The credit agreement also contains customary events of default that include, among other things, certain payment defaults, cross defaults to other indebtedness, covenant defaults, change in control defaults, judgment defaults, and bankruptcy and insolvency defaults. If an event of default exists, the lenders may require immediate payment of all obligations under the credit agreement, and may exercise certain other rights and remedies provided for under the credit agreement, the other loan documents and applicable law.

As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, the weighted average effective interest rate on long-term debt was approximately 4.9% and 4.6%, respectively.

The debt is carried at its principal amount, net of unamortized debt discount and issuance costs, and is not adjusted to fair value each period. The issuance date fair value of the liability component of the debt in the amount of \$398.5 million was determined using a discounted cash flow analysis, in which the projected interest and principal payments were discounted back to the issuance date of the term loan at a market interest rate for nonconvertible debt of 4.6%, which represents a Level 2 fair value measurement. The debt discount of \$2.1 million and debt issuance costs of \$6.0 million are being amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method from the issuance date through the contractual maturity date of the term loan of May 12, 2024.

During the year ended December 31, 2019, the Company recognized amortization of debt discount of \$0.3 million and debt issuance costs of \$0.9 million to interest expense. During the year ended December 31, 2018, the Company recognized amortization of debt discount of \$0.3 million and debt issuance costs of \$0.8 million to interest expense. During the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company recognized amortization of debt discount of \$0.2 million and debt issuance costs of \$0.6 million to interest expense.

The approximate fair value of the term loan as of December 31, 2019 and 2018 was \$214.6 million and \$268.1 million, respectively, which was estimated on the basis of inputs that are observable in the market and which is considered a Level 2 measurement method in the fair value hierarchy.

As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, the remaining principal balance on the term loan was \$212.0 million and \$262.0 million, respectively. The remaining principal balance is due on May 12, 2024 at the maturity date on the term loan.

Interest Rate Swap

In November 2017, the Company entered into a fixed-for-floating interest rate swap with an amortizing notional amount to swap a substantial portion of variable rate LIBOR interest payments under its term loans for fixed interest payments bearing an interest rate of 1.74685%. The Company's outstanding debt is still subject to a 2.5% fixed applicable margin during the term of the loan. The interest rate swap is designated as a cash flow hedge of a portion of floating rate interest payments on long-term debt and effectively fixes the interest rate on a substantial portion of the Company's long-term debt at approximately 4.25%. Accordingly, the Company applies cash flow hedge accounting to the interest rate swap and it is recorded at fair value as an asset or liability and the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the interest rate swap, as measured quarterly, are reported in other comprehensive income (loss). As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, the fair value of the interest rate swap was a \$0.04 million liability and \$1.6 million asset, respectively (Note 6). The interest rate swap is included in other current liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2019. The change in fair value related to the interest rate swap

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

asset included in other comprehensive income (loss) for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018, and 2017 was a \$1.7 million decrease, \$0.9 million increase, and \$0.7 million increase in fair value, respectively. The interest rate swap expires in October 2020 and the total \$0.04 million of unrealized loss recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) at December 31, 2019 is expected to be recorded in interest expense over the next twelve months, upon expiration of the interest rate swap.

9. Stock-Based Compensation and Employee Benefit Plans

Common Stock

On March 29, 2017, each share of the Company's then outstanding Class A common stock and Class B common stock automatically converted into a single class of common stock pursuant to the terms of the Company's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation. Also on March 29, 2017, the shares underlying outstanding stock options, restricted stock units and restricted stock awards automatically converted to rights to receive shares of a single class of common stock. The conversion had no impact on the total number of issued and outstanding shares of capital stock; the Class A shares and Class B shares converted into an equivalent number of shares of common stock. The board of directors approved a reduction in the Company's total number of authorized shares of capital stock by 65,445,853 from 1,575,000,000 to 1,509,554,147 to account for the 58,876,053 shares of Class A common stock and 6,569,800 shares of Class B common stock retired upon conversion, such that the authorized number of shares of Class A common stock is 441,123,947 and the authorized number of shares of Class B common stock is 493,430,200. No additional Class A shares or Class B shares will be issued following the conversion. The authorized number of shares of common stock and preferred stock remain unchanged at 550,000,000 shares and 25,000,000 shares, respectively.

Following the conversion, each share of common stock is entitled to one vote per share and otherwise has the same designations, rights, powers and preferences as the Class A common stock prior to the conversion. In addition, holders of the common stock vote as a single class of stock on any matter that is submitted to a vote of stockholders. Prior to the conversion, the holders of the Company's Class A and Class B common stock had identical voting rights, except that holders of Class A common stock were entitled to one vote per share and holders of Class B common stock were entitled to ten votes per share with respect to transactions that would result in a change of control of the Company or that relate to the Company's equity incentive plans. In addition, holders of Class B common stock had the exclusive right to elect two members of the Company's Board of Directors, each referred to as a Class B Director. The shares of Class B common stock were not publicly traded. Each share of Class B common stock was convertible at any time at the option of the holder into one share of Class A common stock and in most instances automatically converted upon sale or other transfer.

Employee Compensation Plans

At December 31, 2019, the Company had stock-based compensation awards outstanding under the following plans: the 2004 Stock Plan, the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended, or 2010 Plan, and the 2010 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or ESPP, and plans under which equity incentive awards were assumed in connection with the acquisitions of Entropic in 2015 and Exar Corporation in 2017. All current stock awards are issued under the 2010 Plan and ESPP.

