

---

OMB APPROVAL
OMB Number: 3235-0697
Expires: June 30, 2019
Estimated average burden hours per response...480.61

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20549

**Form SD**

**SPECIALIZED DISCLOSURE REPORT**

**Williams Industrial Services Group Inc.**

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

**Delaware**  
(State or Other Jurisdiction of  
Incorporation)

**001-16501**  
(Commission File Number)

**73-1541378**  
(IRS Employer Identification  
Number)

**100 Crescent Centre Parkway, Suite 1240**  
**Tucker, GA**  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

**30084**  
(Zip Code)

**Charles E. Wheelock**  
**(770) 879-4400**

(Name and telephone number, including area code, of the person to contact in connection with this report.)

Check the appropriate box to indicate the rule pursuant to which this form is being filed, and provide the period to which the information in this form applies:

- Rule 13p-1 under the Securities Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13p-1) for the reporting period from January 1 to December 31, 2018.
- 
- 
-

This Specialized Disclosure Report on Form SD (“*Form SD*”) of Williams Industrial Services Group Inc. (“*we*,” “*us*,” “*our*,” or the “*Company*”) for the year ended December 31, 2018 is presented to comply with Rule 13p-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “*Rule*”). The Rule was adopted by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the “*SEC*”) to implement reporting and disclosure requirements related to conflict minerals as directed by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010. The Rule imposes certain reporting obligations on SEC registrants whose manufactured products contain “conflict minerals” as defined by the Rule and paragraph (d)(3) of Form SD, which are necessary to the functionality or production of certain products manufactured by the Company. “Conflict minerals” presently include cassiterite, columbite-tantalite, gold, wolframite, and their derivatives, which are limited to tin, tantalum, tungsten, and gold. These requirements apply to registrants whatever the geographic origin of the conflict minerals and whether or not they fund armed conflict.

## **Section 1 — CONFLICT MINERALS DISCLOSURE**

### **Item 1.01 Conflict Minerals Disclosure and Report**

During 2018, we completed the transformation of our Company and also began expanding our services. Beginning in 2016, we shifted our strategy to become a preferred provider of construction, maintenance and specialty services, to exit all product manufacturing businesses and to use the proceeds from the sales to reduce and restructure our term debt. To effect this change, in the third quarter of 2017, we made the decision to exit and sell substantially all of the operating assets and liabilities of our Mechanical Solutions segment, which we completed in the fourth quarter of 2017. Additionally, during the fourth quarter of 2017, we made the decision to exit and sell our Electrical Solutions segment (which was comprised solely of Koontz-Wagner Custom Controls Holdings LLC (“*Koontz-Wagner*”). In October 2017, we sold substantially all of the operating assets and liabilities of our Mechanical Solutions segment, including our manufacturing facility in Mexico. On July 11, 2018, Koontz-Wagner filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 7 of Title 11 of the Bankruptcy Code with the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas. The Company currently provides a broad range of construction, maintenance and support services to customers in energy, power and industrial end markets; as of December 31, 2018, it no longer engaged in manufacturing activities. As such, the Conflict Minerals Program does not apply to the Company’s current business, and the Company does not believe that it will be required to file reports on Form SD in the future.

Pursuant to the Rule, the Company evaluated its product lines in 2018 and determined that certain products that the Company manufactured or contracted to manufacture during 2018 contained “conflict minerals” as defined by the Rule and paragraph (d)(3) of Form SD, which were necessary to the functionality or production of such products. We identified the following products that may have contained necessary conflict minerals that we manufactured or contracted to manufacture: air filtration systems, inlet heating and cooling systems, silencing systems, exhaust and inlet ducts, diverter dampers, simple cycle SCR and CO reduction systems, expansion joints, bypass stacks, exhaust diffusers, inlet plenums, packaged control buildings, generator enclosures, base tanks, precision machined parts including specialty fasteners, rotor studs, valve components, custom bushings and other miscellaneous precision nitride parts for gas and steam turbine power generation applications, seals, shims and brackets, and complex equipment built to specification for gas turbines and other power generation equipment. Our due diligence framework and processes are discussed in the Conflict Minerals Report that is an exhibit to this Form SD.