2010 Equity Incentive Plan

The 2010 Plan provides for the grant of incentive stock options, non-statutory stock options, restricted stock awards, restricted stock unit awards, stock appreciation rights, performance-based stock awards, and other forms of equity compensation, or collectively, stock awards. The aggregate number of shares of common stock that may be issued pursuant to stock awards under the 2010 Plan will increase by any shares subject to stock options or other awards granted under the 2004 Stock Plan that expire or otherwise terminate without having been exercised in full and shares issued pursuant to awards granted under the 2004 Stock Plan that are forfeited to or repurchased by the Company. In addition, the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance will automatically increase on the first day of each fiscal year, equal to the lesser of: 2,583,311 shares of the Company's common stock; four percent (4%) of the outstanding shares of the Company's common stock on the last day of the immediately preceding fiscal year; or such lesser amount as the Company's board of directors may determine. Options granted will generally vest over a four years period and the term can be from seven to ten years.

In December 2018, the Company's board of directors approved an amendment to the plan to add a clawback policy, which requires the Company's executive officers to repay to MaxLinear certain incentive compensation if (i) the Company restates its financial statements as a result of a material error or due to material non-compliance with reporting requirements under applicable law; (ii) no more than three (3) years have elapsed since the original filing date of the financial statements; and (iii) an independent committee of the board's compensation committee determines, in its sole discretion, that the misreporting

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

event occurred due to fraud or intentional misconduct within MaxLinear and, following consideration of such factors as the committee may deem reasonable and appropriate, including the extent to which an executive officer knew or should have known of the factors resulting in the misreporting, that the executive officer should repay any “recoverable compensation.” Recoverable compensation is defined in the clawback policy but generally includes any cash or equity compensation paid to executive officers under the Company's Executive Incentive Bonus Plan or 2010 Equity Incentive Plan to the extent the amount actually paid by MaxLinear exceeds the amount that would have been paid if the financial misreporting event had not occurred. To date, there has been no repayment of compensation from executive officers pursuant to such clawback policy.

As of December 31, 2019, the number of shares reserved for future issuance under the 2010 Plan and awards outstanding under the 2004 Plan are 13,754,656 shares and 3,000 shares, respectively.

2010 Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The ESPP authorizes the issuance of shares of the Company's common stock pursuant to purchase rights granted to the Company's employees. The number of shares of the Company's common stock reserved for issuance will automatically increase on the first day of each fiscal year, equal to the least of: 968,741 shares of the Company's common stock; one and a quarter percent (1.25%) of the outstanding shares of the Company's common stock on the first day of the fiscal year; or such lesser amount as may be determined by the Company's board of directors or a committee appointed by the Company's board of directors to administer the ESPP. The ESPP is implemented through a series of offerings of purchase rights to eligible employees. Under the ESPP, the Company may specify offerings with a duration of not more than 27 months, and may specify shorter purchase periods within each offering. Each offering will have one or more purchase dates on which shares of the Company's common stock will be purchased for employees participating in the offering. An offering may be terminated under certain circumstances. Generally, all eligible employees, including executive officers, employed by the Company may participate in the ESPP and may contribute up to 15% of their earnings for the purchase of the Company's common stock under the ESPP. Unless otherwise determined by the Company's board of directors, common stock will be purchased for accounts of employees participating in the ESPP at a price per share equal to the lower of (a) 85% of the fair market value of a share of the Company's common stock on the first date of an offering or (b) 85% of the fair market value of a share of the Company's common stock on the date of purchase. As of December 31, 2019, the number of shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under the ESPP is 2,752,186 shares.

Employee Incentive Bonus

In May 2013, the Company's compensation committee amended its Executive Incentive Bonus Plan to permit the settlement of awards under the plan in any combination of cash or shares of its common stock. Additionally, the Company settles a majority of bonus awards for all other employees in common stock. When bonus awards are settled in common stock issued under the 2010 Plan, the number of shares issuable to plan participants is determined based on the closing sales price of the Company's common stock as determined in trading on the New York Stock Exchange on the date approved by the Board of Directors. In February 2019 and 2018, the Company issued 0.3 million freely-tradable shares of its common stock in settlement of bonus awards to employees, including executives, for the 2018 and 2017 performance periods, respectively. At December 31, 2019, an accrual of \$3.5 million was recorded for bonus awards for employees for the 2019 performance period, which the Company intends to settle in shares of its common stock to be issued under its 2010 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended, with the number of shares issuable to plan participants determined based on the closing sales price of the Company's common stock as determined in trading on the New York Stock Exchange at a date to be determined. The Company's compensation committee retains discretion to effect payment in cash, stock, or a combination of cash and stock.

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company recognizes stock-based compensation expense in the consolidated statements of operations, based on the department to which the related employee reports, as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 |
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Cost of net revenue | \$ 577 | \$ 489 | \$ 332 |
| Research and development | 16,545 | 17,953 | 16,190 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 14,938 | 13,279 | 11,016 |
| Restructuring expense | — | — | 5,130 |
| | <u>\$ 32,060</u> | <u>\$ 31,721</u> | <u>\$ 32,668</u> |

The total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested restricted stock units as of December 31, 2019 was \$48.6 million, and the weighted average period over which these equity awards are expected to vest is 2.59 years. The total unrecognized compensation cost related to performance-based restricted stock units as of December 31, 2019 was \$3.6 million, and the weighted average period over which these equity awards are expected to vest is 1.6 years. The total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options as of December 31, 2019 was \$2.0 million, and the weighted average period over which these equity awards are expected to vest is 2.30 years.

Restricted Stock Units

A summary of the Company's restricted stock unit activity is as follows:

| | Number of Shares (in thousands) | Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value per Share |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2018 | 3,263 | \$ 20.23 |
| Granted | 1,580 | 23.23 |
| Vested | (1,541) | 20.16 |
| Canceled | (378) | 21.52 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2019 | <u>2,924</u> | <u>21.72</u> |

Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units

Performance-based restricted stock units are eligible to vest at the end of each fiscal year in a three-year performance period based on the Company's annual growth rate in net sales and non-GAAP diluted earnings per share (subject to certain adjustments) over a multiple of four times the related results for the fourth quarter of 2018 relative to the growth rates for a peer group of companies for the same metrics and periods.

For the performance-based restricted stock units granted in 2019, 60% of each performance-based award is subject to the net sales metric for the performance period and 40% is subject to the non-GAAP diluted earnings per share metric for the performance period. The maximum percentage for a particular metric is 250% of the target number of units subject to the award related to that metric, however, vesting of the performance stock units is capped at 30% and 100%, respectively, of the target number of units subject to the award in years one and two, respectively, of the three-year performance period.

As of December 31, 2019, the Company believes that it is probable that the Company will achieve performance metrics specified in the award agreement based on its expected revenue and non-GAAP diluted EPS results over the performance period and calculated growth rates relative to its peers' expected results based on data available, as defined in the award agreement.

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

A summary of the Company's performance-based restricted stock unit activity is as follows:

| | Number of Shares (in thousands) | Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value per Share |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2018 | — | \$ — |
| Granted ⁽¹⁾ | 445 | 22.21 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2019 | 445 | 22.21 |

⁽¹⁾ Number of shares granted is based on the maximum percentage achievable in the performance-based restricted stock unit award.

Employee Stock Purchase Rights and Stock Options

Employee Stock Purchase Rights

During the year ended December 31, 2019, there were 248,067 shares of common stock purchased under the ESPP at a weighted average price of \$16.57.

The fair values of employee stock purchase rights were estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model at their respective grant date using the following assumptions:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 |
| Weighted-average grant date fair value per share | \$5.48 - 6.61 | \$5.01 - \$5.37 | \$6.20 - \$7.46 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.59 - 2.43% | 2.09 - 2.51% | 0.60 - 1.39% |
| Dividend yield | —% | —% | —% |
| Expected term (in years) | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.38 - 0.50 |
| Volatility | 40.47 - 43.14% | 38.82 - 46.17% | 29.56 - 49.94% |

The risk-free interest rate assumption was based on the United States (U.S.) Treasury zero-coupon bonds with maturities similar to those of the expected term of the award being valued. The assumed dividend yield was based on the Company's expectation of not paying dividends in the foreseeable future. The expected term is the duration of the offering period for each grant date. In addition, the estimated volatility incorporates the historical volatility over the expected term based on the Company's daily closing stock prices.

Stock Options

A summary of the Company's stock option activity is as follows:

| | Number of Options (in thousands) | Weighted- Average Exercise Price | Weighted- Average Contractual Term (in years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands) |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2018 | 2,659 | \$ 10.27 | | |
| Exercised | (1,300) | 7.28 | | |
| Canceled | (22) | 18.09 | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2019 | 1,337 | \$ 13.05 | 2.56 | \$ 11,259 |
| Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2019 | 1,329 | \$ 13.02 | 2.55 | \$ 11,239 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2019 | 1,094 | \$ 11.87 | 1.93 | \$ 10,549 |

No stock options were granted by the Company during the year ended December 31, 2019.

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

The fair values of stock options granted in 2018 and 2017 were estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model on the grant date using the following assumptions:

| | Years Ended December 31, | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------|
| | 2018 | 2017 ⁽¹⁾ |
| Weighted-average grant date fair value per share | \$ 8.14 | 8.77 - 21.04 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 2.76% | 1.29% - 1.99% |
| Dividend yield | —% | —% |
| Expected term (in years) | 5.50 | 1.6 - 6.0 |
| Volatility | 44.30% | 45.39% - 50.32% |

⁽¹⁾ On May 12, 2017, the Company assumed certain stock options and restricted stock units from Exar. The assumptions above pertain to the Company's estimates of the fair value of such assumed equity awards, of which the vested portion was allocated to purchase price and the unvested portion allocated to future unrecognized compensation expense to be recognized over the remaining service period of the awards.

The risk-free interest rate assumption was based on the U.S. Treasury's rates for zero-coupon bonds with maturities similar to those of the expected term of the award being valued. The assumed dividend yield was based on the Company's expectation of not paying dividends in the foreseeable future. The expected term of the options was calculated using the simplified method as prescribed by guidance provided by the SEC. This decision was based on the lack of historical data due to the Company's limited number of stock option exercises under the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan. Estimated volatility incorporates historical volatility of the Company over the expected term based on the Company's daily closing stock prices.