### **Conflict Minerals Disclosure**

A copy of the Company’s Conflict Minerals Report is provided as Exhibit 1.01 hereto and is publicly available at: <https://ir.wisgrp.com> under “Governance — Governance Documents.” The URLs included in this report are inactive textual references. The information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference into this Form SD or the Conflict Minerals Report and should not be considered to be part of this Form SD or the Conflict Minerals Report.

### **Item 1.02 Exhibit**

As specified in Section 2, Item 2.01 of this Form SD, the Company is hereby filing its Conflict Minerals Report as Exhibit 1.01 to this Form SD.

## **Section 2 — EXHIBITS**

### **Item 2.01 Exhibits**

Exhibit 1.01 — Conflict Minerals Report as required by Items 1.01 and 1.02 of Form SD.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the duly authorized undersigned.

**Williams Industrial Services Group Inc.**  
(Registrant)

By: */s/ Charles E. Wheelock*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles E. Wheelock  
Vice President, Administration, General Counsel and  
Secretary

Date: May 1, 2019

**Williams Industrial Services Group Inc.**  
**For The Year Ended December 31, 2018**

This Conflict Minerals Report (“*Report*”) of Williams Industrial Services Group Inc. (“*we*,” “*us*,” “*our*,” or the “*Company*”) for the year ended December 31, 2018 is presented to comply with Rule 13p-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “*Rule*”). Several terms in this report are defined in the Rule and our Specialized Disclosure Report on Form SD. The reader is referred to those sources and to SEC Release No. 34-67716 issued by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission on August 22, 2012 for such definitions. This report has not been subject to an independent private sector audit, as permitted by Instruction 2 to Item 1.01 of Form SD.

*Preliminary Note:* During 2018, we completed the transformation of our Company and also began expanding our services. Beginning in 2016, we shifted our strategy to become a preferred provider of construction, maintenance and specialty services, to exit all product manufacturing businesses and to use the proceeds to reduce and restructure our term debt. To effect this change, in the third quarter of 2017, we made the decision to exit and sell substantially all of the operating assets and liabilities of our Mechanical Solutions segment, which we completed in the fourth quarter of 2017. Additionally, during the fourth quarter of 2017, we made the decision to exit and sell our Electrical Solutions segment (which was comprised solely of Koontz-Wagner Custom Controls Holdings LLC (“*Koontz-Wagner*”). In October 2017, we sold substantially all of the operating assets and liabilities of our Mechanical Solutions segment, including our manufacturing facility in Mexico. On July 11, 2018, Koontz-Wagner filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 7 of Title 11 of the Bankruptcy Code with the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas. The Company currently provides a broad range of construction, maintenance and support services to customers in energy, power and industrial end markets; as of December 31, 2018, it no longer engaged in manufacturing activities. As such, the Conflict Minerals Program does not apply to our current business, and the Company does not believe that it will be required to file reports on Form SD in the future.

*1. Due Diligence*

Pursuant to the Rule, we undertook due diligence measures on the source and chain of custody of the necessary conflict minerals in our products that we had reason to believe may have originated from the Democratic Republic of the Congo (“*DRC*”) or an adjoining country (collectively defined as the “*Covered Countries*”) and may not have come from recycled or scrap sources, to determine whether such products were “*DRC conflict free*.”

Although some of our products contained conflict minerals during 2018, we did not purchase ore or unrefined conflict minerals from mines and we were many steps removed in the supply chain from the mining of the conflict minerals. During 2018, we purchased materials used in our products from a large network of suppliers; some of those materials contributed necessary conflict minerals to our products. The origin of conflict minerals cannot be determined with any certainty once the ores are smelted, refined and converted to ingots, bullion or other conflict minerals containing derivatives. We rely on our suppliers to assist with our reasonable country of origin inquiry and due diligence efforts, including the identification of smelters and refiners, for the conflict minerals contained in the materials which they supply to us.