The intrinsic value of stock options exercised during 2019, 2018 and 2017 was \$22.2 million, \$8.1 million, and \$16.3 million, respectively. Cash received from exercise of stock options was \$4.5 million, \$0.7 million and \$7.9 million during the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, respectively. The tax benefit from stock options exercised was \$20.7 million, \$7.8 million, and \$11.9 million during the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

10. Income Taxes

The domestic and international components of loss before income taxes are presented as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 |
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Domestic | \$ (61,893) | \$ 16,405 | \$ 42,580 |
| Foreign | 29,409 | (49,257) | (76,578) |
| Loss before income taxes | <u>\$ (32,484)</u> | <u>\$ (32,852)</u> | <u>\$ (33,998)</u> |

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

The income tax provision (benefit) consists of the following:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 |
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Current: | | | |
| Federal | \$ 1,604 | \$ 3,292 | \$ 13,470 |
| State | 16 | 37 | 26 |
| Foreign | 1,560 | 1,640 | 1,784 |
| Total current | 3,180 | 4,969 | 15,280 |
| Deferred: | | | |
| Federal | (13,793) | 788 | 19,451 |
| State | (1,829) | (2,799) | (4,668) |
| Foreign | 1,095 | (3,884) | (3,697) |
| Change in valuation allowance | (1,239) | (5,727) | (51,177) |
| Total deferred | (15,766) | (11,622) | (40,091) |
| Total income tax benefit | <u>\$ (12,586)</u> | <u>\$ (6,653)</u> | <u>\$ (24,811)</u> |

The actual income tax provision (benefit) differs from the amount computed using the federal statutory rate as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 |
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Provision (benefit) at statutory rate | \$ (6,821) | \$ (6,814) | \$ (11,899) |
| State income taxes (net of federal benefit) | 11 | 20 | 17 |
| Research and development credits | (7,815) | (8,849) | (8,153) |
| Foreign rate differential | (4,489) | 8,640 | 23,666 |
| Stock compensation | (2,750) | 74 | (5,713) |
| Foreign income inclusion | 3,936 | 1,103 | — |
| Transaction costs | — | — | 553 |
| Provision to return | 1,887 | (27) | (917) |
| Uncertain tax positions | 1,244 | 1,463 | 1,993 |
| Foreign tax credits | — | — | (5) |
| Permanent and other | 716 | 1,319 | 1,730 |
| Foreign unremitted earnings | (103) | 1,960 | (1,368) |
| Tax Act | — | 185 | 25,205 |
| Other tax rate changes | — | — | 1,257 |
| Attribute expirations | 2,837 | — | — |
| Valuation allowance | (1,239) | (5,727) | (51,177) |
| Total income tax benefit | <u>\$ (12,586)</u> | <u>\$ (6,653)</u> | <u>\$ (24,811)</u> |

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

The components of the deferred income tax assets are as follows:

| | December 31, | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| | 2019 | 2018 |
| | (in thousands) | |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Net operating loss carryforwards | \$ 65,477 | \$ 64,887 |
| Research and development credits | 80,404 | 75,032 |
| Accrued expenses and other | 7,768 | 7,965 |
| Lease obligation | 2,047 | — |
| Accrued compensation | 1,441 | 2,504 |
| Stock-based compensation | 3,460 | 2,550 |
| | 160,597 | 152,938 |
| Less valuation allowance | (77,957) | (79,196) |
| | 82,640 | 73,742 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Fixed assets | (246) | (1,391) |
| Leased right-of-use assets | (1,483) | — |
| Intangible assets | (13,627) | (20,833) |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$ 67,284 | \$ 51,518 |

At December 31, 2019, the Company had federal, state and foreign tax net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$269.3 million, \$86.4 million and \$11.7 million, respectively. The federal, state and foreign tax loss carryforwards will begin to expire in 2020, 2020 and 2026 respectively, unless previously utilized.

At December 31, 2019, the Company had federal, state and foreign tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$41.8 million, \$86.3 million and \$5.7 million, respectively. The federal and foreign tax credit carryforwards will begin to expire in 2023 and 2024 respectively, unless previously utilized. The state tax credit carryforwards do not expire. The Company also has foreign incentive deductions of approximately \$24.5 million that do not expire.

In addition, the Company has \$0.3 million of federal alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards that will be refundable in future years, due to the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act described below.

The Company utilizes the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes, under which deferred taxes are determined based on temporary differences between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities using tax rates expected to be in effect during the years in which the temporary differences reverse. The Company records a valuation allowance to reduce its deferred taxes to the amount it believes is more likely than not to be realized. In making such determination, the Company considers all available positive and negative evidence quarterly, including scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, tax planning strategies, and recent financial performance. Forming a conclusion that a valuation allowance is not required is difficult when there is negative evidence such as cumulative losses in recent years. Based upon the Company's review of all positive and negative evidence, the Company released \$51.2 million in valuation allowance against certain of its deferred tax assets in 2017. In 2018, the Company released an additional \$11.3 million of its valuation allowance as a result of completing its analysis of the effects of the Tax Act. The Company continues to maintain a valuation allowance on its state deferred taxes, certain of its federal deferred tax assets, and certain foreign deferred tax assets in jurisdictions where the Company has cumulative losses or otherwise is not expected to utilize certain tax attributes. The Company does not incur expense or benefit in certain tax-free jurisdictions in which it operates.

The income tax benefit for the year ended December 31, 2019 primarily related to the mix of pre-tax income among jurisdictions, discrete tax benefits related to stock-based compensation, and release of certain reserves for uncertain tax positions under ASC 740-10.

The income tax benefit for the year ended December 31, 2018 primarily related to a partial release of the Company's valuation allowance and the mix of pre-tax income among jurisdictions, excess tax benefits related to stock-based compensation, and release of uncertain tax positions under ASC 740-10.

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

The income tax benefit for the year ended December 31, 2017 primarily related to the release of the federal valuation allowance in 2017 and the effects of the Tax Act.

Income tax positions must meet a more-likely-than-not threshold to be recognized. Income tax positions that previously failed to meet the more-likely-than-not threshold are recognized in the first subsequent financial reporting period in which that threshold is met. Previously recognized tax positions that no longer meet the more-likely-than-not threshold are derecognized in the first financial reporting period in which that threshold is no longer met. The Company records potential penalties and interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits within the consolidated statements of operations as income tax expense. At December 31, 2019, the Company's unrecognized tax benefits totaled \$62.0 million, \$52.7 million of which, if recognized at a time when the valuation allowance no longer exists, would affect the effective tax rate. The Company does not expect its unrecognized tax benefits to change significantly over the next 12 months. At December 31, 2019 and 2018, the Company had accrued interest and penalties of approximately \$0.9 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. The total amounts of interest and penalties recognized for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017 were not material.

The following table summarizes the changes to the unrecognized tax benefits during 2019, 2018 and 2017:

| | (in thousands) |
|--|----------------|
| Balance as of December 31, 2016 | \$ 23,417 |
| Additions based on tax positions related to the current year | 3,037 |
| Additions related to acquisitions | 37,090 |
| Decreases based on tax positions of prior year | (458) |
| Balance as of December 31, 2017 | \$ 63,086 |
| Additions based on tax positions related to the current year | 3,080 |
| Decreases based on tax positions of prior year | (4,696) |
| Balance as of December 31, 2018 | \$ 61,470 |
| Additions based on tax positions related to the current year | 1,678 |
| Decreases based on tax positions of prior year | (1,121) |
| Balance as of December 31, 2019 | \$ 62,027 |

The Company is subject to federal and state income tax in the United States and is also subject to income tax in certain other foreign tax jurisdictions. At December 31, 2019, the statutes of limitations for the assessment of federal, state, and foreign income taxes are closed for the years before 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

In April 2017, the Company's subsidiary in Singapore began operating under certain tax incentives in Singapore, which are generally effective through March 2022, and are conditional upon meeting certain employment and investment thresholds in Singapore. Under the incentives, qualifying income derived from certain sales of the Company's integrated circuits is taxed at a concessionary rate over the incentive period, and there are reduced Singapore withholding taxes on certain intercompany royalties during the incentive period. Primarily because of the Company's Singapore net operating losses and a full valuation allowance in Singapore, the incentives did not have a material impact on the Company's income tax expense in 2017, 2018, or 2019.

11. Employee Retirement Plan

The Company has a 401(k) defined contribution retirement plan (the 401(k) Plan) covering all eligible employees. Participants may voluntarily contribute on a pre-tax basis an amount not to exceed a maximum contribution amount pursuant to Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Company is not required to contribute, nor has it contributed, to the 401(k) Plan for any of the periods presented.

12. Leases

Operating Leases

Operating lease arrangements primarily consist of office leases expiring at various years through 2023. These leases often have original terms of 3 to 5 years and contain options to extend the lease up to 5 years or terminate the lease, which are included in leased right-of-use assets and lease liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet when the Company is reasonably certain it will renew the underlying leases. Since the implicit rate of such leases is unknown and the Company is not reasonably

certain to renew its leases, the Company has elected to apply a collateralized incremental borrowing rate to facility leases on the original lease term in calculating the present value of future lease payments. As of December 31, 2019, the weighted average discount rate for operating leases was 5.0% and the weighted average remaining lease term for operating leases was 2.9 years.

The table below presents aggregate future minimum payments due under leases, reconciled to lease liabilities included in the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2019:

| | Operating Leases |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | (in thousands) |
| 2020 | \$ 5,406 |
| 2021 | 5,217 |
| 2022 | 3,591 |
| 2023 | 1,030 |
| Total minimum payments | 15,244 |
| Less: imputed interest | (1,096) |
| Less: unrealized translation loss | (3) |
| Total lease liabilities | 14,145 |
| Less: short-term lease liabilities | (4,810) |
| Lease liabilities - long-term | \$ 9,335 |

Operating lease costs were \$3.1 million, \$4.5 million and \$4.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Short-term lease costs for the year ended December 31, 2019 were not material. There were \$0.5 million of right-of-use assets obtained in exchange for new lease liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2019.

Subleases

The Company has subleased certain facilities that it ceased using in connection with a restructuring plan (Note 4). Such subleases expire at various years through fiscal 2023.

As of December 31, 2019, future minimum rental income under non-cancelable subleases are as follows:

| | Amount |
|------|-----------------------|
| | (in thousands) |
| 2020 | \$ 644 |
| 2021 | 546 |
| 2022 | 488 |
| 2023 | 291 |
| | \$ 1,969 |

Total sublease income related to leased facilities the Company ceased using in connection with a restructuring plan for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017 was approximately \$1.2 million, \$2.4 million and \$2.1 million, respectively (Note 4).

Lease Terminations

In the year ended December 31, 2019, the Company terminated certain facility leases and a related sublease, which were due to expire in 2022 to 2023, upon release from the landlords. The Company had previously ceased use of all or portions of the related facilities. As a result of such terminations, the Company reduced leased right-of-use assets by approximately \$9.2 million, lease liabilities by approximately \$10.1 million, and other related liabilities by approximately \$0.3 million in the consolidated balance sheet. The related net impact in the consolidated statement of operations was a gain of approximately

\$1.2 million, which consisted of a gain on extinguishment of lease-related liabilities of \$10.4 million, partially offset by impairment of leased right-of-use assets of \$9.2 million. The Company also recorded impairment of related leasehold improvements of \$1.4 million.