Our conflict minerals program follows the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas, Second Edition, and related Supplements on Tin, Tantalum and Tungsten and on Gold (collectively, “*OECD Guidance*”) as it relates to downstream manufacturers. Summarized below are the design components of our conflict minerals program during 2018 as they relate to the five-step framework set forth in the OECD Guidance:

1. Establish strong company management systems: We have established a management system led by Procurement and assisted by subject matter experts from relevant functions such as Quality, Finance, Legal and Manufacturing. The Company has also adopted a Conflict Minerals Policy which is posted on our website at: <https://ir.wisgrp.com> under “Governance — Governance Documents.”

2. Identify and assess risks in our supply chain: We identify the products that may contain conflict minerals and the suppliers of those conflict minerals. We then conduct a supply chain survey using our Conflicts Minerals Disclosure Form, which requests that suppliers identify smelters and refiners and the country of origin of the material they supply to us. The Company follows up with any supplier who does not respond or provide the requested information. We compare any smelters and refiners identified by the supply chain survey against the list of facilities that have received a “conflict free”

---

designation from the Conflict Free Smelter Program or other independent third party audit program, which designations provide country of origin and due diligence information on the conflict minerals sourced by such facilities.

3. Design and implement a strategy to respond to identified risks: Our risk management plan includes due diligence reviews of suppliers that may be sourcing or processing conflict minerals from the Covered Countries, which may not be from recycled or scrap sources. Our suppliers are expected to provide conflict minerals sourcing information to us per our Conflict Minerals Policy and while we believe in establishing and maintaining long-term relationships with suppliers whenever possible, if we determine that any supplier is, or a reasonable risk exists that it may be, violating the Conflict Minerals Policy, then we will request that the supplier devise and undertake suitable corrective action to move to a conflict free source.

4. Support the development and implementation of independent third party audits of smelters' and refiners' sourcing: We encourage our direct suppliers to purchase from EICC's Conflict-Free Smelter Compliant Smelters.

5. Report on supply chain due diligence: We have publicly communicated our Conflict Minerals Policy, and made this report available, on our website at: <https://ir.wisgrp.com> under "Governance — Governance Documents."

As a downstream purchaser of conflict minerals, our due diligence measures can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance regarding the source and chain of custody of the necessary conflict minerals. Our due diligence processes are based on the necessity of seeking data from our direct suppliers and those suppliers seeking similar information within their supply chains to identify the original sources of the necessary conflict minerals. We also rely, to a large extent, on information collected and provided by independent third party audit programs. Such sources of information may yield inaccurate or incomplete information and may be subject to fraud.

Another complicating factor is the unavailability of country of origin and chain of custody information from our suppliers on a continuous, real-time basis. Under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 and the Rule, a product is "DRC conflict free" if it meets the required standard every day of the reporting year; conversely, a product would "not be found to be DRC conflict free" if it does not meet the required standard even one day of the reporting year. The supply chain of commodities such as conflict minerals is a multi-step process operating more or less on a daily basis, with ore being delivered to smelters and refiners, with smelters and refiners smelting or refining ores into metal containing derivatives such as ingots, with the derivatives being shipped, sold and stored in numerous market locations around the world and with distributors and purchasers holding varying amounts of the derivatives in inventory for use. Since we do not have direct contractual relationships with smelters and refiners, we rely on our direct suppliers to gather and provide specific information about the date when the ore is smelted into a derivative and later shipped, stored, sold and first entered the stream of commerce. We directly seek sourcing data on a periodic basis from our direct suppliers. We ask that the data cover the entire reporting year, and we seek to use contract provisions requiring the suppliers to promptly update us in the event that the sourcing data changes.

## *2. Product Description*

We conducted a supply chain survey of the top 80% of our suppliers, of which approximately 87% of our direct suppliers that contribute necessary conflict minerals to our products provided a response to the supply chain survey.

The information provided by the responding suppliers regarding the smelters and refiners that processed the necessary conflict minerals in the Products did not enable us to determine whether such conflict minerals originated in the Covered Countries and, if so, whether the conflict minerals were from recycled or scrap sources or were or were not from other conflict free sources.

---