13. Commitments and Contingencies

Inventory Purchase and Other Contractual Obligations

As of December 31, 2019, future minimum payments under inventory purchase and other obligations are as follows:

| | Inventory Purchase Obligations | Other Obligations | Total |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | (in thousands) | | |
| 2020 | \$ 15,093 | \$ 5,735 | \$ 20,828 |
| 2021 | — | 893 | 893 |
| 2022 | — | 425 | 425 |
| 2023 | — | 447 | 447 |
| Total minimum payments | <u>\$ 15,093</u> | <u>\$ 7,500</u> | <u>\$ 22,593</u> |

Other obligations consist of contractual payments due for software licenses.

CrestaTech Litigation

The Company was a defendant in patent litigation originally filed by CrestaTech Technology Corporation, or CrestaTech. On January 21, 2014, CrestaTech filed a complaint for patent infringement against the Company in the United States District Court of Delaware, or District Court Litigation, alleging that the Company infringed U.S. Patent Nos. 7,075,585, or the '585 Patent and 7,265,792, or the '792 Patent. In addition to asking for compensatory damages, CrestaTech alleged willful infringement and sought a permanent injunction. CrestaTech also named Sharp Corporation, Sharp Electronics Corp. and VIZIO, Inc. as defendants based upon their alleged use of the Company's television tuners. Following the litigation history described in the Company's prior filings on Form 10-K and Form 10-Q, the District Court dismissed the District Court Litigation in April 2018. While the successor plaintiff following a Chapter 7 bankruptcy proceeding of CrestaTech below had suggested that the dismissal may have been in error, it took no action to re-instate the case. In the related bankruptcy proceeding, the plaintiff stated that it "no longer has any valid patent claims that it is asserting in any of the proceedings purchased under the Sale Agreement," which includes the District Court Litigation against the Company in re Cresta Technology Corporation, Case No. 16-50808 (N.D. Cal. Bank. 2016) at Dkt. No. 270. In November 2019, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with CF Crespe resolving all outstanding matters with CF Crespe and CrestaTech (its predecessor-in-interest). On December 5, 2019, the Federal Circuit dismissed the Company's appeal from the *inter partes* review of CF Crespe's patent number 7,075,585 -- the only remaining active matter as of the parties' settlement. The terms of the settlement are confidential, and the settlement did not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Trango Systems, Inc. Litigation

On or about August 2, 2016, Trango Systems, Inc., or Trango, filed a complaint in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, Central Division, against defendants Broadcom Corporation, Inc., or Broadcom, and the Company, collectively, Defendants. Trango is a purchaser that alleges various fraud, breach of contract, and interference with economic relations claims in connection with the discontinuance of a chip line the Company acquired from Broadcom in 2016. Trango seeks unspecified general and special damages, pre-judgment interest, expenses and costs, attorneys' fees, punitive damages, and unspecified injunctive and equitable relief. On June 23, 2017, the Court sustained the Company's demurrer to each cause of action in the second amended complaint filed on or about December 6, 2016. Trango filed its third amended complaint on or about July 13, 2017. On February 23, 2018, the Court sustained, in part, the Company's demurrer, dismissing with prejudice the cause of action for breach of a written contract, and Trango voluntarily dismissed its cause of action for breach of an implied-in-fact contract. The remaining causes of action have been permitted to proceed. On March 15, 2018, Trango filed its fourth amended complaint. The Company filed its answer on April 17, 2018. Also, on April 17, Broadcom filed a cross-complaint against the Company, alleging causes of action for indemnity, contribution and apportionment, and declaratory relief. Broadcom voluntarily dismissed the cross-complaint on June 8, 2018. On December 10, 2018, the Company filed a motion for summary judgment, or in the alternative summary adjudication concerning all of Trango's causes of action asserted against it. The parties

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

entered into a settlement agreement and on June 6, 2019, the case was dismissed with prejudice. The terms of the settlement are confidential, and the settlement did not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Other Matters

In addition, from time to time, the Company is subject to threats of litigation or actual litigation in the ordinary course of business, some of which may be material. The Company believes that there are no other currently pending litigation matters that, if determined adversely by the Company, would have a material effect on the Company's business or that would not be covered by the Company's existing liability insurance.

14. Concentration of Credit Risk, Significant Customers and Geographic Information

Financial instruments, which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk, consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents and accounts receivable. Collateral is generally not required for customer receivables. The Company limits its exposure to credit loss by placing its cash with high credit quality financial institutions. At times, such deposits may be in excess of insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses on its deposits of cash and cash equivalents.

Significant Customers

The Company markets its products and services to manufacturers of a wide range of electronic devices (Note 1). The Company sells its products both directly to customers and through third-party distributors, both of which are referred to as the Company's customers (Note 15). The Company makes periodic evaluations of the credit worthiness of its customers.

Customers comprising greater than 10% of net revenues for each of the periods presented are as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|------|------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | | | |
| Customer A (direct) | 14% | 18% | 25% |

Balances greater than 10% of accounts receivable, based on the Company's billings to the contract manufacturer customers, are as follows:

| | December 31, | |
|---|--------------|------|
| | 2019 | 2018 |
| Percentage of gross accounts receivable | | |
| Customer B | 10% | 10% |

Suppliers comprising greater than 10% of total inventory purchases are as follows:

| | Years ended December 31, | | |
|----------|--------------------------|------|------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 |
| Vendor A | 17% | 16% | 21% |
| Vendor B | 15% | 13% | 11% |
| Vendor C | 14% | 19% | 16% |
| Vendor D | 13% | 15% | 15% |
| Vendor E | * | * | 14% |

* Represents less than 10% of the inventory purchases for the respective period.

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

Geographic Information

The Company's consolidated net revenues by geographic area based on ship-to location are as follows (in thousands):

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| | 2019 | | 2018 | | 2017 | |
| | Amount | % of total net revenue | Amount | % of total net revenue | Amount | % of total net revenue |
| Asia | \$ 265,122 | 84% | \$ 312,877 | 81% | \$ 372,103 | 89% |
| United States | 13,984 | 4% | 18,060 | 5% | 10,829 | 2% |
| Rest of world | 38,074 | 12% | 54,060 | 14% | 37,386 | 9% |
| Total | <u>\$ 317,180</u> | <u>100%</u> | <u>\$ 384,997</u> | <u>100%</u> | <u>\$ 420,318</u> | <u>100%</u> |

The products shipped to individual countries representing greater than 10% of net revenue for each of the periods presented are as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|------|------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | | | |
| China | 60% | 63% | 71% |

The determination of which country a particular sale is allocated to is based on the destination of the product shipment. No other individual country accounted for more than 10% of net revenue during these periods. Although a large percentage of the Company's products is shipped to Asia, and in particular, China, the Company believes that a significant number of the systems designed by customers and incorporating the Company's semiconductor products are subsequently sold outside Asia to Europe, Middle East, and Africa, or EMEA markets and North American markets.

Long-lived assets, which consists of property and equipment, net, leased right-of-use assets, intangible assets, net, and goodwill, by geographic area are as follows (in thousands):

| | As of December 31, | | | |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | 2019 | | 2018(1) | |
| | Amount | % of total | Amount | % of total |
| United States | \$ 385,302 | 85% | \$ 426,321 | 85% |
| Singapore | 63,556 | 14% | 71,945 | 14% |
| Rest of world | 5,034 | 1% | 3,368 | 1% |
| Total | <u>\$ 453,892</u> | <u>100%</u> | <u>\$ 501,634</u> | <u>100%</u> |

(1) Amounts do not include leased right-of-use assets in the prior period due to the adoption of ASC 842 under the modified retrospective method with a cumulative effect adjustment to accumulated deficit as of January 1, 2019.

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

15. Revenue from Contracts with Customers

Revenue by Market

The table below presents disaggregated net revenues by market (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 ⁽¹⁾ |
| Connected home | \$ 152,674 | \$ 207,336 | \$ 288,610 |
| % of net revenue | 48% | 54% | 69% |
| Infrastructure | 85,369 | 82,388 | 71,779 |
| % of net revenue | 27% | 21% | 17% |
| Industrial and multi-market | 79,137 | 95,273 | 59,929 |
| % of net revenue | 25% | 25% | 14% |
| Total net revenue | <u>\$ 317,180</u> | <u>\$ 384,997</u> | <u>\$ 420,318</u> |

⁽¹⁾ Due to the adoption of ASC 606 on January 1, 2018 using the modified retrospective method, amounts prior to 2018 have not been adjusted to reflect the change to recognize certain distributor sales upon sale to the distributor, or the sell-in method, from recognition upon the Company's sale to the distributors' end customers, or the sell-through method, which required the deferral of revenue and profit on such distributor sales.

Revenues from sales to the Company's distributors accounted for 52%, 42% and 34% of net revenue for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Contract Liabilities

As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, customer contract liabilities consist of estimates of obligations to deliver rebates to customers in the form of units of products and were approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. Revenue recognized in the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 that was included in the contract liability balance as of the beginning of those respective years was immaterial.

There were no material changes in the contract liabilities balance during the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018.

Obligations to Customers for Price Adjustments and Returns and Assets for Right-of-Returns

As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, obligations to customers consisting of estimates of price protection rights offered to the Company's end customers totaled \$12.6 million and \$16.5 million and are included in accrued price protection liability in the consolidated balance sheets. For activity in this account, including amounts included in net revenue, refer to Note 7. As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, other obligations to customers representing estimates of price adjustments to be claimed by distributors upon sell-through of their inventory to their end customer were \$8.4 million and \$7.6 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, other obligations to customers representing estimates of stock rotation returns to be claimed by distributors on products sold were \$1.4 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. Obligations to customers for estimates of price adjustments and stock rotation return rights are included in accrued expenses and other current liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets (Note 7). The increase in revenue in the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 from net changes in transaction prices for amounts included in obligations to customers for price adjustments as of the beginning of those respective years was not material.

As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, right of return assets under customer contracts representing the estimates of product inventory the Company expects to receive from customers in stock rotation returns were approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. Right of return assets are included in inventory in the consolidated balance sheets (Note 7).

As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, there were no impairment losses recorded on customer accounts receivable.

MAXLINEAR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except per share amounts and percentage data)

16. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

The following table presents the Company's unaudited quarterly financial data for each of the eight quarters in the period ended December 31, 2019. In management's opinion, this information has been presented on the same basis as the audited consolidated financial statements included in a separate section of this report, and all necessary adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, have been included in the amounts below to present fairly the unaudited quarterly results when read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes. The operating results for any quarter should not be relied upon as necessarily indicative of results for any future period.

| Year Ended December 31, 2019 | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
| | (in thousands, except per share amounts) | | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 84,635 | \$ 82,507 | \$ 80,020 | \$ 70,018 |
| Gross profit | \$ 45,077 | \$ 44,080 | \$ 41,904 | \$ 36,624 |
| Net loss | \$ (4,851) | \$ (2,229) | \$ (4,714) | \$ (8,104) |
| Net loss per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.07) | \$ (0.03) | \$ (0.07) | \$ (0.11) |
| Diluted | \$ (0.07) | \$ (0.03) | \$ (0.07) | \$ (0.11) |

| Year Ended December 31, 2018 | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
| | (in thousands, except per share amounts) | | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 110,827 | \$ 101,533 | \$ 85,010 | \$ 87,627 |
| Gross profit | \$ 62,668 | \$ 56,330 | \$ 43,876 | \$ 45,900 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 1,847 | \$ (14,422) | \$ (13,935) | \$ 311 |
| Net income (loss) per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.03 | \$ (0.21) | \$ (0.20) | \$ 0.00 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.03 | \$ (0.21) | \$ (0.20) | \$ 0.00 |

BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND MANAGEMENT

Directors

Kishore Seendripu, Ph.D.—Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer.

Daniel Artusi—former Vice President in the Client Computing Group and General Manager for the connected home division at Intel Corporation, a technology company.

Carolyn Beaver—former Chief Financial Officer of Sequenom, Inc. a life sciences testing company.

Gregory P. Dougherty—former Chief Executive Officer and Director of Oclaro Inc., an optical components manufacturer.

Albert J. Moyer—private financial consultant and Chairman of the Board of Directors of CalAmp Corp., a provider of wireless communications solutions; member of the Board of Directors of Collectors Universe, Inc., a third-party grading and authentication service for high-value collectibles.

Thomas E. Pardun—former non-executive chairman of Western Digital Corporation, a multinational provider of computer data storage and hard disk drives.

Donald E. Schrock—retired Executive Vice President and President of Qualcomm Incorporated's CDMA Technologies Group.

Theodore Tewksbury, Ph.D.—served as Chief Executive Officer and President of Entropic from November 2014 until MaxLinear's acquisition of Entropic in April 2015; Chairman, President and CEO of Energy Focus, Inc., a provider of LED lighting technology.

Executive Officers

Kishore Seendripu, Ph.D.—Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer.

Steven G. Litchfield—Chief Financial Officer and Chief Corporate Strategy Officer

Connie Kwong—Corporate Controller and Principal Accounting Officer.

Michael Bollesen—Vice President, Sales.

Michael J. LaChance—Vice President, Operations.

Curtis Ling, Ph.D.—Chief Technical Officer.

James Lougheed—Vice President, Marketing, High Performance Analog.

Madhukar Reddy, Ph.D.—Vice President, Central Engineering.

William G. Torgerson—Vice President and General Manager, Broadband Group.

Brendan Walsh—Vice President, Marketing Infrastructure.

CORPORATE INFORMATION

Corporate Headquarters

MaxLinear, Inc.
5966 La Place Court
Suite 100
Carlsbad, California 92008

Annual Meeting

MaxLinear's 2020 annual meeting of stockholders will take place on Monday, June 8, 2020 at 11:30 a.m., Pacific time.

At the time this annual report was printed, potential uncertainty existed as to whether the annual meeting could take place in person given the COVID-19 pandemic. Residents of California remain under state and county shelter-in-place orders that could be extended.

Notice of any change of venue and the virtual meeting instructions will be available at MaxLinear's investor relations site at <https://investors.maxlinear.com>.

Beginning May 22, 2020, stockholders are encouraged to access MaxLinear's website or view its filings available at www.sec.gov for updated information about the status of the annual meeting.

Independent Auditors

Grant Thornton LLP
Newport Beach, California

Legal Counsel

Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati, P.C.
San Diego, California

Shareowner Services

You may contact our transfer agent by writing Computershare Investor Services, P.O. Box 505000, Louisville, KY 40233-5000 or telephoning (800) 662-7232 or (781) 575-4238, or via its Investor Centre at www.computershare.com/investor.

Stock Exchange Information

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, under the symbol MXL.

Internet Address Information and Annual Report on 10-K

Visit us online at www.maxlinear.com for more information about MaxLinear and its products and services. The 2019 Annual Report is available online by visiting www.edocumentview.com/MXL.

Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance, or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance, or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Given these uncertainties, you should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. In particular, we cannot predict MaxLinear's future revenues or operating results or its future rates of revenue growth, if any. MaxLinear's business, revenues, and operating results as well as its rate of revenue growth, if any, will be subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, including (among others) intense competition in our industry; our dependence on a limited number of customers for a substantial portion of our revenues; uncertainties concerning how end user markets for our products will develop, including in particular new markets we are entering such as the 5G wireless and fiber-optic data center high-speed interconnect infrastructure markets but also existing markets such as connected home; uncertainties concerning the outcome of global trade negotiations, export control limitations, and heightened geopolitical risks generally; uncertainties arising from the impact of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) on our business and the market generally; potential uncertainties arising from continued consolidation among cable television and satellite operators in our target markets and continued consolidation among competitors within the semiconductor industry generally; our ability to develop and introduce new and enhanced products on a timely basis and achieve market acceptance of those products, particularly as we seek to expand outside of our historic markets; potential decreases in average selling prices for our products; our reliance on a limited number of third party manufacturers; risks relating to intellectual property protection and the prevalence of intellectual property litigation in our industry; the impact on our financial condition of the indebtedness arising from the Exar transaction; and our lack of long-term supply contracts and dependence on limited sources of supply. In addition, investors in MaxLinear should review the more detailed discussions of risks and uncertainties affecting MaxLinear's business described under the caption Risk Factors in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 5, 2020.



MaxLinear, Inc.

5966 La Place Court, Suite 100, Carlsbad, California 92008
www.MaxLinear.com